

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1	Poole Town	A general uplift for the town centre		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J2	Poole Town	<p>a) g) A town council of 42 councillors would require several committees, such as:</p> <p>a- cabinet</p> <p>b - finance (incl budgeting and grant applications)</p> <p>c- standards (incl overview and scrutiny)</p> <p>d - leisure facilities (incl allotments, recreation grounds, skateparks, play areas and community centres)</p> <p>e - environmental (incl beaches and seafront, public conveniences, green spaces, litter)</p> <p>f - events (incl tourist information, heritage, neighbourhood projects)</p> <p>Plus other services which BCP council would plan to transfer to Poole Town Council.</p> <p>All of these would require extensive administration costs (meeting venues, secretarial etc.), which would absorb a considerable amount of the additional precept. I doubt there would be a reduction in the council tax charged by BCP for the areas they would no longer control.</p> <p>However, a local Parish council for Parkstone, as was submitted originally, would comprise of 9 councillors and would be much more cost-effective and involve faster and more efficient decision-making. This option should be reconsidered.</p>		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J3	Poole Town	a) Poole is where I live, so why did you amalgamate to BCP in the first place. What is the cost of changing back?		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J4	Poole Town	a-h) I don't want to change the current situation		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J5	Poole Town	a-h) residents do not need an additional level of governance within BCP. What was the point of establishing BCP in the first place? Now trying to have 3 town councils in addition to existing BCP. This will result in wasted bureaucracy, time and funding. g) too many polling districts being recommended with too many councillors. In Creekmoor 3 new councillors proposed for Poole town council - we [unknown] with 2 or the whole of BCP.		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J6	Poole Town	Cost of these new proposals is needed to make a decision. Especially as main reason for forming BCP was to reduce cost		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J7	Poole Town	Is there a fault on this form (and the survey)? In other parishes and wards the option to “Strongly Disagree” did not appear on the list – which will bend the results to a less-negative outcome – and an invalid result? Is this a flaw in the system – or is this intentional?		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J8	Poole Town	(A) A Poole council comeback is needed the BCP is useless . (B) Looks like Poole to me (C) Poole is a historic name dating back to antiquity-pre Roman invasion . (D) Nothing wrong with a town council (E) Again Poole is a fantastic name and it will be a town council (F) some of the names are a bit long winded but good for the most part (G) 42 sounds about right ((H) looks good, more than one councillor per ward helps with representation of the communities	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J9	Poole Town	(a) Although we have become BCP, each area has it's own identity, by giving Poole a council who deal with Poole concerns makes the best sense	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J10	Poole Town	<p>(a) Whether it is considered that the establishment of BCP Council has benefited local residents or not, it is clear in my view as a long standing resident of Poole that Poole has lost a sense of identity following the change in 2019. My view is that establishing a local town council for Poole will mean that there is a local and democratic body which will represent the views of residents, businesses and other organisations in Poole and be a voice for Poole. The council would be a body which will enable the historic and civic functions of Poole to have greater visibility as opposed to the current system of Charter Trustees which is not well understood and has limited powers. The council will be able to represent Poole, and also be able to administer some services at a much more local level where this is more effective. It will mean residents should have a greater say in what happens to some services within their local neighbourhoods. The establishment of a town council will also provide the benefit of the allocations of grant funding and some local infrastructure funding from CIL for example by local representatives at a local level. This should mean greater community involvement in local decisions.</p> <p>Overall therefore it will provide a clear identity for Poole as a place; provide local representation for Poole and more local decision-making; help generate more community involvement and participation in decisions which affect local residents and businesses; provide a voice for Poole and represent its historic and civic identity; provide more options for more local delivery of services and facilities at a local neighbourhood level.</p> <p>(b) On the assumption that there is support for a Broadstone council which will mean that area will be parished, the area proposed for Poole town council should include all areas that are currently covered by the Charter Trustees as a result of them falling within the previous Borough of Poole area. To leave an area out on the basis that it may not be as strongly aligned to the town of Poole as other areas would result in an unparished area and the need to retain the Charter Trustees. This would mean two levels of local precepts and organisations at a local level in the parished areas of Poole, and would also be confusing in terms of identity, role and purpose when considering the Civic functions. The boundary proposed does in my view represent the area identified as Poole which is not contained within Broadstone.</p> <p>(c) Clear identity.</p> <p>(d) Poole is a town and the style of council proposed reflects that position making it clear the proposed council represents the whole of the town of Poole.</p> <p>(e) Clear identity reflecting the position that the council will represent the communities most linked to the town of Poole.</p> <p>(f) To align with the current ward arrangements so far as possible for consistency and reflect current identities.</p> <p>(g) Although it means the elector ratios will be higher than in many other parish councils including those currently existing within the BCP Council area, to increase the number of councillors above 42 would mean an overly large council which would not in my view be as efficient or effective in terms of its decision-making. To reduce below 42 would increase the elector ratios and</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>result in arguably less democratic engagement and resut in a council less connected to its communities at a local level thereby going against one of the purposes of a town council.</p> <p>(h) To ensure so far as possible there is reasonable equality between wards in terms of elector ratios so that some wards are not over-represented on the council.</p>					
J11	Poole Town	<p>(f) I am not clear where the proposals for a separate Broadstone Parish sit with the Charter Trustees, who presumably cover both this area and Poole Town Council. I appreciate that Broadstone fits into a different Parliamentary constituency. There may be merit in single Poole Town, therefore.</p> <p>(g) The number of councillors depends on whether or not Broadstone is separate.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J12	Poole Town	[b] no need to include Marley Ward and Bearwood Ward: they are distant outliers. See [f] [g] 42! A company board needs 6 to 8 directors. 42 is 1 per 2,700 electors - that's outrageous bureaucracy and expense. [h] Lilliput Village should be a separate identity [ward].	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J13	Poole Town	14a. I want Poole to more say in how it spends it's council tax and the councillors concentrate on Poole not the wider area outside the town.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J14	Poole Town	42 seems a lot - i would agree wholeheartedly if they wee to be housed in the old poole civic centre	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J15	Poole Town	a because it should lead to better representation and therefore better outcomes	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J16	Poole Town	a - f strongly agree with all statements that Poole should have its own town/parish council, because there is a great community within Poole, which I feel would only flourish within a more personal parish/town council. g-h neither agree nor disagree - number of councillors is not something I feel I can strongly weigh in on.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J17	Poole Town	A - h inclusive. I agree with decision making being at a local level encouraging more citizen involvement.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J18	Poole Town	A - Poole is Poole not BCP c - should of never change in the first place no savings have been made ie council tax	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J19	Poole Town	A - Poole needs its own identity B - Agree with the boundaries C - Poole should be lead name rather than individual wards D - Agree with a town council E - Should be called Poole Town Council F - Agree with the parish wards G - Not sure what each councillors job would be H - Need a better understanding of what they would do	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J20	Poole Town	a - too right. never thought BCP was a good idea. I knew Bournemouth would subsume everything, and it did. We should have set up Poole Town from the beginning, so I'm glad to see we're looking at it now.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J21	Poole Town	a A historic area such as Poole should have its own identity not be lost in a combined area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J22	Poole Town	a b c d e f g h Since BCP was formed Poole,has lost so much. Our identity, our history, our right to do things our way. We have been annexed by Bournemouth and have become of little consequence. Future Poole citizens can no longer be born in Poole!	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J23	Poole Town	a I strongly agree that a parish council should be created for Poole. This will support the identity of the town of Poole. Since BCP Council was created, there has been a reduction in the amount of events taking place in Poole, and I am disappointed that there is no VE Day event taking place in Poole. A new parish council would be able to organize more community-based events.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J24	Poole Town	A to H - hope fore a better community safeguard, friendly approach to safety and safeguard the residents interests	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J25	Poole Town	'a' to 'h' - the boundaries between Creekmoor and both Hamworthy wards seem very arbitrary. So for example, Upton Country Park is split between at least two of these wards. As	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J26	Poole Town	A with concerns for increased jobs and therefore costs on council tax for residents	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
J27	Poole Town	a) - I agree with the proposal because we need local representation to fulfil local community services that BCP are unable to do. b) the boundary should include the whole of the historical boundary of Poole, including Broadstone.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J28	Poole Town	a) Since the creation of BCP, Poole has lost its identity. What is relevant to, for example, Christchurch, is not necessarily of equal relevance to Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J29	Poole Town	a) Agree - Poole residents need a council serving the needs of local people. b) Agree - The boundary is clear and supported by local residents c) Agree - The name give clear identity to residents and councilors d) Agree - Poole Town Council including a Mayor would be more appropriate for the residents of Poole e) The name should clearly identify the organisation f) The Wards should represent the local district identities and thereby local residents g) 42 councilors managed Poole Town Council prior to formation of BCP so it should be sufficient to serve the needs of the local community of Poole	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J30	Poole Town	a) I am struggling to understand why after a short time of being a unitary authority we are now being asked to introduce another level of bureaucracy. If the unitary system is not fit for purpose then the whole thing needs to be reviewed	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J31	Poole Town	a) I think splitting Poole and Bournemouth into separate Parishes should help both areas gain more control.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J32	Poole Town	<p>a) I think that increased centralisation of authority diminishes the ability to understand and respond to local issues, and that a local council such as a parish council is a valuable tool to improve local quality of living.</p> <p>c) With the amalgamation of BCP there is a view that the identity of the component areas might be lost. Naming the councils after the historic areas will help preserve the sense of identity and willingness to support local causes. Remainder - while I support the creation of the proposed councils I have no particularly strong views on the details.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J33	Poole Town	<p>a) to g) inclusive It seems to make perfect sense to replace the existing arrangements with a properly formed Town Council with the benefits that that might bring. I would not want to see the area subject to two lots of precepts, as would be the case were the whole of Poole not included in the new arrangement.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J34	Poole Town	<p>a, b, c: a parish for Poole would give it specific identity and promote its own unique features.</p> <p>d, e: not sure how the term 'parish council' differs from other types ie town, community, neighbourhood, etc. Would it override any decisions made by these councils or even duplicate them, adding more to the council tax?</p> <p>f, g, h: I have opted for 'somewhat agree' as the allocated areas and councillors seem fair.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J35	Poole Town	<p>a, f and h. I strongly support the creation of a Poole Town Council. Amalgamation of the previous Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch borough councils was done primarily as a money-saving exercise (necessary following the Conservative governments' abolition of core funding for councils beginning in 2010) but this has been to the detriment of more locally-based powers and voices. The creation of a town council for Poole would go some way to restoring that, and would help Poole residents, through the democratic process, understand they have a stronger voice on what many believe is a Bournemouth-centric BCP Council. The latter may or may not be the case, but that is a perception.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J36	Poole Town	A, we should have our own identity, d, Poole Town council should be easily identifiable	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J37	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h. All recommendations. I consider that Poole should regain its independence from BCP Council and run its own affairs without interference from an authority which has no local knowledge and is only concerned with the welfare of Bournemouth. For instance restricting access to Poole Park causing major traffic problems for my area. Selling the council buildings is another land grab by Bournemouth which we do not belong to or want. Our Beaches have been severely run down and neglected under the BCP regime.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J38	Poole Town	<p>a. I strongly agree that a parish of Poole should be created to protect and maintain the historic identity of Poole. Poole has hundreds of years of maritime history and poole residents are fed up with our town being treated as though it is a suburb of Bournemouth. Poole deserves to have its own democratic voice. When BCP was formed against the wishes of many Poole and Christchurch residents, a town council was set up for Christchurch, but not for Poole.</p> <p>b. I feel it is very important that the Poole Town Council replaces the Charter Trustees because it is clear to me that it does not work to have charter trustees that are not based in the central area of the principle council. It makes no sense to have both so I understand that all areas within the former Borough must be perished, but I am open to the idea that there may be areas on the edges of the former borough who do not identify as being part of Poole and if this is the case, perhaps a different solution could be found for these areas.</p> <p>d. Poole parish must be a Town to replace the charter trustees</p> <p>g,h I feel that the size of wards is the best balance. Bigger wards would be less local and a more difficult job for volunteer councillors. Smaller wards would make the council too big.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J39	Poole Town	<p>a. I strongly believe that 'localisation' is essential for making services accessible, visible and appropriate to residents. Centralising services under the 'economies of scale' banner has meant that services have become unresponsive, non-accountable, more remote, has increased overheads and diversion into non-essential services, not 'voted for' and not supported by residents. A new Parish of Poole would give some opportunity to returning local services to local people making them more visible, more accountable, more appropriate and more accessible.</p> <p>i. I would like that the ratio of the number of Councillors for each Ward to be proportionate to the number of households paying council tax in each Ward.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J40	Poole Town	<p>A. Like many Poole residents I don't agree with the creation of town or parish councils. In the interest of efficiency and effectiveness the existing system should be reviewed to give more responsibility and work to existing councillors to ensure non-statutory services and facilities are brought to the residents whom they serve. However I recognise that this is likely to go ahead despite people's opposition. Therefore I do agree that a parish council for the whole of Poole be established. Residents see themselves as belonging to Poole and to create separate parishes just creates division and territorialism that can only be detrimental to the progression of the town as a whole. People move across wards for work, leisure, family, schools etc and it would be poor practice to develop facilities in one 'parish' that were not seen in another area. Some areas of Poole have a strong core of residents who are able to galvanise others into action to improve their areas and some do not. A 'whole Town' council would ensure the less active areas do not get overlooked whilst rewarding the hard work of residents who are active</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J41	Poole Town	<p>a. Poole needs its own identity which seems to have been lost since BCP was established</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J42	Poole Town	a. Poole needs to be a parish of its own because at present we are the poor relatives of BCP council. Everything has to go through the town hall at Bournemouth. At present the Revenue and Benefits are 12 weeks behind. We never had this problem when we had everything at te council offices in Poole. c. The name is irrelevant its how we govern ourselves that makes life in Poole more acceptable.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J43	Poole Town	A/B/c/d I think it will retain Pooles identity which is presently at risk of getting lost and hopefully give some decision making powers for at least some community activities to the people who actually live here and care about the town	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J44	Poole Town	Abcdefgh I don't think any changes should be made to the current arrangements	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J45	Poole Town	About time we had more local representation and ownership	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J46	Poole Town	'a-g' Given Poole's history and heritage, there is a need to make local decisions in Poole for Poole. No VE day event in Poole considering it's wartime contribution was a disgrace especially as Christchurch and Bournemouth had one.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J47	Poole Town	Agree	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J48	Poole Town	Agree	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J49	Poole Town	Agree that Poole should take back control in whatever way we can. BCP Council does not represent Poole and does not seem to be interested in Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J50	Poole Town	Agree with all - more local say seems like a good thing to me	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J51	Poole Town	a-h) I fully support the idea to create a parish council for Poole and call it Poole Town council and to have councillors for the area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J52	Poole Town	All agreed, but the area is a bit too large	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J53	Poole Town	All recommendations: We must cease being Bournemouth and having no say.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J54	Poole Town	all three I live in Poole all my life so I agree with the council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J55	Poole Town	As a general principle I would like to see the recommendations pass, to give Poole more of an identity and more autonomy.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J56	Poole Town	As a resident of Poole all my life I feel that BCP joint council does not meet the needs of Poole as a high priority. Poole has become a rundown area in need of investment. The town centre is very poor, with very few independent shops. The high street is drab and poorly maintained. The bus station is a disgrace. However Poole Park having received lottery funding is a well kept and well used local area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J57	Poole Town	b - the area covered is far too large. There should be a separate Parkstone & Sandbanks Community Council including all wards from Parkstone to Bournemouth border (except Bearwood) Some wards should move into Broadstone with Bearwood moving to Northbourne Community Council with Kinson c - The name should be Poole Town	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J58	Poole Town	<p>b - Why is Upton excluded? Also Bourne Valley feels much more like Bournemouth to me, and Penn Hill and Canford Cliffs/Sandbanks areas feel entirely different to central Poole.</p> <p>g - 42 councillors seems really excessive, are they volunteers or paid? If they were part time/job share to deputise for each other that would be okay.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J59	Poole Town	<p>B) f) and g) Broadstone and Corfe Mullen could be included as part of Poole , their identity is still retained through their parish name. This would therefore change the number of parishes for Poole as it would include corfe Mullen and Broadstone with are effectively suburbs of Poole.</p> <p>H) The number feels high and two councillors for each parish should be adequate.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J60	Poole Town	<p>b) f) the new Poole town council should include Broadstone and all areas within the historic Borough of Poole</p> <p>a) d) Does a 'parish in the style of a town council' actually give Poole the power of a town council? Will Poole still have a mayor? Poole needs both!</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J61	Poole Town	<p>b) There are some notable errors with the eastern boundary, with areas of Bournemouth (e.g. Bear Cross and West Howe) being included in Poole Town Council, and vice-versa. The boundary should follow the borough borders exactly. The perceived blurring of the lines between Poole and Bournemouth is one of the driving forces behind the desire for a town council for Poole. People won't identify with a town council if they don't consider themselves part of that town. Exact changes in answer to 20.</p> <p>f) Alderney ward ought to be called Alderney and Wallisdown ward, as they're both distinct areas and people in Wallisdown wouldn't identify with Alderney.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J62	Poole Town	B). I don't see why Broadstone is excluded from Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J63	Poole Town	b; f; As a resident in the long thin strip of land appended onto Alderney I object to being cut out from both the Bournemouth/Wallisdown boundaries as well as the Bourne Valley boundaries. There's no good reason why we should continually be appended onto another part of town that has a different culture and community, and separated from where most of our community is. The schools, university and most of the housing in this area are more closely aligned with both Wallisdown and Bourne Valley than Alderney, the centre of gravity of which is at the other end of the proposed boundary.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J64	Poole Town	BCP council has been bad for Poole. Great to have our own council back.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J65	Poole Town	Bearwood and Merley should be excluded from Poole	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J66	Poole Town	Best idea	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J67	Poole Town	'd ' I strongly agree that Poole and surrounding area shouldhave town status.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J68	Poole Town	D - strongly agree with Poole having its own town council. Poole needs focus, care and attention to restore public areas, services and encourage and support local businesses.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J69	Poole Town	d) Poole Town, especially the town centre, and specifically the High Street has suffered a downward spiral of neglect. There are too many Turkish barbers and vape shops of dubious business practices and empty and untidy shops that need investigating and dealing with.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J70	Poole Town	E - rather than being known by individual wards, the name Poole Town would encompass all wards and provide a separate identity to those in Bournemouth	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J71	Poole Town	Ever since this merger, there is no individuality for areas, everything seem to be overrun by Bournemouth, we are not part of Bournemouth, we are Poole	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J72	Poole Town	f) g) h) it does seem alot of Councillors for the area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J73	Poole Town	F. Important to have defined wards to represent the local population	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J74	Poole Town	G - agree as long as councillors elected are still the same number	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J75	Poole Town	g - endeavour to limit the no of Councillors 2 - 3 per ward (not to exceed) keeping costs for a new look Town Council to be as low as possible, saving council tax payers money IF possible (see X'church)	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J76	Poole Town	G - my concern is there may not be enough people who want to be councillors, they may not have the correct knowledge for the best decision making	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J77	Poole Town	g & h too many councillors proposed	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J78	Poole Town	g) & h) The proposals are not equitable with the proposals for a separate Broadstone council. For example my own ward of Canford Heath West is to have two councillors yet all of the wards in Broadstone each with less than half the projected electorate of Canford Heath West is to be given three or four councillors. This surely does not fit with electoral proportionality and the requirement for equitable democracy?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J79	Poole Town	g) and h) Decrease the number of councillors to around 15.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
J80	Poole Town	g) It seems reasonable. It will certainly be better to have a Poole Town Parish Council with local people to oversee local issues.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J81	Poole Town	h- four councillors for Canford Heath is excessive and would be hard to find 6- number of councillors for Poole Town is excessive and needs to be reduced. 42 councillors would be difficult to manage.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J82	Poole Town	h) Creekmoor should have 4 councillors rather than 3 councillors due to area size including U.C. park, padel, park and ride, lakes	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J83	Poole Town	h) while I agree with the recommendations for a town council. I find that some change should be made to either wards or number of councillors allocated to each ward. As it stands, Red Hill, Parkstone, Longfleet would have much less representation than other wards. As these are relatively stable areas and likely to be older, this does not give them adequate representation. Maybe some of Parkstone could be moved to Old town and [unknown] and Longfleet could be linked to Newtown. Alternatively, size of council adjusted and [unknown] 3 areas could have 4 seats, giving a council size of 45. Assuming town councillors will receive some remuneration, will existing BCP councillors responsible for areas now the responsibility of town council see a reduction in this [unknown]? If they do not and still have responsibility for these areas, I can see no point in having a town council!	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J84	Poole Town	Happy to go ahead	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J85	Poole Town	Having a more local area as prior to the merger of the main BCP council I agree with if it is kept as Poole town. It is interesting though that Broadstone is separate. Would not want a mix across the previous split.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J86	Poole Town	I agree completely that Poole should have a town Council so we know we all have representation in our area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J87	Poole Town	I agree to all the proposals listed above but will there a date in the future for reflection on these proposals?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J88	Poole Town	I agree with all the recommendations on the basis that Poole has lost it's identity through the BCP merger and it is vital that we regain this. The current Council is too large and focussed on its statutory responsibilities (as it should be) for residents to be engaged. Hopefully this reorganisation would enable more people to not only have their say, but also be heard and make a positive input into the running of our town.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J89	Poole Town	I agree with recommendations for Poole Town. Elizabeth first gave a Charter to Poole in 1568. Why has that Charter been rescinded? This was imposed on the people of Poole. Even after having made representation to the Government of the time, that it was much too expensive!! as it has proven to be. Christchurch had a reefrendum They voted NO but again overruled. This was not democracy.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J90	Poole Town	I agree with the proposal for a town council as long as all town councils are tasked with having the needs of all residents considered and the councillors are accountable to a degree.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J91	Poole Town	I agree with them all	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J92	Poole Town	I believe that in the context of the impact of that the Quayside and Baitor Park has to bring visitors into the town and the provision of recreational facilities then Poole Old Town and Baitor should have 3 councillors.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J93	Poole Town	I believe that Poole has its own vibrant community and economic benefits that are quite different to declining Bournemouth and ancient Christchurch. Poole is vibrant, cohesive and based upon centuries of community, it must not be lost in the mix of confrontations twixt Christchurch and Bournemouth. Poole has been here for thousands of years before the other upstarts. Its identity should not be lost due to simple and current political fashion. Poole will be here for millennia, as expressed by the 'boat' in The Museum. Poole is here for the long term, not just political fashion. We are Who We are and it would be indecent if others made a political decision that overrides our natural rights and nature of being here. We are a Free people in Poole, We have no avarice for others or covert their supposed power for drowning witches, We only ask for Honesty and Respect.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J94	Poole Town	I believe that Poole Town Council should cover the entirety of Poole, and that Broadstone should not be singled out to have its own Parish. This will lead to a severance of that community and a disconnect across the historic borough of Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J95	Poole Town	I definitely agree that Poole should be a parish and have a town council so that there is a council just dedicated to Poole again. With only three one council for the whole of BCP, it can feel a bit Bournemouth centric.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J96	Poole Town	I do not think we need so many councillors keep it lean	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J97	Poole Town	I do understand why poole is being considered as a whole but question why so many wards are put together whilst areas like Broadstone are considered separately. Poole comprises one town ... of which Broadstone is a part. I don't understand why one area of the town is treated differently.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J98	Poole Town	I don't know enough to disagree with what somebody has researched and it makes sense to adhere to what they're suggesting so that Poole is not left behind.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J99	Poole Town	I don't think there is a need for a town council.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J100	Poole Town	I feel Poole needs to get back some of the identity it lost with the setting up of BCP. Much of this is to do with community based activities which a town council is ideally set up to deliver.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J101	Poole Town	I have no issue with the proposals for the parish wards. My only concern relates to the establishment of a Town Council for Broadstone, which seems totally out of kilter with what is being proposed for the rest of Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J102	Poole Town	I hope that we return to the very good service we used to enjoy before we took the retrograde step of allowing the BCP set up.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 9
J103	Poole Town	I question whether Broadstone residents really want to secede from Poole. One councillor per ward would be more manageable and democratic.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J104	Poole Town	I strongly agree with the proposal for a Poole Town Council. However, I wonder, as we already have BCP ward councillors, is it necessary to have this amount of Town Councillors. I would propose only one for each area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J105	Poole Town	I strongly agree with the proposals except that it may be better if Broadstone was included in the council, difficult to see why say Merley is included but not Broadstone	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J106	Poole Town	I support the idea of parishes, but the parish suggested for Poole is far too large, does not recognise substantial differences between Poole town and the other areas, and is not in keeping with the size of the other parishes put forward. A parish should be a smaller area, representative of the nature of the area. By simply adopting the old Bournemouth/Poole boundaries, it is still leaving Wallisdown cut across two areas, which has been a consistent issue for getting parity in the area with other areas of BCP. If the council is going to adopt parishes, it should do it properly across the whole authority area, in a consistent fashion - not just proper parishes for some areas, and a lazy, bulk approach, lumping in other areas with Bournemouth and Poole. As a Wallisdown resident, I associate much more with areas like Winton, Bournemouth, Branksome, Westbourne, Kinson, than I do Poole, Alderney etc	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J107	Poole Town	I think 42 is too many for a parish council with limited powers, trying not to increase council tax bills more than necessary. I would give one per ward.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J108	Poole Town	I think Churchfield rd is included in a ward that doesn't feel right. It would be better in the old town and Baiter Ward and would even up the numbers better. Churchfield rd and the roads to the South feel like part of an area linked to Poole Park, and have very few links across into Sterte and not much connection with areas north of Longfleet rd. I think it would be hat for a councillor to represent these disparate areas (Longfleet, Sterte and the area adjacent to Poole Park.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J109	Poole Town	I think it's very important for people to have a say in plans for their local area. Local context is important and can sometimes become lost in the larger scale functions of BCP. This is a great town with a significant history and potential for a vibrant, thriving future.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J110	Poole Town	I think they need to be more subdivided into smaller parish councils not one large, like Broadstone.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J111	Poole Town	I totally agree with the recommendation for a Poole town council it's about time we had a say in the running of our beloved Poole instead of letting Bournemouth interfere	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J112	Poole Town	If a Town council is what is wanted by residents then this proposal seems sensible.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J113	Poole Town	If the Poole Town Council is formed, and if the Charter Trustees therefore are disbanded, then Poole residents' council tax will rise considerably, even in the face of the recent past few years of significant Council Tax increases from BCP Council. However, if this gives more representation to each district of Poole so that residents' concerns are actually taken into account instead of imposed changes from BCP Council, it might be better for residents.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J114	Poole Town	In answer to them all, Poole has suffered greatly since the introduction of BCP, and anything to help it regain its former pride and authority is necessary.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J115	Poole Town	In favour of local democracy	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J116	Poole Town	Is there a need to so many councillors for the Poole area? I love in Oakdale and have no clear visibility of a who represents my area I don't know what they do so unsure If we need as many. I'm aware of Andy Hadley as he has helped with litterpicking and the councillor who is Mayor Mr Miles?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J117	Poole Town	It is essential that Poole has its own identity and is defined. This has been lost by the merger. Poole has a long history and individual identity. It is valuable and important that this is maintained and developed separate from a merged identity that now exists. Poole must have its own voice and independent voice and vision.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J118	Poole Town	It is important that Poole retains an independent identity within BCP.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J119	Poole Town	it looks as if this will happen whether i want it or not	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J120	Poole Town	It makes sense to have local governance for small local issues and opportunities.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J121	Poole Town	It seems to have been well considered and fair.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J122	Poole Town	Keeping up Poole's traditions, building on tourism, more community events.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J123	Poole Town	Local knowledge is important and having representatives to help push through good causes for the local community is important.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J124	Poole Town	More representation is needed to voice concerns in specific areas of the community.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J125	Poole Town	mostly agree with all	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J126	Poole Town	No need for further layers of governance	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J127	Poole Town	P00LE TO KEEP ITS IDENTITY WITHIN BCP COUNCIL	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J128	Poole Town	Poole council should include Broadstone - it is divisive and inaccurate to exclude one area like this.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J129	Poole Town	Poole has its own identity	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J130	Poole Town	Poole has not benefitted from being joined with Bournemouth and Christchurch and should have its own representation.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J131	Poole Town	Poole is NOT a suburb of Bournemouth but an historic town in its own right. It should not be treated as inferior to Christchurch and should have its own town Council, receiving funds instead of the measly amount allowed to trustees.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J132	Poole Town	Poole needs it's identity back, be able to operate as a Town with a long history, and be given the opportunity to provide extra services, if residents support them.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J133	Poole Town	Poole needs proper representation	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J134	Poole Town	Poole needs to be able to make their own decisions	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J135	Poole Town	Poole really needs local control	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J136	Poole Town	Poole should be able to manage its own amenities away from BCP as it is very distinct from Bournemouth, who has its own identity which also be retained away from BCP. The Towns are too big to lump together and therefore splitting them back into Towns will give the electorate their own identity again, making decisions about the respective towns as they see fit.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J137	Poole Town	Poole should have its councillors for Poole only	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J138	Poole Town	Poole should have its own parish council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 0
J139	Poole Town	Poole town council should reflect Poole as a shire with it's port, town and residents with in its centre.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 0
J140	Poole Town	Poole Town needs it's own council as it is unique in that tourists always come here and scare the wildlife and there are too many holiday rentals. This pushes prices beyond the local population.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J141	Poole Town	Poole town should include Broadstone	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J142	Poole Town	Poole's history requires a representative elected Town council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J143	Poole Town	Poole's identity should be maintained and developed.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J144	Poole Town	Recommendations a, b,c,d,e,f,g & h. I would like this entire area of the Poole community to remain as a United entity.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J145	Poole Town	Seem reasonable	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J146	Poole Town	Since amalgamation with Bournemouth and Christchurch, Poole has lost its identity as a town with seafaring and tradition going back in history. We have been lumped with the excesses of our immediate neighbour, and as a result our town has grown more shabby and neglected, as more resources have been poured into Bournemouth.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J147	Poole Town	The area is far too big. There should be more divisions. There is far too much diversity in the needs of neighbourhoods. How can the needs of someone in Turlin Moor compare with someone in Sandbanks. I feel that Poole is not being properly represented within BCP. I would like to see parishes for Upper Parkstone/Branksome (Ashley Road and north of), Lower Parkstone and Sandbanks, Poole west etc. I really feel that Poole is the poor relation in BCP and would like to see this resolved.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J148	Poole Town	The area suggested for the council is too big and varied and as a consequence there are too many councillors to be able to work cooperatively for a common good.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J149	Poole Town	The BCP merger of 2019 did nothing for Poole and moved the focus to Bournemouth	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J150	Poole Town	The Charter Trustee situation is not functioning to protect Poole's identity and heritage. BCP constantly underfunds and undermines the activities of heritage organisations and of the historic identity of the Mayor ceremonies and activities of the town. More local decision makers can influence decisions and bring something back to the town.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J151	Poole Town	The need to streamline both the beaurocracy and service delivery	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 8
J152	Poole Town	The proposals sounds a logical step forward creating local accountability for local governments. Representation of residents to councillors seems proportionate and fare across the new town council although is significantly greater than in other parts of the borough. The structure will help ensure that the needs of a local community are heard within the BCP borough and local issues owned and addressed. Though I hope this structure still allows for a bold and forward thinking vision for BCP as a whole, we don't want to be reduced to small mindedness and set in our ways.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J153	Poole Town	The wards need to be represented by at least 2 members in case of absenteeism and ensure that their ward are heard at Poole Town Council meetings.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J154	Poole Town	There needs to be more representation in Poole	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J155	Poole Town	There should be 4 councillors for Poole town. This is a large shopping/ residential area and needs more representation.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J156	Poole Town	This is a completely unnecessary extra level of bureaucracy tt is neither progressive nor helpful	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J157	Poole Town	This submission is made on behalf of Poole Quays Forum (PQF). PQF is the Neighbourhood Planning Forum for Poole Town, Poole Quay and Hamworthy East. It is a statutory consultee on planning matters. It is a charity and is non-political and is not aligned to any political party. PQF has 510 members, membership is open to anyone resident or working in its area and there are no membership fees. It was formed, in order to preserve and enhance the history and future of the area, and this will be important going forwards. PQF has consulted with its members. PQF fully supports the principle of a Poole Town Council but consider that the proposed boundary does not achieve the object of greater democracy to improve and strengthen community engagement. PQF believe that the proposed boundaries should be much smaller and the area divided into smaller more responsive parish councils of similar size to the Christchurch area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J158	Poole Town	This will give the residence of Poole a better say in what goes on within Poole. The heritage of Poole thrrrefore should be better looked after. It will put the Pride in Poole to return. The Poole and Cherbourg Twinning as laid out in the Charter will be better funded as the present Committee is now not getting any funding to carry their duties as laid down in the Charter. Hopefully a building will be established within Poole for the Town Council and which also will be used for meetings etc	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J159	Poole Town	To give the residents of Ham West a voice in the local area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J160	Poole Town	To Keep Poole's historic identity	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J161	Poole Town	Unsure about allocation of councillors	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J162	Poole Town	Very much NOT in agreement with firming Parish Councils	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J163	Poole Town	We had seperate councils - which actually was better than one BIG BCP council. You merged them. Now you want to add another layer of beuocracy (and cost!!!!) by adding these councils back in again. Either split the councils back up again to what they originally were without all these extra layers or make BCP work as it is. Stop hiding spending by adding layers of complexity to the organisation of BCP.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J164	Poole Town	We have just created an identity for Lilliput Village but this has no presence in the boundary proposals - rather we are part of a rather oddly shaped extension to Penn Hill. Also I believe that Merley and Bearwood should not be included within the Poole Town area but should be separate as is proposed for Broadstone. I can see no sense in the two different approaches. It should be consistent- either all in Poole Town or all out.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J165	Poole Town	We in Poole are known as the poor relations to bournemouth and Christchurch. We need our own council back and our councillors that will work for us, although I really don't see the need for so many councillors draining our resources. Giv3 the people of Poole the deciding hand in future development and save the ridiculous amount of money the councillors are paid,	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J166	Poole Town	We need more representation at local level, due to the size of BCP, and the council side stepping Poole, in favour of Bournemouth.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J167	Poole Town	With a local representative within the Poole council it is hoped that 'our' needs and complaints will receive a quicker response than is current.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J168	Poole Town	Yes I feel that it would allow more focus and voices from Poole Town centre in affecting things such as events and play areas etc rather than the huge and out of touch bcp council where things have been taken away i.e. Poole Quay events (later brought back by the businesses here) but makes us feel unseen	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J169	Poole Town	Yes,good but will there be too many people arguing for their area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J170	Poole Town	' A' - It just sounds like it is going to cost more money!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J171	Poole Town	J - I strongly DISAGREE with the draft recommendation for Poole town. This type of 'parish' council is only suitable for SMALL rural villages. It offers no additional benefits to the residents, only increased tax costs to them. The services it would offer are already in place via BCP Council, therefore it is an unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J172	Poole Town	(a) Another layer of beaurocracy along with more, uncapped expense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J173	Poole Town	(a) It's only a few years since the old Borough of Poole Council was abolished in the name of efficiency and cost savings by merging with Bournemouth and Christchurch, but now there's a proposal for a new Poole Town Council as well as BCP. If there were a proposal to reverse the previous merger I'd support it, but this proposal is just adding duplication. (b) and (g) The area as defined is roughly equivalent to the old Borough of Poole, which was a unitary authority in its own right, covering a large range of different communities. In that respect it is little different to the new BCP council, other than scale, and hence I can see no advantage to it. To make this a genuinely local parish council I'd like to see the area covered be much smaller, with correspondingly fewer new councillors. This is being offered for Broadstone, so why not for other parts of the old Borough of Poole?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J174	Poole Town	<p>(a) to (d) inclusive.</p> <p>As a concerned resident, I respectfully submit that the plans to introduce new, large Town and Parish Councils in addition to the present BCP Council be dropped.</p> <p>I am concerned the plans will produce additional layers of local Government without any tangible benefit to residents for the following reasons:</p> <p>Such plans could lead to confusion and “doubling up” on tasks or tasks being mistakenly overlooked between the various councils and would erode community cohesion (especially if one area was seen to be accidentally benefiting to the detriment of others).</p> <p>Would it not be pragmatic that a simpler approach be adopted by BCP, with a focus on improving BCP’s existing services, rather than creating more Councils?</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J175	Poole Town	<p>(a) to (h) Another level of local government in addition to BCP will inevitably increase bureaucracy by increasing the number of decision-making procedures, and a fractured approach to service delivery which will still owe more to political alignment at the expense of local need. Far from being improved and strengthened, community engagement will in fact be severely compromised by confused accountability for service delivery and budgetary responsibility whilst its size will contribute nothing to make communities more cohesive. It will in fact combine the worst of all disadvantages whilst having little or no perceivable impact on performance. The electorate is acutely aware that their interests are of secondary importance as far as major issues are concerned. There is therefore no confidence in local social media forums that this Council will be delivering "better local democracy" or "improved and stronger community engagement" in any meaningful way either through this consultation process or by the creation of yet another politically run organisation. No guarantees have been provided that its representatives will be any more in touch with the real needs of the electorate than the present BCP incumbents so what is the point?</p> <p>There are, however three questions that are uppermost in many people's minds: -</p> <p>1) Why was the merger of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole considered such a good idea if the reinstatement of lower tier councils is now necessary?</p> <p>2) Why is such an important constitutional change not being referred to the electorate as a binding referendum? Is a consultation that can be ignored all that it is worth? Are our opinions that irrelevant or is it that the Council knows full well that it will be roundly rejected?</p> <p>3) How is it possible to provide a fully informed comment on a proposal that does not provide all the information needed? A half thought through proposal with non-specific benefits incapable of measurement, actively promoted by a Council that has already demonstrated where its preferences lie ahead of taking a decision, automatically qualifies for rejection.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J176	Poole Town	<p>(a)-(h) The first stage of CGR was not made widely available unless you happened across the Have Your Say website, and I say this as a BCP employee too who gets the Need to Know emails and as a resident signed up to the BCP emails. The implications of CGR were not sufficiently explained to the widest number of BCP residents via leaflets to every household. And so we find ourselves at Stage 3 with a massive Poole Town Council being proposed. One of the arguments for the CGR proposals was so that local decisions could be made instead of by 76 BCP Councillors - but the proposal is for a Poole Town Council with 42 Councillors in any case - 55% of the number on BCP! This is way too large for governance to be considered 'local' - I don't want Councillors in e.g. Canford Cliffs deciding where my Town Council precept is spent! In addition, it is creating another large level of bureaucracy within the area - we already have one really effective Creekmoor Councillor who is very active on behalf on Creekmoor residents. We also have one very ineffective Lib Dem Councillor in Creekmoor who is pretty much notable by his absence on local concerns and who did nothing to explain why CGR would work for Creekmoor at a recent local meeting about this consultation. The vast area covered by the proposed Poole Town encompasses too many diverse wards which would go against the requirements for creating community cohesion. Some wards have a very affluent population and others have multiple deprivations - the needs of these different communities cannot be effectively met by such a large Town Council covering so many diverse parishes. Much better to parish at the ward or ward grouping level in the way that there are in the former Christchurch Borough.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J177	Poole Town	<p>(a)I did not agree when the proposal to establish BCP Council. I felt it was proposed not to enhance services for residents or in the best interests of residents, but more about greed. Since this is where we are, I cannot see the point of changing it as it will involve significant expense. It also seems very complicated.</p> <p>(b)I live on the Poole side of Wallisdown Road, just off of the Wallisdown Road close to the shopping area. I cannot understand why my property would come under the Alderney ward.</p> <p>I do not see what benefits splitting the conurbation in all these areas will be for residents. No doubt all of us will have to pay more in Council Tax.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J178	Poole Town	<p>(f) I do not agree to the idea of having town councils. They provide very little benefit and are expensive to run. I used to live in Middlewich Cheshire so have many years experience of a town council, we had a police investigation on the town clerk, issues with the cemetery accounts, loss making annual festival, reduction in social calender, resignations so by elections, online abuse of councillors (including by councillors).</p> <p>I note on line abuse was also an issue in a northern council (Yarm) where the bbc report that all 12 councillors resigned due to a toxic atmosphere, our local Facebook suggests it would be similar here.</p> <p>Your document is full of changes to boundies which will be a continual issue (expense) as new housing changes the populations of each area. It also states that Christchurch didn't consult with Hurn over their proposal, showing small TCS don't have power.</p> <p>I am pleased with the social offering side of BCP, it is far better than in Cheshire East. I do not think splitting the area into town councils will offer any benefit to me, just an extra expense.</p> <p>The idea of employing a town clerk for each area to control a small budget for cemeteries, benches and allotments just doesn't make sense, you would not see a similar situation in business. Each clerk would be audited (another expense) when we are all realising the budget for areas people do not show any online concern.</p> <p>Poole is a big area and the social section is not evenly spaced so I do not see how your proposal will give people a greater voice or feel more connected to the community. Town councils when properly run can offer small benefits to small towns, Poole just isn't a sensible proposition due yo it's size (the same applies to Bournemouth)</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J179	Poole Town	...	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J180	Poole Town	1. I do not understand and so see the reason for forming another layer of local government. Poole Council was abolished/merged with Bournemouth and Christchurch and this was meant to yield cost benefits... „where are they?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J181	Poole Town	<p>42 councillors is that replacing BCP staff?</p> <p>Who is paying for those 42 councillors, their offices, their equipment, etc...</p> <p>Poole and Christchurch councils were both successful councils prior to the merger with Bournemouth, since BCP I haven't seen anything improve only standards dropping, vape form money dropping, the town dropping. It's the best solution really to 'employee a load of other people to do our job'</p> <p>I'm happy with this proposal if it makes the end of BCP, otherwise BCP needs to rocket up then to reduce the number of people involved in making decisions and to come together on a clear vision that the whole council works towards instead we have far too many people and far too many compromised resulting in per decision after our decision with other to no consideration to the residents that foot the bill.</p> <p>Will this proposal improve things for most areas, yes, should we have it, possibly, who does the cost verses return look from those people paying the bill...</p> <p>Does anyone know what that bill might look like?</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J182	Poole Town	a - disagree with whole concept, extra cost and bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J183	Poole Town	a - h I disagree with all the recommendations. The proposal offers no new services, and no improvements to existing services - it offers only an increase in administration costs!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J184	Poole Town	a - I disagree with an additional layer of tax that would be required for a parish council. If anything Poole should exist as a separate council to Bournemouth, as was always the residents preferred choice.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J185	Poole Town	'a' - if we already have 3 BCP councillors for Merley & Bearwood why do we need a parish council? Why are the 3 councillors not doing this job?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J186	Poole Town	a - no need to change no actual [unknown] b - no new boundary as should revert if one council c - parish is not need as (a) d - just another layer of bureaucratic waffle e - no need as previous answer f - just jobs for the boys g - as above h - will the councillors use the [unknown] equipment or waste money on [unknown]	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J187	Poole Town	A - Poole is an absolute mess because BCP council are failing in their delivery of services. Adding an extra layer of beurocracy and extra councillors will not fix this issue, only make it worse and remove accountability from those elected and paid to deliver services to residents!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J188	Poole Town	A - stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. B - stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. C - stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. D - stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. E- stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. F- stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. G-stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work. h - stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J189	Poole Town	A - Totally disagree with this being suggested. We previously had separate councils and they merged to save money. Now you're suggesting have even more councils which I'll cost even more money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J190	Poole Town	a 16/10/2024 ? There is already a framework with the Charter Trustees for the former Borough of Poole. Consultative, open communication with the current BCP Council officials should provide sufficient information and content for planning and oversight of the requirements of the residents in this Borough without the need for 42 additional salaried, governance staff.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J191	Poole Town	a and e. Strongly disagree with establishing a town council for Poole. It creates additional layers of bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit. Very limited statutory powers are given to town councils who act merely as a consultee on key decisions that BCP will make. More Cody to council tax payers with no benefit. Sort out the governance and outputs of BCP rather than creating more cost and administration. It is too late to roll back the clock to when Poole Council existing, accept this, move on and make BCP work. As someone who recently moved from a part of the country with a new town council under a unitary authority, I saw no benefit to residents, purely an additional significant precept on our council tax.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J192	Poole Town	a Another layer of costly bureaucracy we don't need	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J193	Poole Town	'a' 'b' and 'c' I do not agree with a further level of government on the grounds of cost. In my opinion BCP should either continue as at present or preferably revert to the position before it was formed. We do not need the extra cost of more councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J194	Poole Town	'a' 'b' 'c'	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J195	Poole Town	A complete waste of time, money and resources.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J196	Poole Town	A completely unnecessary extra layer of local Government that will be little more than a talking shop and pander to the egos of certain local councillors and Charter trustee members. Of no value financially or socially to the town as proposed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J197	Poole Town	A I strongly disagree as Poole and the area you have highlighted is divided into social economic groups with such large and varied disparities. The chance of involving a cross section of the community would be very low and certain areas in Canford Cliffs would struggle to find elected Councillors whereas other areas might possibly have a strong community involvement. Our current larger organisational group enables a fair and proportionate investment in the region as a whole. It would be very easy for the Parish Council to loose sight of the variation of areas under their control.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J198	Poole Town	A I strongly disagree with this proposal. I do not see a benefit to residents, I do not agree that this proposal can be implemented without prior knowledge of the cost associated with it. At a time when the cost of living has increased considerably adding extra cost in council tax is unacceptable.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J199	Poole Town	A parish council is just wasting money. Why was the council merged into BCP to now setup Parish councils, what a waste of time money and effort. There are much better things to spend our tax payers money on then setting up a parish. Millions were wasted setting up BCP it should have been left as it was.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH14 0
J200	Poole Town	A people can't afford 2 council taxes	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J201	Poole Town	A second layer of local government is not necessary. I can see no benefit to the residents of the town.The only benefit will be to the council as a way of increasing revenue	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J202	Poole Town	'a' This whole thing is ridiculous! Poole was fine before the mess of BCP was created. another layer of 'parish' or 'town' council is simply a way of getting residents to pay more on their council tax, by way of another 'precept', which may start out as a relatively small amount, but would have the facility and ability to be loaded in the future. BCP would be able to offload anything they don't want to deal with financially , socially, educationally, care etc and Poole could simply become a SCAPEGOAT for anything that didn't quite work out - in all likelihood, due to BCP inefficiencies, ignorance and inadequacy in any arena you care to name: this opinion has evolved from the experience of having lived under control of BCP since its mistaken inception. Both Poole and Christchurch seem to have been overwhelmingly ignored in favour of Bournemouth on any and every scale, so my guess it that anything a 'bit too hot to handle' will be passed to the 'parish/town. council. Either we resume 3 separate areas of Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth - as was - or hope we can chuck out the current councillors in the next election, as they are simply pursuing their own pet projects and ignoring the fact that some previous (and still current) councillors should be investigated for possible malpractice.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J203	Poole Town	A to g - I don't agree with what you are proposing. You are basically pretending to be democratic by publishing this paper but you do not listen to the people e.g. closure of Poole Park, resistance to cycle lanes etc and obviously creation of BCP! Do you not realise there is a cost of living crisis and people just want a council providing value for money. This proposal is just a huge to show Government that you have ticked some democracy box.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J204	Poole Town	A Town Council is just another layer of unnecessary bureaucratic governance. We already pay for BCP to deal with the areas the Town Council will cover, we don't need to pay twice for this. Totally disagree with thie proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J205	Poole Town	<p>A</p> <p>I disagree with the idea of charging even more money on top of the council tax we pay. The idea behind this is to generate more money on our council tax, without having to go to government to get it authorised. Another level of bureaucracy that will cost more money, seeing little value for money</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J206	Poole Town	<p>A) - do we REALLY need another layer of administration to run the town? I feel we need an accountable and properly managed BCP council team. Delegating 'discretionary' responsibilities to so many areas will, I fear, result in protracted and biased decision making.</p> <p>B etc - everything else is based on the supposition about agreeing with the town council recommendation so my answers are indicative but rather ambivalent (sorry).</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J207	Poole Town	<p>a) A Poole town council would be too big. The proposal is to split parts of Bournemouth and Christchurch into small Parish councils yet this proposal combines the whole of Poole into one council. This is incredibly unequal - we have always been aware that the combined BCP council does not like Poole and will discriminate against them as much as they can legally get away with - this is definitely a shining example of this.</p> <p>b) Same reason as above it is too large an area</p> <p>c) As above</p> <p>d) As above</p> <p>e) As above</p> <p>f) If a Poole town council were to be created it should include Broadstone. Broadstone lies on the border of the BCP council area and is flanked on 3 sides by Creekmoor, Merley and Canford Heath. The only possible reason for the proposal that Broadstone be separate from Poole is that this was decided by the ex leader of the council Vicky Slade and her husband Paul. They live in Broadstone and Vicky is now MP for Broadstone - this is another shining example of them ensuring that the area they live in is not swallowed up in an unwieldy and likely very expensive large Town Council.</p> <p>g) The reasoning for 42 councillors is purely because the proposal is to create a monster town council which is likely to cost us all a fortune. Town councils were originally designed for small rural towns to have a say in the amenities and services that are important to them. Poole and all its distinct wards is NOT A SMALL RURAL TOWN</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J208	Poole Town	a) A Town council of 42 Councillors is totally unworkable. There is an imbalance - BCP has a total of 76 Councillors across the Unitary body covering Bournemouth, Christchurch & Poole - so more than half the number of councillors will represent c20% of the area. Also, Parkstone, with just 3 Councillors, will have limited voice amongst 42. There will no control over what can be charged for the initial precept, and with such a large cohort of Councillors, 25% will be swallowed up with Admin & set-up costs. Also, likely volunteer Councillors will have strong political views, and a back-door for Reform UK.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J209	Poole Town	a) and (d) I disagree with the establishment of a parish and a parish/town council for Poole. I cannot see any reason for this upheaval. It is not very long since we all gave our opinions on the disbanding of the former parish and town councils, shortly before BCP Council was created. This created enough change and made residents anxious. BCP Council is now well-established and we residents are perfectly happy with the situation. The council is working well and we do not need any additional councils. Creating town and parish councils would be a backwards step; it would be unwieldy, unnecessary and old-fashioned. It has no place in modern local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J210	Poole Town	a) area proposed is too big b) extra councillors resulting in extra cost c) there will be no limit on council tax increases d) cannot see the benefit to my local community This is why I strongly disagree with all proposals	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J211	Poole Town	a) As a resident of Parkstone, only having 3 Councillors out of 42 would mean that they would have virtually zero 'say' in local matters. The area proposed for a Poole Town council is far too large to give any areas a 'local' say. f) To include all these areas makes a mockery of suggesting it would 'improve community engagement'. A plan for a Parish Council for Parkstone was submitted, which would provide much better value for residents of Parkstone. This would only require a small administration cost whereas a large Poole Town Council - similar to Christchurch Town Council - would inevitably mean that much more of the precept would be taken up with administration, so much less money would be available for spending on community improvements.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J212	Poole Town	a) as I don't wish to have a parish council at all b-f are of no interest to me. g) 42 councillors will just be adding another layer of bureaucracy g) Creekmoor has 2 councillors on BCP why does the parish council need 3	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J213	Poole Town	A) completely disagree, why combined BCP when you now want to have parish councils, surely BCP should be completing the requirements of each area of BCP under the one council, that's what we pay council tax for!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J214	Poole Town	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority g) The areas proposed do not fit well together involving very different characteristics	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J215	Poole Town	<p>A) Disagree, BCP was established in 2019 in which covid occurred the following year. BCP itself is new and any changes will only distrust and annoyance towards BCP/new town/parish council create.</p> <p>b) As I am from Waterloo, I do not see myself as a Poole, to me this ends at Oakdale/Longfleet.</p> <p>C) Poole is Poole, Canford [unknown]</p> <p>d) then isn't it just a town council</p> <p>e) it's Bournemouth Christchurch and Poole sub areas so no, merely is not Poole</p> <p>f) should only be old town, longfleet and [unknown] parkstone, Hamworthy East and Oakdale ward.</p> <p>g/h) if to occur will the departments being transferred transfer equipment.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J216	Poole Town	<p>a) disagree. no need for a parish of Poole.</p> <p>f) Oakdale already has 2 councillors who haven't made a huge difference, why do we need 3? Why isn't Broadstone included in the parish of Poole.</p> <p>In general: I can't see any positives in this proposal as well as being asked to comment on the unknown - we don't know what the cost implications will be. Why do we have BCP council. We are going backwards with adding more bureaucracy and layers-wasting.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH14 0
J217	Poole Town	<p>a) Having spent the evening at the Creekmoor community centre in a meeting with councillors, Jude Butt, Paul Slate, having read the published information I do not believe residents have been given sufficient information to make an informed decision. We do not know which services would be transferred and more importantly the additional cost we would need to pay for the services BCP should be providing (I would not expect any rebate).</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J218	Poole Town	<p>a) I am strongly opposed to the concept of Poole having a town council.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J219	Poole Town	a) I can see no reasons why we need another level of bureaucracy to run this area. I see that there will be conflict of interests and money being wasted.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J220	Poole Town	a) I do not think we should have a town council. If BCP is unable to operate successfully as a unitary authority, then it should be dissolved and go back to Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch Councils. We do not need to have two layers of bureaucracy. There has been no justification for creating town councils, no costing, no details of any benefits. All I can see are costs, and the whole argument for merging the three councils was to save money and so far this appears to have been an unmitigated disaster. Poole Council were a good council, but I now feel Poole has been dragged down to Bournemouth's previous level.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J221	Poole Town	a) I don't believe we need a further level of bureaucracy to be introduced. Creekmoor is served very well by 2 councillors	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J222	Poole Town	A) i feel strongly that a parish council should not be established in Poole for several reasons: This seems like an additional layer of bureaucracy, which will further confuse BCP residents about who is responsible for which services and civic duties. Having subsidiary organisations within BCP could break down cohesion between different parishes This will give BCP other councils to act as scapegoats when the council fails to meet promises on services. Fundamentally it seems like additional complexity and cost with no aim or benefits	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J223	Poole Town	<p>a) I feel that if the advantage of a town council is to provide localised services, creating such a large council for the whole of Poole will not deliver this. I live in Creekmoor and my fear is that resources won't be targeted in my area, instead it will be the larger population in Poole that will receive the support. I resent paying extra Council Tax if I do not see benefit in my local area.</p> <p>f) g) the number of proposed areas and councillors seems too many and does not deliver the localised decision making that I thought would be the advantage of a town council. It feels that there will be too many areas fighting for resources and I can see smaller areas being overlooked for funds.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J224	Poole Town	<p>a) I totally disagree about having a new parish</p> <p>b) as above - no need for new parish or boundaries</p> <p>c) irrelevant - no need</p> <p>d) waste of money and time!</p> <p>e) irrelevant (do not want)</p> <p>f) not needed</p> <p>g) in reality, excessive!</p> <p>h) excessive!</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J225	Poole Town	<p>a) my main disagreement with this plan is that it has not been costed, no one can give an answer to the question, and it is unclear what the responsibilities of a 'town council' will be. It seems that BCP will be adding an additional separate cost for the running of duties it takes over from BCP ex maintenance of park areas as an example. BCP council tax is restricted to a 5% annual increase. Poole Town council tax is not restricted on annual increase. No control on cost. (b & c) Have ticked 'somewhat agree' on the organisation and set up of wards and councillors of a town council IF it is set up. (Not agreeing to the actual recommendation of financing it without the cost of it being known an a restriction of annual increase.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J226	Poole Town	<p>a) No extra layer of administration needs to be added as at a time of shortage of many extra costs not needed.</p> <p>c) d) Boundaries are arbitrary along arterial routes and do not reflect areas and amenities used. Also two councils meet on Wallisdown rd so nothing ever done.</p> <p>f) g) h) As shown before each area should have about 11,000 residents per councillor that would mean 21 not 42</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J227	Poole Town	<p>a) No further layer of governance are needed and I do not want council costs increased to cover this proposed additional alyer.</p> <p>b) d) The boundaries are totally arbitrary and do not reflect what people use and where they go. They should NOT be divided along main arterial routes - prior to BCP it was impossible for anything to be done on Wallisdown Road due to the two old councils never agreeing.</p> <p>f) g) h) The districts are too small. They should be combined to give an electorate of 10 to 12 thousand and assigned ONE councillor. They could then team up to get cover and better understand the electorate.</p> <p>If a split is needed then it should be for everything as it was pre BCP or left alone. This way we have protection from increasing costs. In reality NOTHING is required.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J228	Poole Town	<p>a) not needed, BCP already exists.</p> <p>b) current polling districts within BCP already outlined.</p> <p>c) Name is already established</p> <p>d) Parish of Poole not needed. Already part of BCP.</p> <p>e) BCP already use Poole councillors within BCP.</p> <p>f) Poole council [unknown] under BCP</p> <p>g) already got existing wards under BCP</p> <p>h) Poole area already has councillors within BCP.</p> <p>i) councillor was already allotted to existing BCP wards.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J229	Poole Town	<p>a) One single "parish" encompassing most of current Poole would be too large and meaningless to local democracy. Merley, has little (if anything) in common with, say Sandbanks.</p> <p>b) Likewise, having one "parish" boundary covering most of Poole, is, again, meaningless to residents. Basically, this just duplicates the current BCP wards.</p> <p>c), d) and e) Again, the name of Poole for the whole new council tier just duplicates the current arrangement.</p> <p>f) 17 wards again just basically reflects the current arrangement. Why does Broadstone get its own Town Council (with a councillor:voter ratio of 640:1 and 14 councillors; likewise Northbourne/Redhill of 859:1 and 9 councillors), whilst Merley ends up lumped in with the rest of Poole with a ratio of 2,807:1 and only two representatives?</p> <p>g) There seems to be a great disparity in representation. Throop/Holdenhurst has (and will continue to have) 85 voters per councillor. Merley (as part of Poole) ends up with just two councillors as part of the 2,807:1 ratio. This does not seem fair or equitable, or indeed democratic. Has population growth been correctly calculated, especially with all the new housing in Merley? Two councillors seems very small beer.</p> <p>h) Merley looks towards Wimborne, rather than Poole, for so many things. As noted above, it has little in common or connection with areas it's being joined with in this proposal. I realise Wimborne is in Dorset Council, but if one is looking for real local democracy and representation, and a connection with a sense of "place", then Merley is more strongly attached to Wimborne than it is to Poole.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J230	Poole Town	<p>a) There is no need for more bureaucracy in Poole. The current BCP is already far from efficient so adding more moving parts will only further reduce services and add to costs.</p> <p>g) Who will pay for 42 councillors and their expenses? What will they do? We already have council representatives within our community so don't need anymore.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J231	Poole Town	a) There would be no need for a new layer of government if Central government took responsibility for SEND and adult social care; our local councillors have become bureaucrats with no discretion to spend tax money raised locally on local priorities. Councillors elected to BCP council should be able to direct money to weekly bin collections or park beautification schemes, not just nod through national SEND and social care allocations.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J232	Poole Town	a) This will simply add another layer of incompetence, and somewhat insulate those who currently have responsibility from many of the decisions made recently which have so angered and frustrated many residents. Why merge three councils on the dubious argument of efficiency only to create a multitude of small units each of which has little authority to act. I suspect they will however, if created get much of the blame!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J233	Poole Town	a) we do not need a parish of Poole, as we already have BCP. Too many cooks	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J234	Poole Town	a) we do not need another council BCP should be doing what I pay my taxes for	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J235	Poole Town	a) Why do we need an extra layer of councillors over and above those already within BCP ? It seems to be just extra layers of management just for the sake of it, or you have been given the mandate to do it by the new government and have thus decided it would be a good thing for BCP. The BCP council and councillors should concentrate on doing a better job of managing the current council and not waste resources on creating completely unnecessary parish and town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J236	Poole Town	A) why split what just been amalgamated. Thus leave as is. Prefer to keep costs down	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J237	Poole Town	a, At great expense to the people, BCP was formed even though it was not wanted by most people, We do not want more cost's and more councillors now, as it is a waste of our money. There is enough snouts in the trough already.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 2
J238	Poole Town	a, b, c, d, e, because it's another big cone	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J239	Poole Town	<p>'a, b, c, d, e, f, g & h'</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the formation of parish councils within the BCP area at all.</p> <p>The reason being this will affect financially the total amount of council tax or a precept there of, that, we, the electorate will need to pay annually.</p> <p>This proposal is not costed or funded and is not worthy of consideration and must be thrown out.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J240	Poole Town	<p>a, b, c, d, e, f, g</p> <p>I disagree with all off the proposals with regard to a Poole Parish Council, and I believe that it is not necessary, and is beaurocracy overkill. I also believe that if set up, it would inevitably be controlled by infiltrated BCP councilors undermining the true desires of the people of the Borough of Poole. Being a precept on the BCP council tax, it concerns me of the correct handling of any finances concerned, and where the interest accrued could be diverted to. It is obvious to me, that all of the shared concessionary responsibilities would be almost instantly dropped by BCP and dumped onto a new Poole Town Council, if formed.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J241	Poole Town	<p>A, B, D.</p> <p>I am not sure that this is the way to proceed at the moment due to the proposed super councils. Anything that we do at the moment without a clear picture of what is happening is likely to be a waste of time and effort, so I disagree with the proposal's.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J242	Poole Town	<p>'a', 'c', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility? Why add confusion to who does what? Concentrate on getting BCP right.</p> <p>'g', 'h' Who will manage, direct and get agreement from 42 councillors in an effort to make progress?</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J243	Poole Town	<p>a, d in particular. We formerly had a Poole Council which served us well. The merger into BCP has resulted in reduced services, lack of local representation and lack of respect. Introducing a town council to deal with minor local matters such as parks and community centres will not rectify the harm done by the creation of BCP. It will simply raise costs for council tax payers and introduce more layers of bureaucracy with little benefit.</p> <p>To me it looks as if this is a straightforward revenue raising exercise- passing off as many current BCP duties as possible to the parish council for which a separate charge will then be made. Given that BCP would no longer be providing the services for which we currently pay them, one might assume that our council tax will then be reduced?! No where in the consultation does BCP comment as to whether this will be the case.</p> <p>There is a huge amount of information provided for this, clearly very expensive exercise, but nowhere does BCP explain why they are not capable of providing services re parks etc and why it needs another body to carry out these services.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 9
J244	Poole Town	<p>a, f. I think that setting a "coucil" for Poole just complicates who is responsible for what service in Poole. We have just gone through amalgamating the three councils into BCP. I do not wish the creation of another layer of local government having had some experience of working as an accountant in a local authority for some years.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J245	Poole Town	<p>A, The whole process adds additional bureaucracy and less accountability.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J246	Poole Town	A, this is unnecessary.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J247	Poole Town	A,,c,d,e,f, I disagree with Town parish Councils being established again . The title suggests BCP BOURNEMOUTH CHRISTCHURCH POOLE council under this title I cannot understand why confuse the running of services with more add one's . The more agencies involve means slower response times as there will be more departments more paperwork which sits idly in in trays and out trays whilst consumers are left in limbo waiting on services to be implemented. If there is changes to be made then perhaps look inside the the current administration to regulate performance and delivery . As unnecessary councils makes for layers with zero benefits. I am hoping that the council will listen to the electorate and deliver on their instructions.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J248	Poole Town	a,b and c. The only thing that would benefit Poole, is if BCP is disbanded and the three towns become separate entities as before the BCP group was dictatorially formed. There was no proper consultation and the forming of BCP was forced upon us. Poole will benefit is if it goes back to being solely Poole borough council as it used to be. This adding of layers is not only adding a bureaucratic layer of governance, but will add to the council tax precept. BCP needs disbanding immediately as it just doesn't work. Also, why is Broadstone being separated from Poole? there is not enough detail as this smacks of it being separated due to the wishes of the local mp?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J249	Poole Town	A,b,c,d We don't want this, in any way shape or form. A,b,c,d we don't Identify with these boundaries	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J250	Poole Town	a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J251	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,f, - This all seems unnecessary. Things in Poole seem to work perfectly as they are as a Charter Trustee area and do so at low cost and minimal interference.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J252	Poole Town	A,b,c,d,e,f,g and h. I disagree strongly with all of the draft recommendations as I think multiple layers of councils add complication to the process of providing services that we all need. Keep the council processes simple, central and efficient.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J253	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h No extra level of local bureaucracy should be added, this will only give BCP more reasons to derelict their existing duties at an added cost to the residents with no additional benefits.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J254	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,g,f,g,h,l We had a Poole council before BCP was formed now you want to go back to a Poole Council seems to me a complete waste of time & money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J255	Poole Town	a,f,g. I disagree with the proposal since there appear to be no costings given with respect to changes in Council Tax levels. An organisation of 42 councillors will be unwieldy and will probably require the employment of full time officials to operate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 2
J256	Poole Town	A. I do not agree with this proposal FULL STOP - it's another way of getting money out of residents due to the total mess made by BCP Council. In other words, putting the onus on residents to raise money to fill holes in the BCP coffers due to bad management.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J257	Poole Town	a. I object to another layer of governance and the extra costs that would obviously be incurred as there is already sufficient oversight by BCP Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J258	Poole Town	A. Another level of bureaucracy, and despite the the unpaid work of councillors, is going to involve considerable on-costs. Even the cost of this consultation is completely unnecessary.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J259	Poole Town	<p>A. I do not support the creation of a town council for Poole, speaking both as a resident and a ward Cllr. It is an unnecessary extra layer of governance.</p> <p>B.I do not agree with parishing of Poole</p> <p>C, d e g and h all as above, speaking as a resident and ward Cllr for Talbot and Branksome Woods.</p> <p>My comments are based on representations from residents in T and BW ward. Every conversation email and letter without exception has been to express reservation on formation of a Town council for Poole. Residents even if unhappy with the current BCP council see no need for another layer of governance, are concerned about the prospect of an uncapped precept, and do not see a Town council as a mechanism for an improved and stronger community particularly as TBW ward would be split into two different Councils, creating inequity and actually dividing their community.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J260	Poole Town	a. It is a huge waste of money. Our money. We combined the original Poole Council with Bournemouth and Christchurch to create BCP as it would save us money and provide better services, now we want to introduce another layer of bureaucracy which we have to pay for on top of BCP. Sort out BCP so that it works for the people as it was designed to.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J261	Poole Town	<p>a. This is totally unnecessary. It will add an additional layer of bureaucracy which will cause the following problems.</p> <p>At the moment there is on epoint of contact for all Council matters. To have two, people will be confused as to which to contact. They could be pushed between the two authorities. There will be uncertainty about which Councillors to contact.</p> <p>The proposed Boundary splits Wallisdown Road between Poole and Bournemouth. Prior to BCP there was often confusion about the respective responsibilities of Poole & Bournemouth.</p> <p>BCP Staff time will be taken up liaising with the Town Council. Currently the service provided by BCP leaves a lot to be desired. That time would be better spent improving the service provided by BCP.</p> <p>BCP is not sufficiently large to warrant it.</p> <p>The size is such that it will never achieve the object of having more local involvement. It is unnecessary.</p> <p>b. The boundary is not co terminus with the BCP Council Wards. Talbot Village is in the Talbot & Branksome Wood ward for BCP. The proposals spilt that ward. This will cause more confusion.</p> <p>g. To have another 42 Councillors is just unnecessary. It is over half the number for BCP as a whole.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J262	Poole Town	<p>A. We already had a council in Poole that was merged in to BCP council that we had no say in, now you want to add in more councillors and more cost to people, and for what so that the councillors on BCP can have less to do and still earn the same</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J263	Poole Town	<p>ABC: This is an unnecessary because of reasons D to G outlined below.</p> <p>DEFGH: *This is an extra layer of bureaucracy that will contribute nothing extra, at additional cost in terms of 42 extra councillors wages.</p> <p>* It will increase confusion in which council is responsible for what services and responsibilities.</p> <p>* It will be a detriment to the cohesion of the community, serving to divide rather than unite.</p> <p>*These new councils have no statutory powers and do not replace BCP. BCP should therefore improve their own services instead of delegating and shirking their roles and responsibilities onto other councillors, thereby avoiding or deflecting issues of accountability onto this new buffer layer of stooges. This is not real devolution, if that was the intention.</p> <p>*BCP should disband into its original three councils and admit failure, or otherwise improve their services, rather than creating new councils to do their jobs for them.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J264	Poole Town	<p>ABCDEFGH All changes as they would very likely entail increases in Council Tax which is already too high. The Current Council cannot do the simplest of jobs at present so I do not want to give them more money to fritter away on overheads and councillors expenses. Do what you should do look after the elderly build homes for hard pressed families that are affordable not high rise apartments in the centre of Poole for people to retire to from elsewhere. We do not need parish councils as another layer of the onion of government someone else for you to blame when you do things wrong. Have you nothing better to do with your time and our money just get the roads fixed no more unnecessary cycle lanes better bus services for those of us with no cars</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J265	Poole Town	<p>abcdefghi We do not need any extra layers of council employees interfering with what is happening at the present,rather they concentrate on what is needed to be done at the present time with the present regime.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J266	Poole Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J267	Poole Town	Additional cost another layer of governance will add	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J268	Poole Town	afraid that council tax would rise steeply. Having more councillors would cost a lot more especially when BCP is in dire financial straits. Dont think having a town council would benefit me. Coucillors should work for free.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J269	Poole Town	After the huge cost involved in merging all 3 local councils into BCP I personally feel that this council has wasted enough of the tax payers money on merging the 3 local areas. Now to spend even more of our precious funds to rip them apart again is utter madness	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J270	Poole Town	a-h) There is nothing beneficial in adding extra layers of bureaucracy. There are already too many hoops to jump through before anything ever gets done.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J271	Poole Town	a-h) I am happy with current situation	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J272	Poole Town	a-h) I don't see any value in adding any extra layers of bureaucracy and government administration. Particularly if the new 42 councillors will have limited power. What was the point of continuing councils?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J273	Poole Town	a-h, a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J274	Poole Town	a-h. I see no need for this additional formation of local bureaucracy. BCP generally and Poole specifically is well represented by local councillors who are paid to carry out governance in their wards and with a wider eye for the whole of BCP. Any Town Council, or whatever, will just be costly tokenism. Let's concentrate on making what we have work, rather than muddle the waters with something that sounds good but in practice is next to useless or effective.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
J275	Poole Town	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J276	Poole Town	All comments: We were previously had a Poole town council but were pushed into the newly created BCP being told things like " it will be more efficient" and "It will be more cost effective" we were told the three towns would be "treated equally fairly" . BCP has been a failure, and now BCP are trying to side step their responsibilities by pushing things they are unable to do onto new town councils as they are unable to fund things as they should.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J277	Poole Town	All of the above: you are adding additional layers of bureaucracy and more cost to residents before you can even provide essential services as BCP. You have not provided budgets or declared exactly what the purpose of these new councils will be. Will our council tax to BCP be reduced by the same amount we are to be charged for this new council? You need to stop wasting money on pie in the sky ideas like this and go back to your true purpose: providing essential services at value for money to your residents	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J278	Poole Town	All of them ABC etc . as this is blantly a way round national govenment parameters for countil tax fixed at the 4.99 %,there are no descriptive costs or what services will be transffered to Parish Councils ,its away of moving the goal posts to increase incoming revenue above the Govenment sealing .and whos to say after the first year there are no gurantees that more services agian withn more costs will be transffered to the parish council ,local control is not a bad thing but you wouldnt agree to buy a servcie without knowing what you are buying and how much it will cost ,if im paying for a service already in my council tax why should I pay a duplicate cost for what im already paying for to local govenment and then pay an extra cost to a parish council that has no consultation to what services are transffered . The national govenment carved up our local councils to create a super council BCP to control spending and get a grip on local govenment services all it has done is nearly bankrupt itself ,now in an indistinct way its being carved up again and doubling costs .	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J279	Poole Town	all of them. I think adding extra councillors is the wrong way to save money. Will us tax payers be paying 2 forms of council tax?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J280	Poole Town	All proposals Increased bureaucracy is the last thing needed. BCP is enough. It smacks of "jobs for the boys" It will not make things any better and will not improve anything at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J281	Poole Town	All Sections. Why on earth would we want another 42 councillors all claiming expenses at our cost. Let alone providing all the admin necessary behind them. We have a perfectly good council as it is. Any further cost to tax payers is the last thing we need. And don't forget these costs always escalate as the power goes to their heads. Forget this idea before it's too late. Shame on you Liberal Democrats. I thought you had more sense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J282	Poole Town	All) the cost implications for a town council will be huge on residents. Community resources have always be funded by local government, there should be no change in this. If there are shortage in budgets then stop paying your managing officers 6 figure salaries for pushing paper round a desk	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J283	Poole Town	All. We do not need another layer of bureaucracy in our lives.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J284	Poole Town	All. Yet another tier of local bureaucracy which is unnecessary and at additional cost	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J285	Poole Town	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J286	Poole Town	ALL. I disagree with all of this, we already have a council with councillors and a mayor. The cost of this will effect a lot of people. If this Council isn't working for the people then let's go back to separate councils, because this is how this all sounds, but with extra charges!.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J287	Poole Town	All: There are already too many layers of governance and councilors, it is already very difficult to get proper decisions made in a timely manner without adding further layers of governance and cost. If anything the existing system should be thinned down to streamline processes and enable progress.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
J288	Poole Town	Another unwanted level of management that will cost people money. The number of existing coucili members should be reduced as should the number of MP's..	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J289	Poole Town	As I do not agree with the proposal, I have expressed indifference to its suggested boundaries and structure. I believe in efficient and effective local government, not the creation of additional layers which absorb resources in performing an essentially ceremonial function.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J290	Poole Town	As I have said about Broadstone this also applies to Poole this is a total waste of money. This is going to cost millions to set up and BCP are already in Debt and should not even think about setting up any Parish or Town councils it is another level of bureaucracy that we could all do without and jolly well do not have the money to do. Spend it on projects not on people doing things that we already have people at BCP to do or should be doing.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J291	Poole Town	As I read this I'm in the understanding that this is in addition to the BCP councilors who already exist? An exact duplicate of people, boundaries, voices. I can only see this serving those people on the committee. Nobody really has interaction with a mayor, and if the mayor had gone a decade ago, few would notice. This is providing money for ceremony, and I don't support that. People are struggling with cash, this is not a good spend of their money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH12 1
J292	Poole Town	at no point is there NY INDICATION OF HOW THIS WILL INCREASE OUR COUNCIL CHARGES AND IT SEEMS A LOT OF COUNCILLORS FOR A SMALL AREA WHEN WE DONT KNOW WHAT WILL BE DEVOLVED TO THEM AND THEY WILL ALL CLEARLY MAKE FINANCIAL CLAIMS FOR THEIR WORK WHERE DOES THIS MONEY COME FROM.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J293	Poole Town	B) I think the boundaries are too broad and don't tie in with the identity of smaller areas. Waterloo for example I believe should be part of Broadstone rather than Creekmoor as it feels much more connected to Broadstone than Creekmoor especially given the fact that the Broadstone Way divides it off from Creekmoor. I do also think it is strange that Merley would be classed as Poole Town despite Broadstone being closer.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J294	Poole Town	b) The boundary does not reflect the Alderney area. Should this stupid wasteful idea go ahead then the area to the west of Ringwood Road around Littlemoor Ave and Paddington Grove should be in Bearwood Ward. However hopefully this stupid idea will fall flat on its face with less than 10% of the electors even replying to this misleading consultation.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J295	Poole Town	BCP don't listen to current views on spending (particularly around bus and cycle lanes, 20 zones, etc), so increasing the level of bureaucracy will neither save money or benefit the residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J296	Poole Town	BCP has earned a newsworthy reputation for an inability to provide adequate services for residents. A recent example was a safer road scheme. We spoke to the people who were doing the survey who were not local residents. They arrived early p.m when parking and local traffic are at their lightest. They disregarded our suggestions which were based on local and daily observations. A great deal of money was spent and has made little difference except pedestrians now have to avoid cyclists going the wrong way as well as the cars parked on the pavement.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J297	Poole Town	BCP must continue to run all services. I do not support the creation of a parish council and the additional costs of council tax administrative and unnecessary additional councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J298	Poole Town	BCP should already be doing this for Poole, we pay enough Council Tax as it is. It is also know that BCP are bankrupt, why should your residents pay for your mistakes	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J299	Poole Town	BCP should and are being paid to run all the issues described and therefore there is no reason to change	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J300	Poole Town	BCP spent a fortune telling us things would be better when Poole Bournemouth and Christchurch were run as one council. I don't understand what has changed. Why introduce another layer of bureaucracy it's a complete waste of time and money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J301	Poole Town	BCP took it over and are now trying to give it back after selling off most of the assets , they should be left to run it as it is , or have a proper referendum od everyone who lives here , most of the old have not heard of this	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J302	Poole Town	BCP was constructed from 3 separate local councils. The recommendations in the document now suggest that the old Poole area now have 42 local councillors. This is another layer of bureaucracy that is not needed. It is another layer that will require even more Council tax on top of what we pay. There are sufficient BCP Councillors to look after the interests of Poole already.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J303	Poole Town	BCP was created to provide a focal point within the whole area. To have a watering down of BCP will just bring in unwanted layers of administration in a time when we need to go for growth	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J304	Poole Town	BCP was formed , now changing again showing the original bcp formation does not work	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J305	Poole Town	BCP was formed to reduce cost and increase efficiency, which it has failed to do. My council tax bill has increased by nearly £50 a month in 8 years. How is adding another layer of government and adding more tax going to provide any benefit to residents? Nothing in this proposal indicates it will. These are the reasons for the responses given.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J306	Poole Town	Believe everyone in Poole(including my elderly parents) pay enough Council tax and there should be no devolution. BCP council wastes money on cycle lanes, causing ridiculous traffic build up while failing to keep the existing roads in a decent state of repair. Sort out your priorities. This town is dying.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J307	Poole Town	Bournemouth council took over Poole and Christchurch councils to supposedly save money because Bournemouth council was mismanaged financially. Hundreds of thousands was wasted on redundancies, consultations and re-hiring. Now BCP wants to add more councillors back into the mix at the expense of tax payers. Stick with one plan please and stop wasting money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J308	Poole Town	C. Adding a council to the Poole area will increase council tax and we already pay enough , stop wasting council money on vanity projects and spend it on proper things . Amazing how you have spent shed loads of money on cycle paths and amazingly the average age of Bournemouth is over 40 and how many of them cycle . That is why the Beryl bikes are pulling their bikes out.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J309	Poole Town	Can see very little if any value to a further layer of local government	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J310	Poole Town	<p>Comment on Recommendation (A): I strongly disagree with the draft recommendation to create a new Town Council for Poole.</p> <p>Here are the key reasons:</p> <p>a. Local Government Reorganisation in 2019 was designed to streamline governance, not add bureaucracy. The formation of BCP Council in 2019 was a significant and deliberate restructuring to replace multiple layers of local government with a single, unified authority. This bold step aimed to improve service delivery, reduce duplication, and deliver cost savings. Reintroducing a third tier of governance via a Poole Town Council directly undermines that objective. We risk reversing the progress made towards leaner, more accountable local government.</p> <p>b. A new Town Council adds cost without clear benefit. While the report notes parish precepts vary widely, it omits any realistic estimate for Poole. Even at the mid-range of current Dorset precepts (~£40–£70 per Band D household), this would represent a noticeable and unjustified additional cost for residents during a time of financial strain and council tax increases. The existing Charter Trustees model already fulfils ceremonial functions for minimal cost (£2.14 per Band D household in 2024/25) without burdening residents with additional administrative layers.</p> <p>c. No evidence of democratic demand or public appetite. The proposal for a Poole Town Council appears driven by a small number of stakeholder submissions, not by widespread community demand. This contrasts with the principle of localism, which should be rooted in visible, grassroots calls for change. Imposing an unwanted structure could weaken rather than enhance local engagement.</p> <p>d. Risks confusion, duplication, and inefficiency. Adding another decision-making body introduces the risk of blurred responsibilities, slower decision processes, and duplicated effort between BCP Council and the proposed town council. Rather than "effective and convenient local governance" (a statutory requirement of the 2007 Act), this arrangement introduces complexity, confusion, and potential friction.</p> <p>e. Charter Trustees can evolve without being replaced. If the concern is the limited scope of Charter Trustees to support community</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		initiatives, the solution should be reform within that structure, not the wholesale creation of a new council. Legislative or operational changes could broaden their remit in targeted, proportional ways without triggering the complications of a formal town council.					
J311	Poole Town	Commenting on a-h - In a time when government is supposed to be consolidating, fragmentation seems nonsensical. It adds layers to existing layers and a extended chains of communication and process. Strongly disagree with the draft recommendations	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J312	Poole Town	Commenting on all recommendations. See previous comment regarding Throop. No additional service that incurs a cost to residents should ever be considered until such time that BCP starts providing value for service.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J313	Poole Town	Complete waste of money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J314	Poole Town	Concerns over boundaries. Concerns of more levels of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J315	Poole Town	Councillors elected to join forces to bcp, which I don't agree with, but as this was your decision. Deal with it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J316	Poole Town	d, f, g, h, Feel it will create unnecessary layers for zero benefit	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J317	Poole Town	Disagree with the plan. We don't need another layer of government with additional uncapped fees. Disband BCP, then I may agree to a parish council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J318	Poole Town	DISAGREE	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J319	Poole Town	Disagree as unnecessary beurocracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J320	Poole Town	Disagree with all do not need this whatsoever	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J321	Poole Town	DISAGREE WITH THE PROPOSALS: When I was young I would have championed an opportunity to have a voice and influence. Now I am older, I do not see the vital need for these proposed Town/Parish councils as I foresee endless disagreements, and huge expense in setting up and maintenance of new layers of governance when money is a vanishing commodity.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 0
J322	Poole Town	Disagree with the whole thing it was bad enough when borough of poole was subsumed into bcp but now you want to keep BCP and also add an extra layer of complexity and red tape? This is NOT what local people want!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J323	Poole Town	Disagree with wording - using 'parish' for a,b,c,d,f, parish should be 'town'	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J324	Poole Town	Do not add another layer of Bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J325	Poole Town	Do not agree with proposed changes, just a waste of taxpayers money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J326	Poole Town	Do not implement parish councils, this is going to be less accountability for BCP council, sort the infrastructure out. I do not and will not pay 2 fees for the council. Sort inf house out and stop with this utter nonsense!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J327	Poole Town	Do not need another layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J328	Poole Town	Do not see the value in the additional layer of bureaucracy. It looks very much like an attempt to re-create the former Borough of Poole by stealth - the same ward (parishes) with the same corresponding number of councillors. Efforts and hence funds will be much better used to make BCP work better and more efficiently. This additional layer provides no value other than to slow processes down further - major decisions will still be made at BCP level with town/parishes as consultees and therefore no real say.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J329	Poole Town	Do not see what is to be gained	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J330	Poole Town	Do not want a Parish Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J331	Poole Town	Do not want additional layer of bureaucracy and the additional costs for no benefit. The purpose of combining 3 councils of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch was to reduce costs and streamline administration leading to greater efficiency. This is a step backwards.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J332	Poole Town	Draft published on the 16th October 2024 I have not seen any councillor numbers for the existing Poole Charter Trustees as I would like to compare these to the proposed number of councillors. At the moment there is a precept levy on the Council tax which I presume is 1%. If the proposed Poole Town Council is accepted then this precept levy will be abolished, what then will replace this levy, if it is abolished and what rate would then be established against the Council Tax?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J333	Poole Town	E - cost concerns to residents. Council tax is already high we don't need more council tax to pay. What are the benefits actually going to be.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J334	Poole Town	e, this would require additional effort, initially by people without necessary experience, so would take time (maybe considerable) to become effective, to cover mostly activities already covered by people already in position. This feels non-essential. h, Too many, too much expense to be shared amongst residents	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J335	Poole Town	E/. I d not agree with this Ward proposal	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J336	Poole Town	Everyone! I feel having more councillors is the wrong think. It won't save us money effectively. Does it mean we as taxpayers will be paying council tax twice?!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J337	Poole Town	Extra costs i nvolvedfor residents to support extra council tax and councillor expenses	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J338	Poole Town	Extra layers of bureaucracy costing residents additional council tax to carry out the tasks BCP council should be doing anyway for the existing budget	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J339	Poole Town	Extra uneccecssary layers of governance. Increased costs but lower level of service. Retrograde step after 5 years!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J340	Poole Town	F to h . There is no need for change which results in additional cost just to provide the same level of service.. in any case you will do whatever irrespective of any decision.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J341	Poole Town	F/g/h. - I don't think a town council is needed. I am not sure where you will find this number of people who wish to serve as councillors . I think it will be difficult to make a decision with that many people and it will just cost lots more money but no real change will happen.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J342	Poole Town	g) the council is too large - a number of smaller councils would more likely reflect local views	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J343	Poole Town	h)We already have proactive councillors in Canford Heath who take pride in the area and encourage a strong sense of community. I don't see the need for a further level of administration that costs residents more to do the same thing.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J344	Poole Town	Having combined to create BCP as one more efficient and cost effective council, with separate Charter areas, creating these new councils will be more inefficient and cost more money. It is going backwards and defeating the costly and needless change to BCP..	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J345	Poole Town	How small does the ward have to be, surely just by separating the 3 major towns and deducting the money already collected in council tax given to BCP should be enough to maintain the towns maintenance and BCP looking out for the services for all 3 towns	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J346	Poole Town	I already pay enough to BCP council, we were promised they would listen to us yet residents are continuously not listened to. I already pay enough council tax and certainly cannot afford a penny more	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J347	Poole Town	I am commenting on all of the draft recommendations.We do not need more levels of governance in the BCP area.Our Newly combined council should already be covering the jobs to be allotted to any proposed parish council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J348	Poole Town	I am commenting on all of them. It's a ridiculous notion, waste of money and I do not agree to pay more in council tax for some harebrained beaurocracy fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J349	Poole Town	i am commenting on all the sections from what is stated this is old poole borough council in all but name so why was BCP council set up you are reverting back to what it was so a pointless exercise costing more money and at an additional cost to tax payers is it worth it another stupid suggestion from the government and the lib dems .BCP council should be getting on with representing the whole area properly rather than adding another level of local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J350	Poole Town	I am concerned that each part of the organisation will not have the power to do the job properly	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J351	Poole Town	<p>I am in the Parish Ward of Penn Hill, but live in Lilliput. The Penn Hill Ward boundary does not represent a congruent area in terms of commercial offering, schools, transport, public spaces or other services. I would have like to have seen the ward boundaries redrawn to correct this. I believe 42 councillors is too many.</p> <p>I do not agree we need an additional layer of local government other than the minimum requirements to maintain the position of Mayor and a few other ceremonial and statutory things. There will be too much confusion and overlap on responsibilities between Poole Councillors and BCP Councillors adding to inefficiency and cost while reducing accountability.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J352	Poole Town	I am very concerned the additional governance will give rise to an increase in annual council tax, with no tangible effect on improving services to households. There is so far, no information on the proposed value to residents, and more so, the proposed financial savings these proposals should offer. The latter is the only way these proposals could be universally endorsed by residents in my opinion.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J353	Poole Town	I believe that we have enough councilors representing all areas of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch within the BCP council. And should not duplicate that representation by recreating separate bodies of councilors to duplicate this representation.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J354	Poole Town	I believe there is no reason to establish yet another layer of administration. The existing BCP Council should be capable of administering all necessary services	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J355	Poole Town	I believe this is a total waste of money and time.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J356	Poole Town	I can not see any advantage for Poole residents to have another layer of bureaucracy added to its governance. Creating a town council of 42 councillors will just result in even more squabbling, while BCP Council washes its hands of expensive and ineffective cost such as CCTV and skateparks. From what I can see, this will just cost us more in Council tax and not deliver any further value.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J357	Poole Town	I cannot afford to pay more towards parish taxes on top of existing council taxes. What services will I be receiving on top of what we currently get which justifies the extra charges?. The parish council also has too many parish wards.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J358	Poole Town	I cannot see any benefits of establishing a Parish council, and nothing in the proposal addresses any changes that residents would see.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J359	Poole Town	I disagree that it should be a parish / town Council. Poole should be a Borough Council and revert to it's previous incarnation. It seems Poole has more needs than are seen to in the current model and the focus appears to be on Bournemouth. Poole Borough is historic and should be treated as such.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J360	Poole Town	I disagree wholeheartedly with the recommendations as I don't understand why we now need another layer of bureaucracy when we already have presumably competent councillors	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J361	Poole Town	I disagree with a parish council entirely. We pay enough for council services as it is and I know you kept stating the increase is minimal but that will keep going up each year. It may seem a small amount to you but to someone on a fixed income it is a lot as everything is going up. The currently monthly council tax is far too high. This will also add more bureaucracy to an already over bloated area. We need more staff doing real work nit more staff chatting around a table.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J362	Poole Town	I disagree with BCP making any more changes to the structuring of local government - combining Bournemouth Poole and Christchurch was too costly and no changes should be made until after the next general election.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J363	Poole Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J364	Poole Town	I disagree with the entire enterprise. At this time it is unknown what areas the town/parish councils would be responsible for, nor the extra council tax they would require. We already have a working system (in Creekmoor we have an very able and po-active councillor Judes Butt) and the proposed changes have unknown costs and unspecified benefits.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J365	Poole Town	I do not agree that another layer of local council is required - the current arrangement is sufficient. This issue has arisen because of the poorly conceived and executed creation of BCP - so reversing that would be more appropriate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J366	Poole Town	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J367	Poole Town	I do NOT agree to Poole becoming a Parish Council. I consider it totally unnecessary to have 17 parish wards and 42 Councillors in addition to BCP. I imagine that it will be difficult to find so many people to become Parish Councillors and I suspect it will end up with BCP Councillors also sitting on Parish Councils. It will cause duplication, chaos and confusion as to which Council is responsible for what.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J368	Poole Town	I do not agree with another layer of bureaucracy. If local councils are such a good idea why not go back to how things were before Bournemouth took over! The council currently has inherited a host of financial problems when unification was sold to us as improving finances.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J369	Poole Town	I do not agree with creating another level of councils. An unnecessary cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J370	Poole Town	I do not agree with firming a Poole council, we do not need this if the extra cost, a very backward step.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J371	Poole Town	I do not agree with the formation of Poole town councils as they are a duplication of Cllrs & the services are already paid for within my Ctax I pay to BCP. Also as there are no services listed which would be moved to the town council & equally no costings how can I make a decision which will impact me financially.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J372	Poole Town	I do not believe that a parish or town council should be necessary bearing in mind that it was only a couple of years ago that BCP council was formed. The previous Poole borough, Christchurch Town and Bournemouth Council should not have been changed!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J373	Poole Town	I do not believe we need another layer of bureaucracy. It will be another financial burden to heap on residents in the current uncertain economic climate with council tax going up year after year and rising utility bills. We already have a successful Talbot Village Residents Association who have planted bulbs, arrange litter picking days and organise social events for the community. In addition we have currently a project going with the universities and I have personally attended a couple of presentations including on back pain and I am looking forward to learning about AI and Mental Health. I was delighted to see one event supported by our local councillor, Karen Rampton. Why does BCP not appointment a councillor from each area to work with local areas and residents associations and representatives. In the current financial climate I do not think another financial burden on struggling residents is appropriate. Social media is a great tool and I am aware that both my children get involved in local projects this way my daughter in Southbourne and son in Bearwood. I know my Turkish daughter-in-law was involved in a project to support earthquake victims in Turkey and BCP assisted them with a free venue at Boscombe Sovereign Centre.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J374	Poole Town	I do not feel we need yet another layer of government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J375	Poole Town	I do not think that having Town Council's will be an effective way of governing, decision-making in Poole or BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J376	Poole Town	I do not understand why it is necessary to add another layer of bureaucracy (and at cost to the residents). The councillors who have been elected into BCP for the Poole area should be able to work for, and support the needs of the residents. They did this before BCP was created (without any "consultation" of the residents of each area). The forming of BCP council should not have taken away these abilities.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J377	Poole Town	I do not wish for an additional layer of governance to be established. Council funding is already tight, so further costs should be avoided.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J378	Poole Town	I don't agree there is a need for the creation of new Town/Parish councils in the BCP area. BCP is a large urban area, where the delegation of management of, for example green spaces, does not make sense in the same way as it would in a large rural area with separate towns. If the aim is for more localism, it would make more sense to have more community councils across the Poole area, rather than one large town council. It isn't clear why Broadstone is an exception and is proposed to have its own town council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J379	Poole Town	I don't really see the need for yet more councillors/representatives as surely the present councillors are perfectly capable of doing the work. And what about [unknown] for that new proposal - how much extra is [unknown] on the council tax	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J380	Poole Town	I don't think we should have parish councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J381	Poole Town	I don't want my council tax to go up	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J382	Poole Town	I don't want my council tax to go up anymore than 5% max	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J383	Poole Town	I feel that any further council tax rises (which I understand would happen if all these parish areas are put into being) will put a further burden on many who are already struggling financially so am not in favour	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J384	Poole Town	I feel that employing more councillors for the individual wards will be costly & potentially increase the council tax substantially. I struggle to pay this now. I wonder why so many layers are required for little or no benefit (that I can deduce anyway). How will residents know which councillors will do what job. There seems to be confusion already within BCP & keeping things simple will help everyone know what's being done when.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J385	Poole Town	I feel that we do not need Parish/Town Councils as we in BCP are a large conurbation, joining on to each other. Parish councils are more for rural towns that are a long way away from their Council. BCP was the only formed recently and needs to get more established before trying to get Parish/Town councils into the equation. BCP was supposedly formed to bring better service to the people of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole, not to start splitting up the areas into smaller areas.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J386	Poole Town	I have not seen a convincing argument for the changes bearing in mind the likely upheaval and cost implications. I feel as if we have had this arrangement before and were told it would be better to have a merged BCP council. What has changed?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J387	Poole Town	I live in Oakdale and I do not believe that this decision is right for our local community looking at the information. I think there is two maybe three so-called advantages to having a parish council unfortunately the extra cost of Counsellor's which do not listen to the residence will not be advantage to the local people. It will just make it harder to get things improved or done in the local area.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J388	Poole Town	I object to the idea of a Town Council being created on the grounds that important factors are unknown at this point. Residents cannot make informed decisions about something as important as this, with out all the information and we just don't have it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J389	Poole Town	I see no need for another tier of councils. Please see my comments on the Broadstone "Town" council for more details - exactly the same arguments apply.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J390	Poole Town	I strongly disagree and object to this proposal which , to all extents and purposes, is attempting to re-establish Poole Town Council as an entity within BCP. So is contrary to the parameters defined for this Community Governance Review. More importantly, this will add a further 42 'local. councillors' with all the extra bureaucracy and cost this will entail and consequent huge increase to our Council Tax bills to pay for all this. There is nothing in this proposal that clearly states how this massive increase in bureaucracy would improve Community Engagement, Cohesion and local democracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J391	Poole Town	I strongly disagree that there should be any more layers to the existing council the bcp formation substantially damaged Poole as an entity and we do not need any further costs and more representation the existing council should do more for our area	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J392	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with all the proposals because it is a money making exercise with little or no benefit to the residents. The residents of BCP pay enough council tax. If BCP is doing its job properly then the residents of BCP are well represented and do not need to pay for more councillors. The idea of parish councils seems to be an initiative by councils as a way of raising more money as parish council tax can be increased by any percentage and is not restricted to a 4.99% rise like council tax.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J393	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with the draft recommendations for Town / Parish councils , this would give extra levels of bureaucracy and red tape which are not needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J394	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with the proposal to split Poole into its own parish and as stated be run as a parish 'in the style of a Town Council'.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J395	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with the whole concept of another level of council in addition to the current BCP. I would agree to BCP being disbanded and each town reverting back to individual councils. But to have both is overkill and not required. Aside from the unknown costs to each council tax payer there is the additional task of finding 42 new councillors that would be of appropriate calibre to serve the towns community and not just be there to forward their own interests. With all due respect we are struggling with the current BCP councillors. They have not inspired confidence in their ability to serve the public who finance them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J396	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with the whole concept of changing BCP at all - inevitably at considerable cost - after the three councils decided to join together - also at considerable cost - just a few years ago. It would be laughable were it not for the fact that they have taken money from their residents to do it. Having made the decision that combining was the best way to go I think BCP should get on with the job instead of wasting time and more money making more bureaucratic changes which is just taking the focus off the important task of running services.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J397	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with this proposal, I can see no benefits to the community, only a rise in cost and another layer of bureaucracy to deal with and pay for, proposed area too big	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J398	Poole Town	I strongly disagree with this proposal. It is needlessly over-complicated, potentially more expensive as it has been clearly uncoded at this stage. I would prefer BCP Council to be split up and returned back to separate, independent unitary controls, i.e. Poole Council, Bournemouth Council, Christchurch Council. The BCP merger was and is a disaster.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J399	Poole Town	I think this is completely unnecessary and is an added expense to local government, businesses and residents that most cannot really afford. What is currently in place with the trustees is sufficient and is working well enough from my point of view. It seems a waste of money which could be better spent on local services which are already underfunded.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J400	Poole Town	I was quite satisfied when it was just Poole Council. The fact that the three councils (Bournemouth, Poole & Christchurch) amalgamated to form BCP; removed or should have removed the need for separate councils. I was under the impression that BCP would cut costs of local government. If BCP can't run the conurbation it should revert back to three separate town councils. Not try and introduce another layer of bureaucracy in the guise of Parish Councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J401	Poole Town	I would like to say on the point of these Parish councils that, to me, they should not be required. We already have a BCP council with councillors. They are supposed to be making decisions for the local community based on what the local people would like to see happen. Why do we need another tier of bureaucracy? This seems to be total madness and especially as all three councils joined together about six years ago, presumably to save money, which it probably, with hindsight, it did not. This is surely a backward step and a way to introduce another way to extract money from the local residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J402	Poole Town	I would strongly disagree with any proposal to add to number of levels of governance. What was the point of combining the three boroughs if you are already talking of splitting up the responsibilities again? This whole idea is nonsense and merely another excuse for some individuals to spend other peoples' money. It is unnecessary and a backward step in local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J403	Poole Town	If BCP council cannot look after Poole, it should be broken up into 3 separate councils. We should not have to pay for town councils as well as BCP council. It needs to be one or the other.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J404	Poole Town	If you can do it within the budgets you have, then crack on, but leave the residents pockets alone!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J405	Poole Town	If you can't do your jobs then leave and get us a new council, you want to set up another layer what for that's what you were elected to do and are failing miserably. Step up do your job or move out of the way. Either way get your house in order. What makes you think that us ordinary folk can afford to shell out for incompetent fools ?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J406	Poole Town	In 2019 Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch were joined as a unitary authority and Dorset Council unitary authority was also formed at the same time. Residents were told that these larger councils would be more efficient and make financial savings due to economies of scale. So far there have been no announcements of savings, the towns are looking dirty and neglected and Poole Civic Centre is standing empty with no plans for development. I can see no reason to add another layer of bureaucracy having got rid of the District Councils and certainly can see no justification for increasing local taxation while local services are poor or non-existent.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J407	Poole Town	In 2019 three town Councils were integrated with the primary objectives of being more cost efficient, streamlined services, centralised services, shared services, workforce reduction leading to reduced cost to tax payer. Five years of transformation, at great time, cost and energy to residents and now you want to divide it all back up again to add more layers of bureaucracy, to add more resources, to add more confusion and cost to tax payers. I absolutely do not agree with this.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J408	Poole Town	Incohesive areas - would be better to have split into smaller areas as originally suggested. Penn Hill, Canford Cliffs and Parkstone doesn't have much in character min with Haworthy, Canford heath, Turpin moor etc - a parish that is so diverse will not serve the needs of all. The suggestion of rolling Bournemouth in as well is ludicrous. I cannot see any benefit and only increased costs (existing parishes seem to charge considerably more than the current arrangement).	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J409	Poole Town	Instead of creating more beurocracy and costs, just get on and manage our area more efficiently and to the benefit of residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J410	Poole Town	It is another level of beurocracy that serves no purpose and is not going to benefit local people	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J411	Poole Town	It is clear from the number of people voting for their BCP councillors that any prospective Parish Councillor will not receive enough votes to properly represent their residents and opens the door to unrepresentative pressure groups.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J412	Poole Town	It is not neccessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J413	Poole Town	It is only a few years since the BCP was created at some considerable cost ,to add another layer of management is in my view an admission that either the BCP was badly thought out or is poorly managed. The BCP should sort out its management of the area and not seek to pass on tasks to what will be another expensive and wasteful layer and will no doubt cause problems about who is responsible for what.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J414	Poole Town	It is too costly	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J415	Poole Town	It seems that Poole's roads show too many projects all at once . Instead of prioritising to complete the most important first , there's so much disruption in so many areas at the moment .	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J416	Poole Town	It seems that the creation of the BCP council has resulted in equalities for councillors and residents. Ideally, to reduce overheads, I would supprt one council for the main BCP conurbation and only outlying areas having a parish council. Generally, if local government overheads could be reduced, more funds could be directed towards improving the declining public services in the BCP area.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J417	Poole Town	It will reduce accountability, add confusion and add unnecessary layers.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J418	Poole Town	It would be a very bad idea to have completely corrupt and incompetent people like the ones who would be in charge to be in control of a pencil let alone a district area.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J419	Poole Town	it's all a con. we had a council and it was stolen from us	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J420	Poole Town	J - I strongly DISAGREE with the draft recommendation for Poole town. This type of 'parish' council is only suitable for SMALL rural villages. It offers no additional benefits to the residents, only increased tax costs to them. The services it would offer are already in place via BCP Council, therefore it is an unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J421	Poole Town	Just more layer(s) of bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J422	Poole Town	Lack of transparency and the fact we are not being told the cost of this to residents. It appears this yet another money making exercise for BCP council and will not improve the lives of residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J423	Poole Town	Leave it alone	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J424	Poole Town	Leave it as it is	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J425	Poole Town	<p>Merley is a self contained community which has developed its own identity over the last 50 years or so. Merley is not Poole Town which is a distinct area on its own as well as being 6 miles from Merley.</p> <p>It is far more closely aligned with Broadstone as many Merley children attend school in Broadstone.</p> <p>All that is happening with this scheme is adding another layer of bureaucracy and cost to residents with no discernable gain.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J426	Poole Town	<p>Mine is an overall comment. I strongly disagree with the principle of forming these councils as we already have an overarching BCP Council to manage the conurbation</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J427	Poole Town	more money for people aspiring to be more important.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J428	Poole Town	More money to be taken from us and we would have no power yonsllocate funds. Totally unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J429	Poole Town	More red tape, more politics, increased costs for tax payers. It's not necessary and just a scam to make tax payers poorer.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J430	Poole Town	My comments refer to all draft recommendations. I do not agree with the recommendation of creating parish councils. We have moved away from individual councils when you decided to merge and create a mammoth BCP Council so why on earth are you now changing your minds and now want to split everything up again. We didn't want BCP council in the first place but we had no choice. So you want to now create parish councils which Poole is far too big an area, absolutely ridiculous, you want to put in another 42 councillors which you say will be voluntary but what about their expenses they are not going to be travelling and spending time being councillors for nothing, they will require expenses and where does that money come from? Why are you putting in another level of councillors we already have councillors in our areas that we are more than happy with. I am already paying for council services by paying my council tax to BCP council, by you creating Parish Councils, its just a way of getting more money out of us with no regulations on how much you will charge us.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J431	Poole Town	My reasons for overall disagreeing with the creation of town councils is that is clearly is going to cost money to set up and run and to pay staff. BCP is already in a financially unstable state and I think the majority of the residents of Poole have felt the pinch of raises prices, interest rates on mortgages etc etc over the last few years. Whilst I disagreed with the creation of BCP and would love to return to having 3 separate authorities, the creation of town councils is not that. I believe it will increase residents cost for council tax, where BCP will 'hand over' areas for councils to oversee but not give them funding for it, and money will ultimately be pulled in from residents. Fundamentally I think that's wrong.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J432	Poole Town	No budget planning no cost planning this will be extra cost and extra cllrs which we don't need bcp should be doing its job and not having the largest town council in the country Town Councils are for small areas u havent told anyone what our are planing the draft is not 100% true and there is no cost for each individual household	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J433	Poole Town	Not needed,	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J434	Poole Town	nuclear set up cost and ongoing cost for 40+ new counsellors and additional workers that will be needed to run the administration. when Poole become part of BCP the idea was to reduce cost within the council all we've seen over the last few years is more cost to run the administration that's now in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J435	Poole Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J436	Poole Town	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J437	Poole Town	PLEASE spend council funds on serious and pressing issues and not all this pen pushing paperwork nonsense	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J438	Poole Town	Pointless renaming to parishes, where there is no link to historical parishes. Misuse of 'parish' is a further erosion of our CofE heritage.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J439	Poole Town	Poole is already represented within bpc, we do not need another layer of bureaucracy to confuse everything and everyone. Unless that is your intention of course!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J440	Poole Town	Poole merged with Christchurch and Bournemouth for cost benefits . We do not need more councillors and more cost Leave it alone or emerge BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J441	Poole Town	Poole needs to be kept in the BCP council and not put into a parish. We need a equal and better quality levels of duty of care to the residents of Poole as very little social care support for Poole vulnerable people unlike what is offered to Christchurch and B'mth residents. Plus we vote for local elections for Poole however in a general election we vote under B'mth West. We would see less services and more increases in costs to the vulnerable people	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
J442	Poole Town	Poole should be reinstated as its own council independent of BCP as per the borough council which was removed by the previous Conservative government in 2019. Broadstone as well as Alderney and Bourne Valley wards should part of this independent council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J443	Poole Town	Poole should never have been mixed with Bournemouth and Christchurch in the first place and we pay enough for the services that we get and do not need the extra expenses now the merging of BCP is not working	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J444	Poole Town	<p>Poole town draft recommendation.</p> <p>If Broadstone has its own town council, why can't Merley, Oakley, Canford have one.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J445	Poole Town	Proposal B object to Broadstone being excluded from the greater Poole area, Proposal H too many councillors and the associated costs. I object to the whole local council plan and it really highlights the failure of the BCP Council merger that was not wanted by the people of Poole or Christchurch!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J446	Poole Town	Proposals are a complete waste of money. We don't need more tiers of government. It's just a gimmick. BCP Council trying to divert attention away from their very poor delivery performance.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J447	Poole Town	Quite honestly, I am astounded that this is being put forward. I have no faith in town or parish councils and often find them to be onstructive and filled with groups of busybody NIMBYs that spend more time infighting than they do doing anything useful. I would sooner see ALL town and parish councils abolished. Please abandon these ridiculous plans and do something far more constructive than wasting time, effort and money. I have no desire to pay for another layer of local government thay will have little to no benefit instead just adding another layer of pointless bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J448	Poole Town	<p>Re an and d: I have lived in Poole my whole life, 51 years. In that time I have seen various changes to the Poole Town Council, including gaining unitary authority status. Whilst Poole Borough Council was not perfect, when those in Power decided to merge the 3 towns of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch, this was something that majority of people in the respective towns did not want, but ultimately had no choice. We were promised things link joining up services would result in cost savings and better efficiency. Well that was blatantly a complete lie.</p> <p>I am tired of suffering the incompetence of those elected councillors and decision makers, with rising council tax year on year and just seeing more and more incompetence.</p> <p>Now you tell us that you can't deal with the demands of being a BCP Joined up councils so want to fob off those service you can't properly deliver to Parish or Town councils so that you don't have to face up to the responsibility that you have failed your electorate and residents.</p> <p>This is in doubt another cost saving exercise at the expense of your residents that will give BCP the excuse it needs to pass accountability and responsibility on to others, so you don't have to take responsibility of your failures.</p> <p>In summary I strongly oppose any Town and Parish councils and rather the current BCP sort out its own incompetence and inadequacies to serve the people of the 3 towns and not your own agendas!</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J449	Poole Town	<p>Recomendations a to h refer to new parish establishments. I disagree with setting up any new parishes. The reason is that BCP was amalgamated to provide savings due to economies of scale and less duplication of staff. It also provides a unified voice for the conurbation and carries more weight at regional level. Having undergone the painful process of council amalgamation to create BCP we should not contemplate reorganisation or additional layers of governance and representation. It has only recently since Covid 19 disruption that council staff and departments have ironed out organisational parameters and effective working together.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J450	Poole Town	Recommendation A: There was a decision to create BCP Council to save costs and improve service delivery. Either that is working and extra governance is unnecessary or it is not working and a more fundamental rethink of local government structures is required.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J451	Poole Town	Residents of BCP cannot afford to pay out for further councils, money is tight already.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J452	Poole Town	Section A through to Section H. Poole Council was amalgamated into BCP Council a few years back to supposedly save money for the Tax payer. To now split off responsibility for certain services from BCP Council to a new Poole Council is Wrong in my view and will only add to costs for the Tax Payer and present an opportunity for "Buck Passing" from BCP Council. Either BCP Council should get on with the job they were formed to do or BCP Council should be split back to the 3 Independent Councils as previous.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J453	Poole Town	Stop spending our money on pointless projects	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J454	Poole Town	Stop wasting money, necessary additional level of local government. Originally we had Poole Council then we went to making a unitary authority and then finally the three towns authority BCP and now you want to put another level of government here. Stop wasting our money make the existing council work for us the residents, not for you and creating yet more jobs in local bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J455	Poole Town	Strongly DISAGREE as I do not want to see the creation of another council which can leverage further taxes in addition to the current BCP taxes. No details have been provided on what services this parish and others would be responsible for, and what BCP would no longer provide. It seems it is just another revenue generation exercise without any efficiencies gained!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J456	Poole Town	Strongly disagree to ALL the proposals, Paying double council taxes, BCP & then extra for Parish / town. Extra costs for what,? Can't even tell us how much it will be., also the lack of information about these proposals has been absolutely shameful on existing BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J457	Poole Town	Strongly disagree with the changes - do not want more tax added. BCP should pull their socks up and look for cuts in admin and to run more efficiently. Cut High management salaries. No more Councillors needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J458	Poole Town	The added layer of Bureaucracy is an unacceptable burden. It would be much better to reform BCP, slim down the management and increase efficiency and effectiveness.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J459	Poole Town	The area is far too large and diverse. I live in Sandbanks. Poole Town is only reachable by a once an hour daytime bus. The needs of Sandbanks are very different. Sandbanks residents need for local representation is already met by the Sandbanks Community Group.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J460	Poole Town	The benefit of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch merging into one body is to reduce costs and bureaucracy. The benefit of the old Parkstone and parishes surrounding Poole merging into the original Poole Borough was to reduce costs and bureaucracy. Creating a Poole Town council will inevitably just duplicate administration and result in tax payers paying more council tax. People living in most of these areas do not identify as living in "Poole Town". "Poole Town" is a very specific area in the centre of Poole, It is NOT the whole of Parkstone, Lilliput, Canford Cliffs, Branksome, Penn Hill.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J461	Poole Town	the breaking up of the area into smaller councils and parishes will result in more bureaucracy, more power hungry individuals trying to influence their area, and less engagement with an electorate dispirited and tired of wrangling over issues that seem insurmountable	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J462	Poole Town	The cost of all this and councillors will be paid through our Council Tax	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J463	Poole Town	The cost of reversing the agreement made in 2019 is not viable; increasing the number of councillors will not improve community cohesion which was working well before the inception of BCP, the damage is done! I prefer to keep the status quo with the existing Poole Trustees Charter as it is essential to keep the historical link. I really do not expect local challenges e.g. homeless on streets, increasing culture clashes, to improve whilst the current, and previous, government policies are pursued. BCP Council is restricted to enable change in policy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J464	Poole Town	The cost this will incur to residents in council tax as seen in other areas.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J465	Poole Town	The council are battling a shortfall in funds at the moment and yet it wants to introduce up to 110 more councillors across the BCP area. This will only lead to more money coming out of the pockets of the council tax payers in extra wages to councillors which will mean more reductions in services within the area as well as extra cost to the tax payer. I strongly oppose the introduction of wards where I see no benefit and more cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J466	Poole Town	The Council has only just become a Unitary Authority and moved away from this structure. There is no place for Town Councils or otherwise.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J467	Poole Town	The council ward is too big and splits my current into both Bournemouth and Poole	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
J468	Poole Town	The entire notion of creating a 2nd level of local government. Nobody except the councillors wanted the BCP merger in the first place, it has been an unmitigated disaster. Now you want to give half of your responsibilities to a second level of council which will lead to even higher council tax bills as there is no evidence that BCP Council will reduce its own precept for the services it has delegated.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
J469	Poole Town	The founding of BCP council was to cut out layers of local government. Council Tax is high enough without funding another layer of bureaucracy from council tax payers	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J470	Poole Town	The ide of.paying qn additional tax on top of council tax is abhorrent and should not be considered	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J471	Poole Town	The last thing Poole needs is another costly talking shop. BCP was supposed to have been created to save taxpayers money and whilst I would agree that there is little evidence that this has happened adding another level of bureaucracy is quite simply a very costly mistake which has no direct benefit for residents. Just another group of people who think they know what is best for us and will dismiss any thoughts that are different from their own.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J472	Poole Town	The last thing we need is MORE councillors. You could sack half of the existing BCP councillors and we would be better off! And you want a precept to pay for it?!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J473	Poole Town	The merger of BCP was to save money this is going to add further costs to council tax to pay for parish councils	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J474	Poole Town	The new proposals would mean another layer of bureaucracy and would cost more money to run	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J475	Poole Town	The people of Poole do not want extra layers of local government taking on roles that BCP council are already responsible for. The local constituents do not want extra council tax fees. We're struggling financially as it is. We don't need extra councillors. We need BCP to do their job and stop wasting our extortionate council tax.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 8
J476	Poole Town	The people of Poole don't want an extra layer of government	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J477	Poole Town	The projected electorate for some areas look very inconsistent Alderney will only gain 25 more people but Bearwood will gain 742 ? . Parkstone will gain 429 ,is this because of new builds ? I understand new houses are being built in Bearwood and Merley but where in Parkstone ? Your numbers seem to be way out.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J478	Poole Town	The proposals are unclear and complicated. No clear benefit has been put forward for changing the existing arrangements. No mention has been made of whether these counsellor will be paid. No explanation has been given as to why one Ward would have one counsellor per 100 electors and another Ward would have one counsellor per 3000 electors. No explanation has been given as to what unwarded means. No costings have been put forward.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J479	Poole Town	The Town Council seems somewhat over large	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J480	Poole Town	The Unitary Authority of BCP was only created 6 years ago. It comprises 76 councillors across 33 wards. These proposals are for the creation of YET ANOTHER tier of administration, comprising an additional 80 councillors at a cost of at least £3M. pa in order to give primary address to allotments and neighbourhood plans, with secondary input on bus shelters, electrical charging points and public conveniences. What a monumental waste of time and money. Why, for heaven's sake?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J481	Poole Town	The way this survey is written suggests decisions on these changes have already been made and this tokenistic "consultation" is pointless. I see no reason or benefit to residents to setting up these additional layers of bureaucracy. I am strongly opposed and believe BCP council should remain as it is. This is a complete waste of time and money in my opinion.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J482	Poole Town	<p>The whole concept of a Poole Town Concil is flawed by the existence of BCP Council.</p> <p>A new layer of subsidiary governance would be unwelcome without the disappearance of the over-arching Council.</p> <p>To be frank, I share the disappointment of many others in the long-standing abysmal performance of BCP Council, its elected member and unelected officers, so this proposal smacks of self-serving interests which would incur additional costs for no discernible benefit to residents.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J483	Poole Town	<p>The whole concept of BCP has been a disater from start to finish. Another 'layer' will mean even more of a disaster & cost more money to the residents. The present encumbent have let our towns decline where shops have shut, car parks are filthy dirty, reduced public toilets etc., I could go on. The present councillors do what they want to do without taking any notice of the views of local residents. They have shut roads & Poole Park at one entrance despite 63% of residents requesting that it remains open. Shut Churchfield Road, both of these causing extra traffic build up in the area & incurring extra travel & emissions. They have created all the cycle lanes which are hardly used using some of our public money to cover the overspend. This will be another layer where one party will blame the other for either things not being done or overspending.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J484	Poole Town	<p>The whole exercise appears uncosterd we have no idea what services would be transferred over to the Town Council and therefore any agreement to these proposals is like signing a blank cheque to any administration .</p> <p>42 Councillors is the same as the old Borough of Poole who had responsibilities for all services , we already have 76 Councilors through BCP council this appears to be duplication and expense</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J485	Poole Town	<p>The whole idea is a nonsense attempting to cover the disaster that is BCP. The best solution is to disband BCP back into its original parts</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J486	Poole Town	The whole idea is pointless just an other layer of what bcp I am sure will ensure is the same level of undemocratic service wr currently get from bcp it's self I.e a council that only listens to people who agree with their pet projects	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J487	Poole Town	The whole point of BCP's amalgamation was to reduce the level of government thus reducing taxation. this flies in the face of common sense. Please do not bring in more bureaucracy, it is not in anyone's interest.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J488	Poole Town	there is already too much bureacracy there is already chaos. introducing more hurdles and people to decide And to be paid will not result in any benefits for the tax payer	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J489	Poole Town	There is already too much bureaucracy and waste in BCP. Whatever the reasons you make, there is absolutely no justification for the establishment of additional tiers of council. Before taking any further steps, you need to find ways to adapt the existing system to meet the needs and wants of the population. Additional waste in effort and money is abhorrent and not the wish of the electorate. Please read books on democracy before taking any action.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J490	Poole Town	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J491	Poole Town	There is no apparent benefit for the additional cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J492	Poole Town	<p>There is no need for any more money to be wasted on extra councillors. It is not needed. You went to bcp from 3 separate councils and now want to go back again. Do not do this. It will make no difference. Stil as Poole we have no food waste bin yet you levelled the council tax with Bournemouth and xchurch. We need less councillors not More and I am really against this.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J493	Poole Town	<p>There is sufficient and adequate government for BCP as is. A further layer of government is pointless when most of us and soon all of us can access government electronically.</p> <p>My impression is that people want 'local' if they get what they want, and they want 'remoter government' if that gives what they want - hence planning appeals are popular if 'local' gives the 'wrong' decision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J494	Poole Town	There is zero case for estalshing a Town Council. You are simply trying to undo the cost savings brought about by BCP being combined as a unitary authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J495	Poole Town	There should be no increase in the number of cllrs in any of these areas. The maximum number should remain within the BCP Council organisation. I strongly prefer a maximum of 17 cllrs, one for electoral area, or to keep things below the number of cllrs agreed by the boundary commission	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J496	Poole Town	There's already too much local governance delivering little useful change and in times where finances are tight an expansion such as the proposal is little more than self-indulgence.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J497	Poole Town	These parish councils with councillors will add extra cost to local tax payer at a time when most residents are struggling financially. Should be looking to cot costs for tax payers not adding to them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J498	Poole Town	They created BCP despite it being the wrong decision and now this is a mother colossal waste of time which will cause the tax payers even more financial hardship. How about the council work on improving the services it currently provides rather than wasting money on this hare brained scheme. The council is in financial problems and they are wasting money Constantine in these stupid ideas and other schemes eg cycle lanes (yes I am a cyclist). Leave it all alone you have broken the system enough!!!!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J499	Poole Town	This appears to be a totally unnecessary additional layer of governance and bureaucracy that isn't needed. Poole Council to become BCP in 2019, without any public consultation because elected councillors thought that it would save money and be more efficient and now that BCP has no money it appears that the elected members think it's a good idea to create parish councils so that they can discharge their responsibilities and ask the tax payer to pay again for the services that should already be provided by BCP! It's adding another layer of governance and will cause confusion over who is responsible for what service. It would be much more beneficial for all communities within BCP if the Council improved it's own service delivery and management of public funds instead of creating parish and town councils to do their job for them. The last think residents need is more councillors to run another layer that has no statutory powers. I don't think there's any benefit to residents in creating this extra layer of governance and the re is no cap on the precept that parish councils can charge, which is extremely worrying. It is absurd that Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole became a unitary authority in 2019 and haven't even been able to implement pay and reward for its staff yet but are now considering creating parish councils and boundaries that there were in place before the merge, all at a cost to the tax payer!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J500	Poole Town	This change is not necessary and will lead to more confusion and cost for tax payers in the midst of a cost of living crisis.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J501	Poole Town	<p>This comment relates to (a) - (h) all draft recommendations. The proposals are another layer of unwanted bureaucracy and involve additional administration. We already have 76 BCP Councillors who should work more effectively for and engage with their residents, rather than introduce another 42 unnecessary Councillors for the proposed Poole Town Council.</p> <p>We are in a cost of living crisis and to impose another layer of governance with an uncapped precept is unacceptable. This is a stealth tax for services that BCP want to devolve to the Town Councils so BCP can attempt to balance the books.</p> <p>Even though this was apparently in the Lib Dem 'manifesto' there is no obligation to impose this on the residents.</p> <p>We were sold BCP local government reorganisation on the basis it would bring in more central government money, but instead you are using the residents as a cash cow. BCP should get it's accounts in order before asking the electorate to fund the shortfall through an uncapped Town Council precept.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J502	Poole Town	<p>This corrupt council will not listen to this consultation anyway, but it in no way benefits the residents when it will impose additional cost to them.</p> <p>We do not need another 42 clowncillors, the ones we have already made enough mess as it is</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J503	Poole Town	This extra layer of local government will be useless, powerloss, and costly.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J504	Poole Town	This increased level of local government is not needed. Public money can be better spent that councillors that have little or no power. The current number of councillors should be cut. We are paying more and more for less and less. No private company that has no money would be suggesting taking on new employees or increasing wage bill. They way public sector is run is completely out of touch and needs to stop.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J505	Poole Town	This is a complete waste of time, the residents don't want it but as normal the councilors decide without listening.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J506	Poole Town	This is a totally unnecessary additional layer of expense and bureaucracy. It will just be another talking shop. We need to complete the transformation of BCP and get that working properly.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J507	Poole Town	This is a very large area with very different needs and make up. They are already fully represented at BCP Council by democratically elected members so this is an unnecessary level of authority with addition costs to all at a time when we do not have additional income so will thus cause greater hardship.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J508	Poole Town	This is an attempt to put over bureaucracy into the area where it is clearly totally unnecessary and over expensive.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J509	Poole Town	This is an outrageous cost to our community, especially after everything was already set up similar before you changed to BCP. STOP wasting money and make do with what you have already done. Going backwards is ripping out our purse strings yet again.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J510	Poole Town	This is an unnecessary complexity in addition to the area management by BCP. This is duplication of responsibility and resources. If Poole town council is to be created BCP as an authority should be dissolved.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J511	Poole Town	This is clearly an empire building initiative where huge numbers of extra personnel will be added to the cost of running BCP when there is so many employees and councillors already responsible for providing services. We want more streamlining where money is spent on front line services and not on beauracracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J512	Poole Town	This is just another layer of cost for some people to talk about things they will have no ability to deliver at all. Efficiency rests with the larger organisations and so effort should be focused there and not waste time on vanity projects.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J513	Poole Town	This is just another layer of government that is not needed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J514	Poole Town	This is not to benefit the residents, just to pass the burden of costs and charge residents more money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J515	Poole Town	This is quite clearly becoming a dictatorship. Where do you think everyone is going to get the s money from to pay for the extra fees. You think you can do what you like and we all have to jump!! NO! This is enough now. People are scraping the bottom of the barrel to exist and you want to take more money. You will not get a penny from me guaranteed as I just don't have it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J516	Poole Town	This is unnecessary extra layer of bureaucracy which inevitably will lead to increased number of civil servants, no ability to limit any increases in costs to the rate payer and with no discernable benefits to the rate payer. Everything being proposed should be done by BCP itself. It will lead to further delays more confusion to the rate payer as to who to contact. If BCP councillors feel they cannot full fill their duties, resign and lets elect those who can.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J517	Poole Town	This is yet another fragmentation of government which does little more than introduce additional cost to the tax-payers, funding yet more councillors and bureaucracy. The only way to be truly efficient is to follow that national model where, for example, the railways are being re-nationalised. The town is a utility and to open it up to cost and corruption will continue the break-up of communities and provide little in the way of decision when there is no budget to deliver it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J518	Poole Town	This one is proposed to maintain hadley's political agenda. Do we need more proof of fethering nests?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
J519	Poole Town	This plan is bureaucracy gone mad. We do not require another layer of councillors. The current number of ccouncillors within BCP is too many anyway and these should be reduced to save money and focus on important tasks only.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J520	Poole Town	<p>This proposal is creating an unnecessary level of beauracracy, where essentaialy you are re-creating a local council after our local council was swept away in BCP withput any referendum from the council tax payers.</p> <p>This is going to create an extra layer of cost for already struggling family households. The lack of a cap on parish council increasing costs will result in creep over the years and the removal of more services for the capped council tax to the uncapped parish councils.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J521	Poole Town	<p>This proposal would lead to unnecessary additional cost and bureaucracy. I am strongly opposed to Poole Town council being created. If this proposal goes ahead, it would be better for Creekmoor residents if Creekmoor was transferred into Dorset Council with neighbouring Upton or merged with neighbouring Broadstone, rather than be a remote appendage to Poole.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J522	Poole Town	<p>This whole debacle is just another means of raising taxes through the back door.</p> <p>These "Consultations", just like the one held regarding the formation of BCP, are preordained. Regardless of what the majority of the populous vote, the governing body manipulate the figures to suit their agenda.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J523	Poole Town	<p>This whole process is a complete waste of time and money after years of work to merge and streamline services. It's simply a way for greedy councillors to get fame</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J524	Poole Town	This will only increase costs to the residents and give local council too much control, there is a reason for centralised government it is work with the people	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J525	Poole Town	This would only be a valid option if BCP was dissolved and all functions of BCP transferred to a (new) authority.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J526	Poole Town	To all. Unless bcp is dissolved and reverted back to 3 separate councils then this is just another level of uncapped taxation.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J527	Poole Town	To me the Boundaries do not make sense. Bearwood and Merley should be in a group with Either Kinson or Broadstone or Poole should be extended to include Broadstone and Kinson.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J528	Poole Town	Too much bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J529	Poole Town	Too much bureaucracy in the proposal	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J530	Poole Town	Unitarisation was designed to enable simplicity. This undermines the establishment of BCP and the case for enabling efficiencies and creating transparency. Authorities going through LGR across the country are being strongly encouraged by the Government to implement Neighbourhood Area Committees. Parish and Town Councils are not wholly supported by the Government. This would be a town/parish council that is far too large and essentially re-establishes Borough of Poole. Yes, neighbourhood empowerment is necessary and right but there are various levers to pull to enable that and this is not the right one.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J531	Poole Town	Unknown powers unknown costs ridiculous idea	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J532	Poole Town	Unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J533	Poole Town	Unneçessary bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J534	Poole Town	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
J535	Poole Town	Unnecessary.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J536	Poole Town	Waste of money to have another layer of management with little actual decision making	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J537	Poole Town	Waste of time and money and little real world influence. Nothing more than an exercise in giving the impression of power where none exists.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J538	Poole Town	Waste of time and money, BCP council was formed to save money now you want to spend money to take us back to how we were before.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J539	Poole Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J540	Poole Town	We already have one council. We don't need lots of other councils. There will be too many councillors all doing the same job.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J541	Poole Town	We currently have 2 councillors for the creekmoor area & that is enough, cllr Jude butts is absolutely fantastic at making sure the creekmoor area is kept up to date with BCP & local legislation. Doesn't need to change.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J542	Poole Town	We do not need another layer of local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J543	Poole Town	We do not need another layer thats why we created BCP from the 3 orevious councils	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J544	Poole Town	<p>We do not need another tier of bureaucracy.</p> <p>Our Council Tax is now likely to rise to support the North of England so this proposal is a financial and unnecessary disaster.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J545	Poole Town	<p>We do not need extra layers of Bureaucracy that this will create. We do not need additional councilors and associated cost.</p> <p>The local councils were amalgamated into BCP so either scrap BCP and revert to local councils or ensure BCP is more efficient, productive and accountable.</p> <p>I do not understand your request to write a,b,c etc ... sorry it is not sufficiently clear</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J546	Poole Town	<p>We do not need further breakdown of our areas at a cost to the local tax payers.</p> <p>We have good local councilors that do an amazing job. We do not want to pay for extra unrequired bureaucracy</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J547	Poole Town	<p>We do not require a town council.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J548	Poole Town	We do not require yet another level of councillors! Waste of money. Current amalgamation at BCP has not worked	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J549	Poole Town	We don't need even more bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J550	Poole Town	We don't need extra waste and expense added to the council tax. We already have enough councillors. If you can't do the job, resign and let somebody else do it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J551	Poole Town	We don't need another council, it's already good enough	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J552	Poole Town	We don't need another layer of local government, it won't bring any benefits	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J553	Poole Town	We don't need this. BCP was supposed to save money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J554	Poole Town	We don't want/need more adminstration	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J555	Poole Town	<p>We got rid of the original 3 councils for cost savings despite a lot of opposition. This will just bring the people who object to BCP to the fore. We don't need an extra layer of bureaucracy or the additional cost to our council tax.</p> <p>There are a lot of noisy minority issue people that will dominate these councils with their own agendas believing they can bypass BCP. Think Poole Park access, cycle lanes and 20 mph areas. These are all welcomed by the majority of people but we are made to think this is not the case as the objectors are so vocal.</p> <p>Most normal moderate people don't have the time or inclination to be parish councillors. We pay BCP to run our conurbation on behalf of everyone.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J556	Poole Town	We had a Poole Council, then someone decided it would be more cost effective, more efficient to combine. Why has it been decided to go backwards.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J557	Poole Town	We had a very satisfactory town council of Poole before you people decided to create the ongoing muddle of BCP. Why are you trying to reinvent the wheel for which we poor tax payers will have to pay? If more local representation is a good idea, why did you wreck the one that worked well? Typical of the quality of our elected members!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J558	Poole Town	WE have BCP we don't need a Town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J559	Poole Town	we have to much bureaucracy as it is why put in more levels with even more expense to us the tax payers!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J560	Poole Town	we moved from seperate councils to the BCP single council and this seems to be going backwards while costing more money to residents, either disband BCP and move back to seperate councils or stick to the single one council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J561	Poole Town	We need to move away from more costs and complexity	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J562	Poole Town	We pay enough in council tax already, The number of councillors of 75 could be cut to 50 so money could be saved, Have a Town council it will be cheap for the first few years then it will keep going up each just like the council tax The council tax is based on what your house was worth in the 90s other parts of the country the same house the tax is less or more	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J563	Poole Town	We should not be adding extra layers of governance and bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J564	Poole Town	What a ridiculous idea this is. This will cost the residents of BCP and specifically me more of my hard earned cash to pay councillors that are NOT needed. I do not agree this is needed in BCP and it's a total waste of time and money adding another layer of bureaucracy that is not needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J565	Poole Town	What an absolute joke. So much time and money wasted by merging the councils in the name of saving money. Just to basically reverse it and add an extra layer or two of bureaucracy and cost. The money wasted doing this consultation is absolutely appalling. Stop wasting money and use it to improve local services.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J566	Poole Town	What is the point in establishing another layer of officialdom when BCP elections aren't due yet	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J567	Poole Town	What is the point in having a BCP unitary authority that was supposed to save money if you're now going about splitting BCP into smaller councils? This is going to cost more money and is a ridiculous idea. I love the idea of having a Poole town council, but NOT at the expense of splitting the Historic Poole Borough up. It's like you hate Poole and can't wait to get rid of it. Split BCP up properly and get rid of the BCP unitary authority. Split BCP back into Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth and we'll all be happy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J568	Poole Town	What will this cost? There is no plan in place to let us, the people who elected you, to tell us thus. Poole shouldn't be part of BCP. We should have a town council standing on it's own. If we can't have that, the BCP should fit the bill for any town councils below them. If this is not to be the case, then we cannot afford it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J569	Poole Town	When Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole all joined together to form BCP we were told it was to create efficiencies, speed up decision making, and make better use of the available money. Now we are told we that extra layers are necessary. No doubt the restructure will be at great additional cost and added confusion for the residents. Stop rearranging the deckchairs and get on and deliver the promised BCP benefits! Stop reranging the deckchairs at get on and deliver the promised service	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J570	Poole Town	Whilst I approve of a strong Poole identity I believe that the current organisation structure for the area should remain... I fear that a reorganisation is unnecessary and cumbersome - overly top heavy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J571	Poole Town	Whilst wel like the idea of giving an identity to Poole, it serves as yet a further diminution to the role of the current administration. My wife and I are in favour of less bureaucratic intervention, not more.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J572	Poole Town	Wholely disagree with the idea that we require town or parish councils. We have duely elected officials to govern our ward and this does not need interference. There is no perceivable benefit from any of the plans outlined, BCP should be ashamed if they go ahead with this without a full referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J573	Poole Town	Why would we need that many councillors?! I don't think parkstone has the same needs as Merley for example Why was Broadstone kept separate? My view is that there should not be one Poole Town Council covering this vast area unless you include the whole of Poole???	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J574	Poole Town	Will cost more for the service BCP should already be providing	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J575	Poole Town	You had 3 separate councils, you caused staff working for those councils high amounts of stress, putting them through competition. No achievement has been made from BCP you can't just change your mind without acknowledging the damage you have done to those employed by the previous town councils or the residents of those councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J576	Poole Town	You have already spent loads of money combining the council further expenditure especially at this time is unwarranted.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J577	Poole Town	You merged all the councils a few years ago for better value for money and to save money and reduce back office staff. Now looking to reintroduce a Poole Council, which you'll expect even more money from the taxpayer, even though you've just increased tax by the maximum of 4.99%. Remember, you're spending OUR money on all your projects. If I was struggling with finance, I'd have to cut my cloth to suit, not expect handouts. BCP, get your house in order!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J578	Poole Town	You took our 3 councils away from us to merge in to the awful BCP, now talking of employing 42 more people in Poolr Alone. Our council tax has risen a ridiculous amount since we moved in, we simply can NOT afford it as it is, let alone to employ hundreds of more people to do the job we currently pay BCP to do.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J579	Poole Town	Waste if money, additional layer. If bcp isnt working, split back to the three towns and admit defeat. All a waste of time and money	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J580	Poole Town	We spent thousands of pounds amalgamating the Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch councils, and this proposal is to now split up BCP into individual councils. What was the point of the amalgamation in the first place? What an absolute waste of resources and funds.	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J581	Poole Town	42 is probably too many. You may struggle to find candidates. As I have said below, we don't know anything about what this council will have responsibility for. But all council services come from a budget. Will the services provided by the Town Council in place of BCP be funded from BCP's budget? The Town Council can set a precept in addition to that of BCP. However, overall services remain the same, but for a higher overall (Town and BCP council) precept. How can this be value for money? If the Town Council raises it's own precept for its own functions, will the BCP precept be reduced in accordance with its reduced function? Will Town Council precept increases be capped? Will all the Town/ parish councils have the same functions within their areas or will they vary? Who will decide what the Town Council functions will be? None of this is in the consultation.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J582	Poole Town	a) no particular concerns either way b) appears satisfactory c) pretty obvious	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J583	Poole Town	b If a town council for Poole is to be re-established, then Broadstone MUST be included. I'd welcome the abolition of BCP council and reverting to separate town councils for Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J584	Poole Town	b) The area proposed is far too large for a parish council, and should follow the example of Broadstone to form smaller parishes	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J585	Poole Town	b, The size of the proposed council is far too large, remote from communities and does not reflect the distinct character of multiple communities in the area . f, Bearwood and Merley do not fit community wise into the proposed Town Council Council. It would be more appropriate for these two communities to become a parish in their own right. For much the same reason as Broadstone will be. For historical reasons this new parish could be called Canford Magna	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J586	Poole Town	B. / F. Ward sizes are mostly far too big and culturally different - Parkstone and Penn Hill being prime examples. F. No one living around Ashley Road would call the area 'Branksome West' - perhaps Upper Parkstone?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J587	Poole Town	b: As previously commented on Broadstone, I do not unstained why Boadstone becomes a separate entity, excluding areas including Hillborne and Merley, which are adjacent to it. g & h: Wonder if this may be too many councillors?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J588	Poole Town	Before I can agree or disagree I need more information. What are the boundaries of Bourne valley and Branksome East? Is Westbourne included under the Poole Plan. What is the benefit of a parish for the locals? ie what power would they have?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
J589	Poole Town	Currently it looks as if the boundaries promote 'snobbery' or are to favour Vicky Slade. Either Broadstone should be included with Poole town or Creekmore should be included with Broadstone in order to create a more socially diverse population.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J590	Poole Town	d, f, g, h. I feel that you will have unnecessary layers for a zero benefit, keep it simple as will cause too much confusion over who does what. having multiple layers of councils for each area will not add to community cohesion. BCP should improve their own services rather than creating new Councils to do their job for them	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J591	Poole Town	Fairly neutral on all areas.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J592	Poole Town	H at present we have two councillors for Creekmoor. One, Judes Butt is always asking questions on our behalf. Unfortunately, the other we never hear from. My concern with the proposed three councillors is would we have the same outcome apart from not hearing from two instead of one?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J593	Poole Town	Impossible to give a measured response without knowing the cost !!!	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J594	Poole Town	<p>There has been insufficient detailed information put forward as to what changes would be made in respect of responsibilities for key and supplementary services currently funded through the council tax. The incorporation of Poole and Christchurch into Bournemouth town council was to remove duplication and reduce costs</p> <p>Without knowing what services a Poole town council would be responsible for and if transference of those services reduces the BCP council tax pro rata then how can I possibly agree or disagree to the proposal?</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J595	Poole Town	<p>With regard to a, b, c, d, e, f & h:</p> <p>To me, the draft recommendations are vague as to what the new councils will cost to run, and no definitive list of what services they will provide. I can't determine how it compares to the current situation. For the proposed Poole Town Council, and the BCP area as a whole, would the total number of councillors increase, thus affecting running costs? Consequently, how can I make an informed decision on whether I agree or disagree?</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J596	Poole Town	<p>(a) Agree – Poole is one of the largest and most historically significant communities in the BCP area. Establishing a town council is a natural and necessary evolution that reflects community identity and civic legacy.</p> <p>(b) Agree – The use of the existing Charter Trustee boundary ensures continuity removes double payment by residents and avoids duplication or partial representation. It also enables the eventual dissolution of the Charter Trustees, which are no longer an effective model for community engagement.</p> <p>(c & f) Strongly agree – The name "Poole" and the use of "Town Council" honour its borough status, promote civic pride, and offer clarity.</p> <p>(d & e) Agree – The town style is appropriate given the scale, history, and population of Poole. It also sets the right tone for developing local governance capacity.</p> <p>(g) Agree – The warding arrangements provide a useful level of granularity for representation across a large and diverse urban area. However, the scale introduces complexity in electoral management and long-term governance.</p> <p>(h & i) – The proposal for 30 councillors seems excessive. It risks inefficiency, bloated committee structures, and diluted accountability. While the population of Poole justifies a higher-than-average number of councillors, a more appropriate figure might fall in the 20–24 range—still ample for committee work, representation, and community liaison, but more manageable and financially prudent.</p> <p>Reducing the number of councillors would also:</p> <p>Encourage a more focused and skilled group of representatives</p> <p>Lower governance costs</p> <p>Simplify meeting and decision-making procedures</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
J597	Poole Town	(g) and (h) 3 councillors per ward sounds excessive for hyper-local politics and governance.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J598	Poole Town	Agree with overall proposal, as a way of celebrating the long history of Poole, and addressing local issues that BCP council aren't funded to cover. Is better alternative than the Trustees set up.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
J599	Poole Town	Create it but half the number of councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
J600	Poole Town	Creekmoor ward extended to include Pinesprings and Top of Hilbourne more in line with Creekmoor:Poole demographics than Broadstone's	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J601	Poole Town	I definitely agree that the establishment of a town council is a good thing for Poole but I just feel that 42 councillors is too many and should be reduced but I don't know how to do this!!	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J602	Poole Town	I think Poole should have a town council. It will help to restore pride and identity and ensure local decisions can be made by local people with their priorities at the fore. The Charter Trustees were a temporary measure that are not robust enough to face the challenges and demands required to restore civic pride and local identity.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J603	Poole Town	I work in Poole and it needs its own unique identity and truly local representation not just part of BCP	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
J604	Poole Town	It is a proposal that carries real weight if the principle of ensuring a 'three towns partnership' is to flourish. No system of local governance could work to deliver such a principle if the ability to focus corporate views of the three towns is absent. The proposal for Broadstone to be a separate entity makes sense since Broadstone has a well developed Neighbourhood Forum and understands the needs and desires of Poole sympathetically : it being essential to maintain the dignity of such a heritage.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J605	Poole Town	It's too large compared to the others.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J606	Poole Town	poole identity	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J607	Poole Town	<p>Poole needs its town council back - so it can support and protect the identity of the town, develop events and support the residents through grant making and the protection of non-statutory services that might otherwise be at risk</p> <p>The creation of a town council will also allow residents to feel they have a specific 'Poole' say in consultations, planning applications etc.</p> <p>it will help develop the twinning too.</p> <p>the sheriff should be retained but the regalia should be put in the museum, retaining a more modest chain of office</p>	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J608	Poole Town	Poole should be separate from Bournemouth	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J609	Poole Town	Poole should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Poole Borough Council.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J610	Poole Town	Poole Town Council MUST include Broadstone. Broadstone is very much part of the former town and borough of Poole and is currently within the boundary of the Poole Charter. Broadstone must not be split from the town of Poole. Simply looking at the map shews a meaningless bite out of the boundary of Poole.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J611	Poole Town	Recommendation b: The creation of Poole Town Council with the proposed boundary is too large to operate effectively as a local Town Council and is to close to the former boundary of Poole Borough Council. Poole should be divided into a small number of Town or Parish Councils to reflect the more local communities in the town.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J612	Poole Town	Thanks here to define the goals choice between parties lie line at this time	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J613	Poole Town	there are too many counccillors half the number of councillor will save costs for expenses, elections and by eleciotns and be easier to fill vacancies	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J614	Poole Town	These proposals are good	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J615	Poole Town	This should also include Broadstone - see comments made earlier	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J616	Poole Town	Upton is a large parish and not included but specifically on the boarder f BCP - it should be included as part of BCP and not Dorset . My experience of the Town Council in Upton is very under represented by young people and the issues affecting people and young families are often not properly represented or understood	Agree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Lytchett Matravers & Upton	BH16 5
J617	Poole Town	Value in having effective local governance, more attuned to local issues where applicable	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J618	Poole Town	"A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H". I am currently unable to make an informed decision either way about this change as I have been given very little information about what changes would actually take place in the event that this goes ahead. I am therefore left with no option but to say that I disagree strongly with the proposal until such time as more information about the changes and any subsequent consequences (either good or bad) have been made available to me to consider, at which point I could make a fair and balanced decision.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J619	Poole Town	<p>(a) - (h) As for my comments on the Broadstone Town Counilk proposal, i/e. It is not clear how adding another layer of bureaucracy will benefit the residents of Broadstone. Although it will give the appearance of allowing a more "local" say in council services, it will introduce confusion over who is responsible for which services, delay decision-making, decrease efficiency, and reduce community cohesion by splitting us away from other parts of the BCP area.</p> <p>Not many years ago, we were sold the idea of merging Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole councils into one council as a way to improve efficiency; now, the suggestion is to introduce another layer of councils, effectively splitting BCP into even smaller components than before. That is hardly a consistent approach to local government!</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J620	Poole Town	<p>(a) It is only a few short years since the councils of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch were amalgamated into BCP Council on the grounds that 'big is better' and more efficient for local government. After all the time and huge cost that that entailed, creating new separate councils for Poole and Bournemouth as well as Christchurch would take us right back to square one except that there would be an extra layer of bureaucracy in the form of BCP! It would make a nonsense of the whole thing! The proposal to now create a whole host of new smaller councils under BCP is surely an admission that big is not better and that BCP is not able to deal efficiently with more local matters. It might even suggest that the formation of BCP was a mistake in the first place!</p> <p>(b) Why is Broadstone not included in the map of Poole? We were part of the old Poole Council area.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J621	Poole Town	(a) my comments in relation to Poole are the same as those for Broadstone	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J622	Poole Town	(a) Please see comments on the wider issues (Bournemouth, Poole) re benefits of co-ordination, consistency and liaison across the conurbation plus the efficiency gains (to council(s) and residents) from having a single body address issues.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
J623	Poole Town	(a) Poole is a town and port, not a parish. (f) & (g) this is too large, too many councillors and guaranteed to be beyond accountability to the residents.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J624	Poole Town	(a) the establishment of a Poole town Council will just cause the electorate to pay more council tax. You only have to see Christchurch town councils yearly cost to each member of the electorate. This is good evidence for not making another town council within BCP.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J625	Poole Town	(g) 42 Councillors is a ridiculous amount for a Parish Council - styled as a Town Council.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J626	Poole Town	A - I disagree with the proposal to create a parish council for the town of Poole. Under the original local government reorganisation process and the creation of BCP Council, Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch came together but unfortunately does not appear to have been successful in uniting the towns. Creating separate town councils will not help community cohesion or BCP Council as representatives of all three towns. More work needs to be done to unite rather than create new divisions.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
J627	Poole Town	a to h. It is not long since the Poole Council with Christchurch and Bournemouth were united into one Council for good solid reasons. To now create a Poole Council is absolutely bonkers. It makes Councillors who take these decisions look ridiculous which in turn causes the electorate to take little interest in local government.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
J628	Poole Town	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J629	Poole Town	a) I see no benefit in creating any new layers of government below BCP Council. I believe that the merger of the three councils (Bournemouth Poole Christchurch) has led to economies of scale which enable the efficient and cost-effective provision of services. To introduce additional local layers of service provision would not be an improvement as the fragmentation of control would lead to less efficiency and higher costs. Further, I find it convenient to have a single point of contact when dealing with 'the council'. I would find it more difficult to make contact with the appropriate service provider and would feel less able to hold them to account if service provision was split across BCP council and a local council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
J630	Poole Town	a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system g)-h) The number of councilors should be less than present BCP councilors supprting the area If happends it should 10-1320 councilors should be the max	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J631	Poole Town	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
J632	Poole Town	a)To form any type of Parish or Town Council is totally unnecessary and will only add confusion with BCP as to who is doing what and risk of duplication. There will be no worthwhile benefit to the constituents to form this extra layer of Local Government. Keep things simple and leave BCP as it is.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J633	Poole Town	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
J634	Poole Town	a,b,c Poole should not have a new parish/town council - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
J635	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
J636	Poole Town	A,b,c,d,e,f,g,h you have just spent millions of pounds amalgamating the councils and now you want to bring them back and keep the new one and add parish councils who is going to pay for this? Rather than wasting my money on power trips like this why don't you investigate the millions of pounds wasted on that future thigy	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J637	Poole Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
J638	Poole Town	a,b,d,f and g. I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit. This is just reverting back to the pre BCP council adding more layers and costing residents more money for no gain	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
J639	Poole Town	a. Disagree. Reasons: 1.Highly divisive action across BCP, promoting different levels of service throughout the conurbation. 2. Unnecessary additional level of bureaucracy 3. Resident confusion as to which councillor to contact, i.e one of the BCP councillors, or one of the 42 proposed parish councillors. 4. Confusion as to who is responsible for services. 5. Confusion as to who is accountable for services. 6. Additional cost to residents without any clear advantages 7. Overall lack of resident support for the proposal which is considered to be unnecessary. 8. Contrary to the aims of Local Government Reform objectives to produce savings and efficiencies via consolidation of area services and organisations. 9. Promotes division between the BCP community.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J640	Poole Town	A. I do not agree to the creation of additional Town/Parish councils. This is due to the increased costs that Council Tax payers will inevitably have to pay. When BCP was created, the idea was to save costs and decrease the number of councillors overall. There are no detailed costings included with the proposals, however the introduction of Town/Parish councils so respondents have no accurate details on which to base our decisions. However there will be increased costs in the form of buildings, staff etc and like the PCC's these will quickly spiral out of control. If you want to give greater local accountability, reinstating the original Borough Councils and disbanding BCP would be preferable.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
J641	Poole Town	Additional administration burden. BCP council exists; it needs to provide better operational structures not create a town/parish council.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J642	Poole Town	<p>'a-h inclusive' - Do you seriously consider that BCP's Stage 2 proposal for Poole Town Council, of 42 councillors representing an electorate of 113K in 17 wards is deliverable? In what would be a start-up situation, with zero members, zero officers and zero funds, is this really feasible?</p> <p>From what I have read, the Local Government Boundary Commission (LGBC) recommendation is a maximum of 25 councillors for a large Town Council.</p> <p>As an example, Weymouth Town Council, created at the same time as Christchurch, has responsibility for a wide range of services, a huge budget and operates with the requisite 25 councillors.</p> <p>The current proposal to roll out the same 'Mop up Town Council' solution, which was imposed on 10s of 1000s of people in Christchurch in 2018/19, across Bournemouth and Poole needs to be considered very carefully before being implemented. This time around, 100s of 1000s are going to feel the impact and when in place, a large Town Council is virtually impossible to remove.</p> <p>Councillors can 'sow the seeds' and 'sell the benefits' but the push and enthusiasm should be from bottom up, not top down. The final decision should come from the people. If there is any evidence of the outcome being little more than self-serving for councillors, plans should be abandoned</p> <p>The repercussions of a CGR are not easy to understand. There has been a lot of 'selling of the benefits' in the Council's promotion of this process but nothing has been said about the practical issues and difficulties surrounding local governance at this level.</p> <p>When residents have not requested any community-based changes, lack of engagement should not be considered acceptance of draft proposals. In the best interests of democracy and the community, Officers and Members should only interpret & act on data/input received. Otherwise, they are imposing their ideas/wishes on residents and householders are picking up the cost.</p> <p>Under a CGR banner, BCP Council is proposing to bring together widely varying communities to create a town council, rather than recognising areas with a cohesive identity to create parishes within the established Charter Trust areas.</p> <p>Has the creation of Parish Councils under the Charter Trustees for the three areas where there is obvious support for a local voice (LGBC Para 134) been considered and dismissed? These being Southbourne, Redhill & Northbourne and Broadstone,</p> <p>It is less clear where Boscombe & Pokesdown currently stand on this issue. From what has been said in Full Council meetings, it seems at some time there was support for a community council for this area. Hopefully, feedback from the Stage 3 process will resolve this matter.</p> <p>This leaves Poole, where surely those calling for a Town Council should have been encouraged to produce a more viable solution, centred around the old town, the quay and appropriate outlying areas, rather than mopping up</p>	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>everywhere other than Broadstone into one Council.</p> <p>The additional £2.00 per annum payable to the existing Charter Trustees, by each of households in the new parishes, is insignificant, given the opportunities that will open up to those who genuinely want a community parish council.</p> <p>Finally, if there is no statutory requirement for this CGR, and any suggestion of political motivation is to be quashed, the fundamental question which needs to be answered is 'Why Now?'</p>					
J643	Poole Town	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J644	Poole Town	All these separate parish councillors are just jobs for the boys, jobs whose wage bills will increase our council tax by hundreds of pounds, they are not necessary or wanted	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
J645	Poole Town	An un-necessary expence	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
J646	Poole Town	b. Poole Town should be the town and not include outlying areas as in Christchurch.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
J647	Poole Town	BCPC was recently formed and the number of councillors was dramatically reduced. Now you want to do the opposite?? You need to stick to one set-up, not keep changing every 5 minutes! Make the current system work! Increase the number of ward councillors if you need to but don't add additional layer of complexity.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J648	Poole Town	Disagree with All of the above	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
J649	Poole Town	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
J650	Poole Town	Duplication of work, unnecessary layer of democracy. Go back to just Poole Council. Get rid of BCP	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J651	Poole Town	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J652	Poole Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
J653	Poole Town	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
J654	Poole Town	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
J655	Poole Town	I do not think that the constituents can afford to pay more than they already are for council tax. In the current economy people are already struggling to pay their overheads let alone fork out more, for what seems to be the same service they already receive, with no monetary cap on what can be charged. It's a terrible idea.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J656	Poole Town	I don't feel we should be appointing an extra 42 councillors who will have limited power. We already have sub-committees with specific responsibilities who can [unknown] the main council committee.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J657	Poole Town	I think this is too large an area and not sure what distinguishes it from pre 2019 governance framework - it's Poole Borough mark 2. If residents want it then I would support it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
J658	Poole Town	In general, I don't support Parish Councils. Increase the number of ward councillors if you need to. But don't start adding layers of bureaucracy and complexity. Not after we've gone through the pain of all joining!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
J659	Poole Town	Just another layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J660	Poole Town	not enough information	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J661	Poole Town	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
J662	Poole Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
J663	Poole Town	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J664	Poole Town	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
J665	Poole Town	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
J666	Poole Town	Poole Council was replaced got be within BCP there is no need to recreate it and fuel the home rule for Poole Councillors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
J667	Poole Town	Poole doesn't need a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J668	Poole Town	Poole is part of the larger urban area of BCP. There is not enough difference between the various wards to warrant making the area a separate town council. Apart from the historic Poole Quay area, everywhere else is just part of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
J669	Poole Town	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
J670	Poole Town	Seems a very large area with lots of councillors - is it practical?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J671	Poole Town	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authority cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J672	Poole Town	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
J673	Poole Town	The proposed boundary should not include any areas within Alderney & Bourne Valley or Talbot & Branksome Woods which have become seen as parts of Bournemouth.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
J674	Poole Town	The wards in Talbot Woods which currently form part of Bournemouth west constituency identify as bournemouth and would end up having town council representation in Poole and BCP representation closer to Bournemouth. Local residents in the area are opposed to the plans. If this does go ahead the geographic scope should me much narrower.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
J675	Poole Town	There is no need for another layer of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J676	Poole Town	This is a nonsense. This isn't Poole town it's just whatever's left over from the old Poole council area. Why are these proposals sticking to old pre-BCP boundaries. Talbot and Wallisdown would be a more cohesive area than where this boundary lises. Hamworthy should have it's own town council. I don't see what Canford Cliffs has in common with Oakdale	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
J677	Poole Town	This is just another layer of bureaucracy and expense that serves political rather than local interests and infrastructure	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J678	Poole Town	This one is a little more logical but again defies the spirit of the BCP merger. The entire proposal smacks of people just trying to turn the clock back in parochial mindsets instead of applying themselves to the task at hand of running the BCP authority properly.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
J679	Poole Town	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J680	Poole Town	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult DISAGREE</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J681	Poole Town	<p>Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy. Too many members of the electorate required to elect a councillor compared with other proposed parishes therefore undemocratic.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
J682	Poole Town	<p>Waste of money. This is not necessarily.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J683	Poole Town	Waste of time & money	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J684	Poole Town	Wasting public money	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
J685	Poole Town	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
J686	Poole Town	We do not want this. Lost faith in BCP. Time for a vote of no confidence	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J687	Poole Town	We had a council that looked after Poole. You are going backwards. BCP has proven itself to be ineffective in looking after rookie and its people already. Another layer of govt & another layer of hidden tax is unacceptable. Poole is a shadow of its former self. Get rid of BCP completely. They are a shambles and an embarrassment. BCP councillors are power crazed and definitely not doing the best for the people they serve!	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Lytchett Matravers & Upton	BH16 5
J688	Poole Town	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
J689	Poole Town	You didn't let us vote to combine 3 town councils, now you want to divide them and likely charge us more tax. No. If you want to divide then give us back the three separate town councils.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
J690	Poole Town	Get rid of BCP council and leave just three separate, independent single tier authorities for Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth.	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Q53 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Poole Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agreement/disagreement it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J691	Poole Town	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
J692	Poole Town	ok	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J693	Poole Town	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
J694	Poole Town	This feels like a step back to where we were before the BCP council merger. Does this imply that decision was wrong?	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J695	Poole Town	If we keep the Charter Trust are we liable to pay double tax. This is what we need to know, especially no one wants to pay more tax due to your changes in going forward or is it backward looking		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J696	Poole Town	It is of concern to note that the precept for Christchurch Town Council (with 19 councillors for an electorate of 25,000 residents) is twice that of the Parish Councils, whereas Poole Town Council would have 42 councillors for an electorate of 112,000, so the precept is likely to be even higher. This demonstrates that it is better value for money for residents to have smaller parish councils than for re-establishing a Poole Town Council, which was abolished in 2019.		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J697	Poole Town	Please inform residents of likely costs of forming new town councils. This looks like a way of increasing costs and avoiding goverment restrictions in percentage increase each year		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J698	Poole Town	The boundaries of “Poole” council are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is “represented” as 4x separate parishes. Resources for “Poole” (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere. The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the “Three Towns”, based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well. The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J699	Poole Town	The draft recommendation short on detail of future operation for residents to make an informed decision. For example - what services to be transferred to the new Poole Town Council; future funding of Poole town council; duties of requested new local councillors.		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J700	Poole Town	When BCP was formed we were told it would reduce cost, improve services and streamline everything. This proposal allows for 42 new councillors with no indication of cost to residents and no cap on number. To me this just seems to be another way of raising revenue without providing any additional benefits.		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J701	Poole Town	Why is Broadstone not included in the Map of Poole?		Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J702	Poole Town	A definitive list of responsibilities would be better. Newtown/Oakdale area boundary is not clear.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J703	Poole Town	Agree in principle	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J704	Poole Town	Alderney District is prevented from having food waste caddy collections while most of BCP Council's other areas all have such a collection. Since Alderney residents pay Council Tax like all other districts, I think Alderney residents are entitled to a massive apology and the food waste caddy collection system should be applied to Alderney without further delay. There is also the question of the removal of the boardwalk connecting a footpath from Herbert Avenue all the way into the Alderney Recreation area instead of repairing the boardwalk and keeping the path available for residents. If the new Parish councillors get this path re-opened and a new boardwalk installed there will be a point to their existence.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J705	Poole Town	all good	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J706	Poole Town	Are 42 Councillors a bit excessive. Would 34 or 36 be a better number.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J707	Poole Town	As a resident in the long thin strip of land appended onto Alderney I object to being cut out from both the Bournemouth/Wallisdown boundaries as well as the Bourne Valley boundaries. The way these are drawn looks like clear gerrymandering and doesn't follow practical or logical boundaries; and neither does it reflect the actual composition of the area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J708	Poole Town	As this is only a parish council, I presume councillors are entirely volunteers and not expected an allowance or to complete casework?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J709	Poole Town	b) & f) A re-established Poole Town Council should include Broadstone, and have precisely the same borders/boundaries as the pre-BCP boundaries of Poole Borough Council, thus preserving the historic advantages and cultural ties of an integrated town. The proposed boundary as presented in the map above looks and feels wrong, and is metaphorically a body with a limb missing.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J710	Poole Town	BCP was proposed mainly to take advantage of savings due to a single authority rather than three. As expected, this did reduce the individual identities of the three towns to be promoted and celebrated as before. The Poole town council will have the chance to promote the long history of Poole and arrange events around that history. It is so important that the children growing up in Poole are aware and proud of where they live.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J711	Poole Town	Break down Bournemouth and Poole parishes into smaller, appropriate parishes, ensuring that Wallisdown is recognised properly as an area which cuts across historical Bournemouth/Poole boundaries.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J712	Poole Town	cant think	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J713	Poole Town	Councillors should be independent members and not affiliated to any political party.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J714	Poole Town	Creekmoor needs a new junior [unknown] given the demand for [unknown] places. [unknown sentence]	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J715	Poole Town	Following the consultation closing and the review taken place, full transparency of the comments and thoughts of residents needs to be made publicly available to ensure that the recommendations put forward by the review panel to Full Council in Autumn 2025 do actually reflect the resident wishes. Town/parish councils should only be making decisions relating to very local matters and also be providing feedback re wider issues to full council. Services provided to all BCP residents (eg bin collections, lighting, policing, highway maintenance) should be undertaken and maintained at full BCP level.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J716	Poole Town	g) and h) Decrease the number of councillors to around 15.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
J717	Poole Town	Get on an do it, if we could reverse the creation of BCP we would do it but this is not possible	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J718	Poole Town	Great Idea	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J719	Poole Town	Hopefully a Town Council will bring back the Quay events and get back to promoting Tourism in the area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J720	Poole Town	I agree Poole needs it's own council to maintain its individual identity. Poole needs it's own town council Chambers as per that in the civic centre. At present, Poole,s identity is being subsumed by Bournemouth. Poole is an ancient Borough going back to Roman times, is in the doomsday book and should be protected at all costs.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J721	Poole Town	I am massively disappointed in this council, as are many other residents. It feels like you spend money you don't have on initiatives we are residents don't want. This is yet another one. Please stop and just do your job and make BCP work. I went to Wimborne last weekend and I was so impressed with how vibrant and busy it was. I parked for free for half an hour. BCP should concentrate on bringing footfall BACK into our town centres, encourage businesses to open, bring back visitors, stop blocking roads and making traffic worse. This is what you should be doing, not spending thousands on lots of consultations that you then don't listen to and grid locking our town.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J722	Poole Town	I am unsure why these changes are necessary. Is it because the present way of governing the BCP is too large and unworkable? I would certainly like to see positive changes for the Poole area, especially the town itself which has suffered from the absence of any real direction and now consists mainly of coffee shops and charity shops. What has happened to the long awaited redevelopment of the Dolphin centre? However I am concerned that these proposals may increase bureaucracy, cause unnecessary divisions between the parishes and create a higher tax burden for the residents. In theory it might appear a good idea but not necessarily in practice. Only time will tell!	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J723	Poole Town	I believe that the historic town of Poole should decide on its own matters.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J724	Poole Town	I believe this change is long overdue as Poole seems to have lost its historic identity and just absorbed into Bournemouth.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J725	Poole Town	<p>I do have concerns that some of wards, namely Canford Cliffs has vastly different issues to most of the other wards and this might make decision making challenging. Also the Parkstone and Penn Hill wards are drawn in such a way that the councillors would be representing people of vastly different economic backgrounds, who would have hugely different issues day-to-day. I would have split both wards and added an Ashley Road ward.</p> <p>Would the town council have power over leisure facilities? Poole Dolphin Leisure Centre has recently come back into council operations but it needs severe investment. Their opening hours and class times appear to mostly cater to non-economically active residents, and the facilities are crumbling, there is literally a full hole in the wooden wall of the sauna.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J726	Poole Town	I do not understand why Broadstone is not included in Poole Town. From about 1931 it was part of the Borough of Poole and provided some outstanding members and Mayors.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J727	Poole Town	I expect as always, those in favour will be the silent majority and it will be the few empty cans making the most noise. The BCP was forced upon us so hope this opportunity is taken to undo some of the unwanted joining. As somebody who is from an old Poole family, I want to see Poole maintain its identity and say in what happens within it.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J728	Poole Town	I like to see the dolphin Centre and the pedstrain walkway from the Dolphin Centre , Kingland Road improved the number of times I seen near misses of trips and falls	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J729	Poole Town	I should like to see more preservation of our local heritage and not allow the carnage of our old buildings and thus destroying a tremendous amount of our history.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 9
J730	Poole Town	I support this local ownership agenda.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J731	Poole Town	I think the whole draft is extremely confusing and made deliberately so to prevent residents from commenting about the proposals so local government can make changes without residents fully understanding the implications. I for one would appreciate less confusing facts and would basically like to know how much extra it would cost me.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J732	Poole Town	I understand there will be an additional charge within our council tax to cover parish councils but would like to see transparency about costs/expenses and also assurances that charges do not escalate because you have not anticipated all eventualities/issues to be covered by parish councils.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J733	Poole Town	I want to see more trees and all hedges, no matter who owns them, are trimmed before March. We are losing garden birds at a frightening rate.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J734	Poole Town	I would change the awful colours displayed upon The Kingsland centre. But then I like colours and not that mess. Whom ever determined those colours did so as an act of sabotage against Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J735	Poole Town	I would give greater powers to Poole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J736	Poole Town	I would hope the councillors believe in democracy and open yo the public	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J737	Poole Town	I would like to be assured that adequate resources are transferred as a baseline over from BCP council, and not the assumption that everything for the new Parish/Town Council is newly funded..	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J738	Poole Town	I would like to see more visible publicity about events taking place. Out and about on posters/public transport, not just social media. Stop filling up empty land with unaffordable housing and put up simple accommodation for those who just want a home for their family.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J739	Poole Town	I would like to see Poole Civic centre protected as the heritage of Poole citizens. There are many notable features. It saddened me to see a for sale sign outside and I fear for it's future. Possibly a Poole parish will address this	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J740	Poole Town	I would like to see Poole Town council return as a self governing entity to support its residents and protect its heritage.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J741	Poole Town	Ideally I would like much more autonomy and decision making powers for the town of Poole as our issues are different to that of Bournemouth and I feel we have suffered for being part of the larger council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J742	Poole Town	If we cannot have our own council then BCP should be renamed CPB in order of history. Because, of course Bournemouth has so little why should it come first.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J743	Poole Town	In general, I am concerned that we are creating a double layer of “bureaucracy” by creating parish or town councils.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J744	Poole Town	In my opinion there needs to be a greater focus on providing sufficient parking for visitors and residents alike. I think the councilors need to be focussed on attracting developers who are prepared to produce good looking buildings rather than hampering them by not allowing adequate parking to ensure that these developments are viable. Currently the pursuit of trying to restrict parking and make everything look like an old warehouse has stifled otherwise good looking developments. The additional councillor would help in making the change.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J745	Poole Town	Integrated Neighbourhood Teams are a key part of how health and social care and support are organised. Recognising that health is influenced by many factors beyond healthcare - including housing, local infrastructure, education, community relationships, the natural environment- it would be helpful if the footprint of Integrated Neighbourhood Teams and of local ward, parish and town council boundaries could be considered together. NHS Dorset will have up to date information on the plans for Integrated Neighbourhood Teams.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J746	Poole Town	Interested on the process the councillors are elected	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J747	Poole Town	It should not be charged for in addition to the existing rates and money should be found from within existing budgets	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J748	Poole Town	It was only a few years ago that Poole town council was abolished and merged with BCP. The rationale was to save money. The proposal put forward would suggest that the original merger is not working and the residents of Poole do not feel properly represented by BCP council. Now we are suggested a new layer, of governance, no doubt at some cost, to provide Poole residents with local councillors. Would it not be far better to acknowledge that BCP Council does not work for separate towns, demerge Poole from BCP in order for us to have a single layer of government for Poole Town!	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J749	Poole Town	It would be important that the wards did not feel too separate from each other, that Poole as a whole works together.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J750	Poole Town	It would be wonderful for Poole to have back its own identity	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J751	Poole Town	Lacks clarity on the actual functions of the Town Council.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J752	Poole Town	Local issues,not government	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J753	Poole Town	Make the Town centre more attractive for day trippers a must place for visitors	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J754	Poole Town	Move forward leave Bournemouth behind and Christchurch	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J755	Poole Town	My only hesitation is that council officers work ethics seem to be lacking compared to commercial organisations. strickly 9-5 ers, moan about overwork but slow working habits, and not reaching KPI's (if indeed these are used !!) compared to commercial counterparts. If this initiative means more of the same - then it needs MUCH better leadership and work attitudes - to get stuff done.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J756	Poole Town	N/A	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J757	Poole Town	N/A	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J758	Poole Town	Nit at this time.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J759	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J760	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J761	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J762	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J763	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J764	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J765	Poole Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J766	Poole Town	no further comments	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J767	Poole Town	No increase in council tax. Local residents to have more say in how community charge and funding is distributed.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J768	Poole Town	No thank you	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J769	Poole Town	No, I think the proposals are good and Poole will regain an identity without the cost of separate BCP councils.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J770	Poole Town	No. Relating to Q17. Poor health means it's not possible to consider being a councillor.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J771	Poole Town	Only that this clearly is a labour government driven endeavour that only serves to create more layers of bureaucracy and payment for yet more councillors many of whom do not earn their allowance. If this goes ahead the councillors elected should be asked to have a greatly increased workload and be held accountable for the work they do (or don't do) and what they contribute to their community (or not)	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J772	Poole Town	Parkstone and Sandbanks need to be distinct from Poole town	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J773	Poole Town	Perhaps a monthly meeting or making buildings like the old town community centre active again as it had been neglected (I think there is a weight watchers there once per week and that is it - very underutilised)	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J774	Poole Town	Please can you get on and sell Poole Civic Centre? Andy Town Council should be able to use other buildings more cheaply otherwise any precept will be spent on maintaining a building that isn't needed. The precept and money from any sale could be better spent elsewhere.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J775	Poole Town	Please implement these recommendations	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J776	Poole Town	Poole civic centre needs to be reinstated and for a lot of pooles historical events to be returned and Poole should be separate from the other 2 councils	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 0
J777	Poole Town	Poole has so much history and character in the while Poole Town area. Unfortunately Bournemouth seems to be seen to take priority within this area, although it has much less to offer. By creating a Poole Town Council, I hope the area can build on it key components and promote ,/ govern itself outside of the BCP area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J778	Poole Town	Poole is thriving and has long history this must not be lost or dismissed by the domination of Bournemouth.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J779	Poole Town	Poole needs it autonomy back	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J780	Poole Town	Poole needs serious investment and development. It was once a thriving town with a good infrastructure and many businesses bringing wealth into the town. I rarely go into Poole Town now as I find it depressing, especially Kingland Crescent and Dolphin Centre. However , the beaches are lovely although the development on the promenade at Sandbanks is too large.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J781	Poole Town	Poole Town requires community led governance ensuring the interests of the whole of the local community are sought and served.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J782	Poole Town	Poole Town should be as independent of Bournemouth as possible. Ideally, BCP would be abolished, leaving Poole independent again.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J783	Poole Town	Reduce the number of councillors to a more manageable figure.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J784	Poole Town	Sever ties with Bournemouth and Christchurch totally. The whole experiment has been a disaster!	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J785	Poole Town	Since BCP became an entity all decisions appear to be Bournemouth based.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J786	Poole Town	Since the amalgamation intoBCP Poole has definitely become the poor relation and higher council tax largely used to fund business expansion in Bournemouth	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J787	Poole Town	Space should be allocated within the vacant Poole Civic Centre for the Town Council, the Mayor's Office and all civic regalia, as well as the function room. Repairs need to be put in hand ASAP (Grade II Listed Building)	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J788	Poole Town	The Charter Trustee system should be abolished	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 8
J789	Poole Town	The council needs to cover a smaller and more unified area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J790	Poole Town	<p>The following polling districts shouldn't be included in Poole Town Council, and should instead be part of Bournemouth Town Council (if established):</p> <p style="text-align: center;">BM6 - Bearwood & Merley 6 BM7 - Bearwood & Merley 7 (excluding BM7-A which is in Poole) CC3-A - Canford Cliffs 3A TB1 - Talbot & Branksome Woods 1 (part)</p> <p>All of TB5 - Talbot & Branksome Woods 5, not just a part, should be in the Bourne Valley and Branksome East ward of Poole Town Council. None of it is in Bournemouth.</p> <p>WB1-A - Westbourne & West Cliff 1A should also be included in the Bourne Valley and Branksome East ward of Poole Town Council, as it is in Poole.</p> <p>Less important than the overall boundary, but in my opinion, some of the proposed wards which are currently the same as the existing BCP council could be changed. I think there would be benefit to having different wards for the town council, avoiding confusion and, for example, 'councillor for Penn Hill ward' meaning two different things. I also think there are issues with the boundaries of those wards themselves.</p> <p>For example, as a resident of Lilliput, it's frustrating that a relatively small but well-recognised community is currently split between three different council wards, with one boundary going directly through the middle of Lilliput Road.</p> <p>My proposal, taking into account the desire to keep wards with 2-3 councillors, would be something like this.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Lower Parkstone ward - 2 councillors PS1</p> <p>PS2 (part) - western half of this polling district split by a line roughly running NNW from Bournemouth Road just east of Courtney Road, up to North Road keeping just west of Glenmount Drive and Mount Road.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PS3</p> <p>PS4 (part) - Everything north of Whitecliff park (excluding the Elms estate).</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>Upper Parkstone ward - 2 councillors PS2 (part) - Other half of polling district as described above. PH2 (part) - North of railway line. PH3 (part) - North of railway line. PH4</p> <p>Lilliput & Penn Hill ward - 2 councillors PH1 PH2 (part) - South of railway line. PH3 (part) - South of railway line. PS4 (part) - South of Whitecliff park (Elms estate). CC1 (part) - Everything west of Luscombe Valley nature reserve (also BH14 postcode boundary). CC5 (part) - Eastern side (odds) of Archway Road between Leicester Road and the railway.*</p> <p>*Even if the wards remain largely unchanged from the BCP wards, I believe these homes should be in Penn Hill ward. They're right in what most would consider the commercial centre of the Penn Hill area, and quite a distance from the rest of Canford Cliffs ward.</p> <p>The wards of Canford Cliffs, Oakdale and Creekmoor are harder to redraw in a way that makes sense (particularly the latter two), but could name changes (e.g. Canford Cliffs, Branksome Park & Sandbanks) be considered to differentiate them from the BCP wards?</p>					
J791	Poole Town	<p>The lack of financial resources that has plagued councils since 2010 and the years following during which the successive Conservative governments reduced and eventually abolished core government funding for councils is not understood by local residents. This is largely because councils in this area have not told them about it. That is understandable while the councils were in Conservative control, but they are now not. A brief 'flyer' explaining how this lack of resources has come about could work wonders for creating a more sympathetic understanding of the need of councils to save money.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J792	Poole Town	<p>The map is hard to see and can't zoom in to see boundaries.</p> <p>I would hope and suggest that Poole town council utilise the civic centre by Poole Park as the previous Poole Borough Council did.</p>	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J793	Poole Town	The number of wards proposed and number of councillors is excessive.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J794	Poole Town	The old Borough of Poole had a history going back through hundreds of years. Reviving its traditions, ceremonies and including again the old armorial badge of Poole could revive a pride in the uniqueness of our area . So many people live here who know little or nothing of Poole's past. This would be an opportunity to show what a special place Poole is, and what a contribution it could make to the area as a whole.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3
J795	Poole Town	The proposed boundaries are illogical. The old Poole borough should be subdivided into smaller parish council areas. There is no logic in removing one area namely Broadstone out of the previous Poole area but leaving all the surrounding areas in a single council area. PQF consider that all the parish/town council areas across the whole of the BCP areas should be of similar size	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J796	Poole Town	The Town Council name should be just POOLE COUNCIL, as Poole Town Council can be a misleading name and only reflects Poole town itself and does not inclusive the Wards listed for the new Council for Poole	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J797	Poole Town	This whole plan seems like an elaborate way to circumvent the BCPs debt and budget issues -but it is a good idea in that respect. Also great for Poole to be broken off from the absolute waste of space that is most of Bournemouth	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J798	Poole Town	To bring back pride in Poole, to help with Poole issues and more local understanding and consultation	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J799	Poole Town	Unless all the separate areas of Poole are getting their own Town or Parish Council, e.g. Hamworthy, Parkstone, etc. then they should all be under one Town Council. Broadstone should be part of Poole Town Council, unless all the other areas have their own ones too.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J800	Poole Town	Until it is up and running, it is difficult to foresee changes that might be necessary in the structure of Poole Town Council	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J801	Poole Town	very good idea.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J802	Poole Town	We have elected councillors who should be looking after the interests of Poole and it's residents. Why do we need more bureaucracy?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J803	Poole Town	We need a town council for the Poole area which I feel a part of and these recommendations will help secure that goal.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J804	Poole Town	We should retain our 'dolphin' logo, it was terrible to see it go and be replaced the the dreadful BCP logo. Poole should never have been part of BCP, things have deteriorated ever since, bring back Poole Borough Council so we can take back control of our areas, needs and our people	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J805	Poole Town	Will the recommendations of parish councils/councillors be heeded and actioned or is it more bureaucratic lip service?	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J806	Poole Town	Bin it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J807	Poole Town	I think the creation of more councils to be completely counter productive and unlikely to provide better representation. Additional councils within the BCP envelope will only serve the ambitions of a tiny minority of politically active council voters. Costs of additional local government layers are to be avoided.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J808	Poole Town	The current administration is asking us to consider something for which they cannot even confirm what the cost to the resident would be. Additionally they want this 'additional tax' to be uncapped, so potentially this could increase exponentially each year. It's just another way of generating additional income as the Government limit the annual council tax increase. There is insufficient information provided to make an informed decision.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J809	Poole Town	<p>'- There has been a lack of transparency about this consultation. Most of my neighbours and friends were unaware until I alerted them. Very poor communication. Nothing direct from our elected councillors. They appear to be very politically biased rather than open to residents views. Very difficult to easily find on website. Has taken me a few attempts. I cannot see how this is representative of residents</p> <p>- I cannot see how the TC cannot be politically driven. No advantage as current councillors are not open to views and previous consultations/surveys results have been ignored by council</p> <p>-the area of Poole is too big so I can foresee some areas not benefitting to change when residents will be paying more in Council Tax. Unspecified amount or capping.</p> <p>- consider that BCP will shirk some responsibilities pushing in another layer of beaurocracy. Already services are not taking place,</p> <p>-</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J810	Poole Town	...	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J811	Poole Town	<p>1. Maintain current arrangements and reject unnecessary change. BCP residents have only just begun adjusting to the merged authority structure since 2019. Adding parish layers now risks further fragmentation, disruption, and voter disengagement. We should be focusing on enhancing what we have, not adding to it.</p> <p>2. Focus resources on services, not structure. At a time of public sector constraint, energy should be directed toward improving frontline delivery across BCP, not on establishing new bureaucratic bodies. A Town Council would bring overheads - clerks, offices, elections - that consume funds better spent on vital local needs.</p> <p>3. Poole's identity is not dependent on a Town Council. Civic pride and identity can be nurtured through community groups, events, and local networks without needing a statutory body. The heritage and traditions of Poole are already safeguarded by the Charter Trustees.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J812	Poole Town	<p>16. Abolish BCP, return to 3 separate councils Bring back the dolphin logo; it gives a much stronger sense of identity than the boring dots. Replace the (sculpture) big shiny 'knot' that looks nothing like a knot, near Asda Holes Bay, with something less harsh and so glaring one of the Mermaids Tails from the recent Julia's House Tail Trail would be more appropriate and less of a 'what on earth is that monstrosity' Consult the electorate how they want their area to look when it comes to art; types of sculptures, murals, landscaping. Step away from the old fashioned seaside resort look of painting everything bright white and blue, it's too clinical and dated. Cleaner streets, cleaner everything really! Put the 'stunning' back in to this area - use local creative people; we have an Arts University full of talent.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J813	Poole Town	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
J814	Poole Town	A focus on improving existing services by BCP please	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J815	Poole Town	A full referendum should be offered. Recommendations for Poole haven't been promoted by an independant/impartial group. Local media have not made a front page. We need a full referendum ASAP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J816	Poole Town	A major thrust of the current government is to increase productivity and reduce the potential for NIMBYism. These proposals seem to risk adding another layer of overhead cost and potential for delay. It is hard to see what value they will bring to the economic development of Poole (or BCP as a whole). The old adage 'if it's not broke don't fix it' springs to mind.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J817	Poole Town	A parish that has some similarity between occupants. Don't lump us all together for the sake of ease.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J818	Poole Town	A resolution to this proposal would be to break up BCP back into individual councils, problem solved... What was the point of combining the three if you are now proposing individual town councils again.... Madness personified.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J819	Poole Town	A separate Town Council is not required	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J820	Poole Town	a waist of money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J821	Poole Town	Abandon the plan to create the twon council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J822	Poole Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J823	Poole Town	Adding an extra layer won't improve local democracy. Start listening to resident voices! Residents don't want parish councils in Poole - they want better management from existing councillors and BCP employees.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J824	Poole Town	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J825	Poole Town	also these councillor positions are meant to be voluntary with no salary ,but there are hidden costs (expenses which can cost up to £700.00 pound per annum per councillor) 42 ,thats £29,400 whos paying for this extra an oncost to my council tax . Areas of Poole Parish council if it was to go ahead should be realalistically should consist of . Poole town Parish ,your map needs to be re drawn on your map this should be cut should be cut in half to consist of Old town and Baiter wards ,Hamworthy East ward,Hamworth West and Turlin Moor ward, Long fleet and Sterte ward,Creekmoor Ward, Oak Dale ward ,Canford Heath East and Canford Heath West . This is a More realistic size ward for a parish council to manage . completley open book on costs and transparent on services. Parish Councils Historically ,were small in nature to support local villages not to pass off resources to support councils in finacial trouble. Lastly The way this survey or Consultation is not structured the best for the every day person /pensioner etc to navigate which also seems underhand as this has taken me over an hour to get through .	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J826	Poole Town	Although if this goes ahead you are stating that the increase in council tax for the first year will be relatively small you can't give any indication of what it will be in later years. I'm not in the habit of [uniting black changes?]	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J827	Poole Town	Another layer of expense... BCP can do this.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J828	Poole Town	Another level of management which is not required. Money is in short supply everywhere and this will only increase the Rates which are already too high.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J829	Poole Town	Another tier seems a step back. I thought BCP was set up to reduce duplication of costs. Also some wards have a ratio of 400 to 1, electors to councillors and some wards have a ratio of 2000 to 1.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J830	Poole Town	Another waste of money and additional cost to residents. We don't need more councillors, we need a better council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J831	Poole Town	Any increases in Council Tax should be for the benefit of current local services, not employing more and more so-called 'councillors'.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J832	Poole Town	As	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J833	Poole Town	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J834	Poole Town	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
J835	Poole Town	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J836	Poole Town	As above - this is an attempt at re-creating the former Borough of Poole with the significant difference that it will not have any real decision making powers. An extra cost to the residents that is unnecessary.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J837	Poole Town	As above an Independent council for Poole should be reformed outside of a remaining Bournemouth and Christchurch Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J838	Poole Town	As above but need to emphasise that we strongly disagree with these proposals.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J839	Poole Town	As already stated above, I think the social side is well organised by the current council setup. Please keep the lovely public gardens, clean beaches and streets, and try to keep the summer festivals. Maybe make it easy for people to volunteer, I would happily help with leaf pickup on streets in my area if I had a way to dispose of the leaves.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J840	Poole Town	As per usual you will not listen to residents and will do whatever you see fit but this proposal is diabolical, it should not happen and the only residents I know who want this to go ahead are current councillors and potential new councillors if it goes ahead. This will not benefit the greater majority of residents in any way shape or form.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J841	Poole Town	BCP can't co-ordinate road repairs to prevent gridlock so sub-dividing regions is only going to reduce communication on issues.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J842	Poole Town	BCP need to maintain control of its authority and borders and not delegate out to anywhere else. The voters and residents should be able to expect a satisfactory service from BCP without adding extra layers of paperwork, confusion, slippery shoulder syndrome and bureaucratic bumbling. This is regardless of whichever party is in control at any given time. Also I have seen very little to make the public aware of this issue and am concerned people's voices will be swept aside anyway as BCP has done with other issues ie Poole Park traffic closures	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J843	Poole Town	BCP should either stay as it is or it dissolves to town councils again. Having both is ridiculous and a waste of money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J844	Poole Town	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J845	Poole Town	Bin it now.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J846	Poole Town	Bureaucracy gone mad. Total waste of money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J847	Poole Town	Complete waste of money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J848	Poole Town	Complete waste of more of the locals money, haven't you wasted enough already, Get rid of the cycle lanes that hardly anyone uses. Disband BCP council completely and put it back how it was before...	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J849	Poole Town	Complete waste of time and energy. Focus on getting BCP functional	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J850	Poole Town	Complete waste of your time and our monely	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J851	Poole Town	Council tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH14 0

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J852	Poole Town	Creekmoor is a small community already well represented by our local councillors. Addition another layer of bureaucracy would muddy the waters further, taking more time and costing more.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J853	Poole Town	Disagree with the concept of creating an additional tier of bureaucracy for the following reasons: a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorganisations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delay local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delay not create additional layers of local authority g) There is not a strong demand for an additional tier of local authority service or need. h) I do not agree with paying extra costs of an additional tier of local authority administration in Poole when costs of local authority provision in BCP through Council Tax rises are already increasing at an above inflation level i) Creation of an additional tier of administration would create confusion and lead to higher elected member costs and higher and duplicated administrative costs	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J854	Poole Town	Disband BCP Council and revert to individual Councils for Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J855	Poole Town	Disband BCP if you can't make it work.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J856	Poole Town	DO NO IMPLIMENT THIS, no body wants it!!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J857	Poole Town	Do not add another level of unwanted bureaucracy and financially burden the council tax payers with an unknown amount. Although there are a few areas in the town that are affluent, perhaps could even be described as rich, the majority of Poole residents could not afford this extra tax. Please consider the hardworking individuals who all contribute by paying tax to national and local levels. We do not have unlimited funds and cannot be expected to finance this absolute folly of a proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J858	Poole Town	Do not want it. Strongly against it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J859	Poole Town	Do not waste any more of the the public “your customers” money on even one more single pointless meeting on anything regarding this and simply start doing your actual jobs, providing value.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J860	Poole Town	domt want a town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J861	Poole Town	Don;t do it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J862	Poole Town	Don't Bother!!!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J863	Poole Town	Don't do it you are all corrupt and it will end in tears.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J864	Poole Town	Don't do it!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J865	Poole Town	Don't do it. Put your efforts into sorting out the current expensive mess created by BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J866	Poole Town	Drop the idea.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J867	Poole Town	Due to the arbitrary areas on the map there will always be issues near the boundaries which is why BCP was a good idea. It was also set up to save money and resources. Community groups need to be encouraged to take on roles that BCP feels it is incapable of dealing with.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J868	Poole Town	Due to the arbitrary nature of the boundary settings there are ALWAYS going to be issues with those who are close to the [unknown]. This is why BCP is better than the old individual councils. Why change something that is working and at the same time saddle residents with further costs at a time when money is in short supply. The change SHOULD NOT increase cost at all. If there are areas that want additional features that BCP feel it can not afford then community groups should be established for that purpose so the issues can be attended to by those people and the rest of us can be left alone or join in as we see fit.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J869	Poole Town	Due to the lack of people with enough management experience willing to stand as a Parish Councillor we need to simplify local government not complicate it. This will add costs and delay decision making. When fast effective action is needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J870	Poole Town	Either scrap this proposal altogether (for Poole Town) or create a number of smaller parish councils that genuinely represent specific communities within the overall town of Poole - e.g. Bearwood, Branksome, Canford Heath, Creekmoor, Hamworthy, Merley, Oakdale, Old Town, Parkstone.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J871	Poole Town	Even though you have sent this out its not going to change anything which is why so many people wont even bother filling in this survey because they know you are just going to do what you want to do anyway.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J872	Poole Town	For BCP to start using the funding it has available to serve its residents not to pay for an additional layer of governance.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J873	Poole Town	For hundreds of years Poole was an independent town. It had its own Mayor, Sheriff and town council. It was successful and independent. Sadly, in 2019 it joined forces with Bournemouth and Christchurch to form part of BCP Council. Most local people consider that was a retrograde step. A huge majority of local people would gladly go back to three independent towns. That is entirely different to what is being described as Community Governance. Dismantle completely BCP Council and return to an independent Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J874	Poole Town	For the record, I understand that no one is permitted to comment on the potential financial aspects of this proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J875	Poole Town	FORGET IT. As this is only a 'consultation' AKA a tick box effort, any suggestions, opinions, sensible notions will be ignored, as has already happened with these faux consultations.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J876	Poole Town	give back the £10 million you stole from us and repair our high street	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J877	Poole Town	Green space, planting etc - BCP council holds responsibility for this. We are a unitary authority joined together for efficiency. Subdividing ourselves back to three individual town parishes and smaller groups is not in our interest. Locally we have ward councillors who 'shoukd' represent our voice. If they wish to work together to firm a voice for Poole, then they can do so. This doesn't require duplication to make it happen.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH12 1
J878	Poole Town	Having read your 67 page document I fail to see any reason for these proposed changes. Are the existing 76 councillors unable to sort out allotments and skateparks?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J879	Poole Town	Hopefully the wishes expressed by residents in this consultation are listened to, unlike previous consultations where the council has totally ignored the wishes of the majority taking time to fill in the consultation!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J880	Poole Town	How about parish council for Creekmoor	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J881	Poole Town	How much is this going to cost the tax payer? Why is this needed? What benefits will there be for there new parishes?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J882	Poole Town	How much will this cost? I find it very irresponsible to put this to residents without letting us know how you are going to fund this. No other business would be able to do this, or do you have no idea? Absolutely crazy if you don't, and you shouldn't even be considering this!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J883	Poole Town	How will this proposal impact a council that is struggling financially, with turnover of staff and in completing projects.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J884	Poole Town	I accept that BCP Council needs more money, but other options would be preferable. Many residents are 'asset rich and cash poor', so finding the extra precept would be hard for them. I notice that Christchurch Town Council's precept is twice the average for the Parish Councils, and this would inevitably be the same for a Poole Town Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J885	Poole Town	I am not in favour of Town and Parish Councils being formed on the grounds of not being provided with all information. I can't name it as my answer would not be counted, and that in itself is wrong.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J886	Poole Town	I am sure regardless of the outcome of the public consultation, BCP will continue on the route it has decided. Since BCP has been established there has been a pattern of ignoring public feeling, which is probably why BCP is not liked or respected by residents, you only have to look at local forums to see how unhappy residents are.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J887	Poole Town	I assume these councillors will be unpaid, but no doubt able to claim expenses.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J888	Poole Town	I believe it will increase costs and achieve nothing. I did ask one passing road sweeper if it would be possible to have our road swept. He kindly went out of his way and the pavement was swept for the first time in 4 years	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J889	Poole Town	I believe that we don't need to have two or even three tier local councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J890	Poole Town	I believe the creation of a council to cover the whole of the largest urban conurbation on the south coast was a good thing. The creation of additional councils to represent the areas within this conurbation will weaken the effectiveness of BCP council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J891	Poole Town	I believe the whole of Wallisdown should come under the Bournemouth Town Council, not Alderney ward.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J892	Poole Town	I can not see why the remit of the Charter Trustees can not be expounded.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J893	Poole Town	I consider that there is no good reason for introducing a further layer of local government. The three councils B,C and P were amalgamated to improve efficiency and organisational structure. The introduction of an additional layer of government goes against this and represents a retrograde step. Arguably the three councils should have therefore remained as individual unitary authorities. The changes will result in the need to more elections and I suspect from bitter experience will lead to endless unproductive meetings about who does what and how. It and will not improve community cohesion but probably cause division in communities. The existing council should be devoting its time to improving services for which they have responsibility, rather than spending time and resources to setting up another layer of local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J894	Poole Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
J895	Poole Town	I do not agree to a parish council. As far as I can see it's just another way of local council getting more money out of residents for nothing.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J896	Poole Town	I do not know whose idea it was at BCP Council to spend/waste money on a Consultation so soon after its own creation but they need to be found another role which is more useful to residents and therefore council tax payers.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J897	Poole Town	I do not see that I can make an informed decision regarding the creation of another layer of council without knowing the costs associated with it. I would not enter a contract without knowing this level of detail. It would appear a town council can set whatever precept it wishes to our council tax bill and I am unwilling to support additional (unknown costs) for a notional level of additional democracy. From experience in other areas of the country where this has been done there is little enthusiasm for people to become councillors (many seats being unopposed) so the stated aim for additional local democracy tends to not happen in practice.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J898	Poole Town	I don't agree this is a good idea and its cost will be passed on to the residents of BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J899	Poole Town	I don't think we should have parish councils. I live in Merley and feel more associated with Wimborne than I do with Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J900	Poole Town	<p>I feel very very strongly against this proposal. If BCP is to continue on the basis that it is more beneficial than Poole Council of old, why do we need another body to carry out the functions currently required of BCP. Is BCP asserting that it is not able to manage parks etc in a manner which takes local views into account?</p> <p>Given the amount of work which has obviously taken place, BCP has clearly decided in favour of creating the new bodies. Past consultations have appeared to pay lip service to taking residents views into account. Unfortunately it is likely that this consultation will be no different. Surely the best way to obtain residents views on this is to hold a referendum, the results of which would be published for all to see. A referendum would surely be better publicised so that a more representative view would be generated. My husband, who is not on social media, was completely unaware of this proposal. Where are the leaflets being delivered to the door? Where are the emails from BCP to residents notifying them of the consultation? A reform with the likely costs of this proposal should not be pushed through by BCP on the quiet; this is exactly what residents fear from BCP.</p> <p>The most obvious way of increasing local representation and engagement with residents is to reinstate Poole Borough Council and abolish BCP. Why is this not going out to consultation?</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 9
J901	Poole Town	I have seen nothing about the cost or the benefits.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J902	Poole Town	I have the perception that BCP Council, given the current financial mess it finds itself in, is looking for ways to create an additional funding tier to clear the debt. There are already BCP Ward Councilors and I see no reason why they can't provide the same services as is being proposed for a "Parish Council".	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J903	Poole Town	I recommend the plans be delayed until after the next election in 2027 and all decisions should be taken with a democratic vote and not just with a consultation which the council generally ignores the results of. There should not be potentially 4 layers of charges which we the public have no control over. This will massively increase cost to already struggling families so I cannot back the proposals. I have lost all trust in the council to actually listen to the people it serves.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J904	Poole Town	I see no benefit at all in separating Poole Town into parish wards which will need a huge number of volunteers and some salaried staff to give which will add more to our council tax. Parish councils also do not have a legal cap on how much they can increase the parish charge annually. In addition nationally the latest statistics show that annual salaries to the paid staff account for 74% of the tax taken.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J905	Poole Town	I see no benefit for the residents. I didn't like the creation of BCP but now you want to divide it up even smaller than the original councils	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J906	Poole Town	I think BCP should be concentrating on providing a better service etc for the residents. I do not think it is the best time to see these Parish/Town Councils. BCP needs to have more time to make a Council that befits the residents, as promised when merged.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J907	Poole Town	I think the whole idea is stupid	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J908	Poole Town	I think this has been appallingly communicated. The video only shows the changes – not how these would benefit us or what the changes would cost. The email with this survey in today was the first one I have seen sent out. Given that the deadline is 22nd June and the consultation started on 31st March why have there not been emails sent every week? I think this whole consultation shows a complete lack of regard from the counsellors to their electors. Personally, I am disgusted.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J909	Poole Town	I think under the problems of finance at the moment it should not go ahead in making another stream of councillors and staff we cannot afford we must live within our means	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J910	Poole Town	I understand the ideas and the level of detail in the work but the fact is it is only trying to hide the real problem which is BCP itself	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J911	Poole Town	I was opposed to the BCP merger in the first place but we do not need BCP and then a lot of smaller councils under the same umbrella. It should be either BCP council OR parish councils, not both.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J912	Poole Town	I would like the whole idea scrapped	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J913	Poole Town	I would like to see BCP running the area as one area, possibly using this energy to create more council levels to achieving partnerships with neighbouring councils like hampshire, and somerset. There is a lack of enthusiasm in the younger generations to sustain extended levels of governance, this is a short term, short sighted scheme as a result. It is protracted enough having national and local government already. Strongly opposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J914	Poole Town	I would like to see BCP working for the good of it's residents before making any more changes.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J915	Poole Town	I would like to see more concentration on cutting out waste and controlling spending on dubious projects and social ambitions that are nothing to do with local government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J916	Poole Town	I would like to see no changes	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J917	Poole Town	I would like to see playing fields mowed again so they can be played on! Not more councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J918	Poole Town	I would like to see the consultation process being put on hold. There should be a broader look at how to engage the public, by public meetings or a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J919	Poole Town	I would like to see this idea of yet another level of bureaucracy and yet another excuse to slow down the local government at further cost and expense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J920	Poole Town	I would like to see those elected BCP councillors getting on with the job of listening to the local people and also getting on with the job of getting things done. We do not need any parish councillors to "muddy the waters". The introduction of Parish councillors will only go to cause more political problems than solve.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J921	Poole Town	I would like to see two or three roadworks at the time only , so that improvements would actually be appreciated as you could workers working on site	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J922	Poole Town	I would support Creekmoor having its own parish council, but not being part of such a large parish council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J923	Poole Town	Id like to see more accountability on current spending, and more charges on companies that overrun on commitment to contracted works.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J924	Poole Town	Ideally another solution should be found to the issues raised by the Poole Charter trustees. Having only relatively recently merged the Bournemouth and Poole councils to save money, reintroducing a Poole Council seems to be a retrograde step.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J925	Poole Town	If changes are necessary Poole Town should revert back to being a Town Council and not become a Parish Council. Bournemouth and Christchurch can make their own decisions.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J926	Poole Town	If i may add that if the current administration find that they cannot provide the electorate with the delivery of performance as was stated when elected then perhaps the electorate should hold a vote of no confidence.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J927	Poole Town	If it's going to be then it is ok, though I am not keen on having to vote for Councillors on two councils and it was better before the creation of BCP. It should be either BCP or Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J928	Poole Town	If the current set up with the local charter is not enabling enough to be done, then hire grant and community fundraisers for each area to teach the community organisations how to get grants and funds to improve their local community. This will cost a darn sight less than creating another layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J929	Poole Town	If the MP's and councillors of BCP cannot do the job that we are paying them for, maybe they should resign and let someone more capable do it. We do not need more staff wanting money for nothing, as we have more than enough of that already.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 2
J930	Poole Town	If the recommendations go ahead, then both Bournemouth and Poole councils should be created.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J931	Poole Town	If this is such a good idea I suggest it's proposed as an opt in to see the uptake. Then you will see whether it's truly supported.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J932	Poole Town	If this is to continue there should be a local referendum as it is a materail change in local goverment and an extra taxation that we as residents will have to pay. This isn't democracy if you are just going to rubber stamp it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J933	Poole Town	If you are so keen on a council for Poole, let's re-establish Poole Borough Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J934	Poole Town	IF. this proposal is adopted , I believe that all person willing to stand for election in the various wards should be politically independent. Too much poor governance is inflicted on residents through pedantic adherence to political ideology rather than considering what is best for the	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J935	Poole Town	In a time of uncertainty and change in this country with ever increasing cost of living, this additional change will be unsettling and unnecessarily costly and will likely cause more animosity towards the existing council (something that is being increasingly noticed online due to the council not considering the residents wishes). This change should be put to a vote across all residents, with formal notification to all residents, noting that most are not aware of it. Not everyone follows what the council do.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J936	Poole Town	In general I believe that the formation of additional local councils over and above those already established is a retrograde step that will involve even more money in respect of local precepts that in the current economic is not needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J937	Poole Town	In regards to services being transferred hypothetically from BCP to a parish council will BCP stay with equipment be given to new volunteer staff on the parish council. Will the same things from BCP transferred to wards equally or will some wards have more than others this is unclear. People didn't want BCP to start with scrap Poole from BCP but don't mess people around. No one [unkonwn] other it is not essential to have a parish council it is not a legal requirement to review this to start do a referendum	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J938	Poole Town	Increasing the levels of administration and associated cost is hard to justify.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J939	Poole Town	<p>Instead of spending the money for extra Counsellor's offices staff, I'd rather see the money reinvested into our town. Poole unfortunately has become a dump over the last few years. Thanks too you guys at Bcp.</p> <p>I would like to see more investment to encourage Holiday people to spend money in our local town and I'd like the air festival to come back. This brings vital money for local businesses which is desperately needed.</p> <p>Unfortunately, Bcp have got a war on motorists which is hurting the local economy and local holiday makers</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J940	Poole Town	<p>Instead of wasting time on this why not sort out the things that need addressing. Litter, green space and main road tidiness to welcome people to the town.</p> <p>Visitors to Bournemouth has dropped.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J941	Poole Town	<p>Is voting going to be the usual "first past the post", or will there be some form of PR? Will it just be a case of the usual political parties, with all the resources at their disposal, creating the "same old" talking shop? How will independent voices be represented in the proposed set-up?</p> <p>Merley seems to come out very poorly in these proposals, with low councillor representation linking it to areas in Poole it has little or no connection with. Why do Broadstone and Redhill/Northbourne get special treatment with their own councils?</p> <p>I suggest that Merley (like Broadstone) has its own council with a larger councillor representation, especially with the housing growth that is currently happening in that area without any improvements in infrastructure.</p> <p>Merley needs a strong, clear, local voice, but I don't see that reflected in these proposals. Rather the opposite.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J942	Poole Town	<p>It covers too many areas.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J943	Poole Town	It is my understanding that BCP was initiated to simplify local government and reduce costs in the three areas - so why do we need an extra layer of governance which will inevitably come with its own added bureaucracy and expense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J944	Poole Town	It is wrong not to include the likely cost as part of the review. It would add a significant financial burden to already hard pressed Council Taxpayers,	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J945	Poole Town	It just sounds like it is going to cost more money!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J946	Poole Town	It probably doesn't matter - if recent consultations are anything to go by you won't listen anyway and will do whatever you want as usual	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J947	Poole Town	it should not happen more councillors more money and whose money the rate payers	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J948	Poole Town	It will be another talking shop at tax payers expense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J949	Poole Town	It will increase council costs which will be paid by residents	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J950	Poole Town	<p>It would be useful for BCP to improve current services rather than creating new councils which will then be responsible for who knows what? How will services be shared?</p> <p>The current mess in Poole with roadworks (Ringwood Rd cycle lane) which seems to have taken precedence away from other road surface fixes has been fairly shambolic so far. Is having more layers going to exacerbate other services.</p> <p>It would be nice for the council to listen to residents rather than seem to give lip service.</p> <p>Thanks</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
J951	Poole Town	It would make much more sense to amalgamate the councils to create one BCP council with the budget to cope with major projects across the area. These can be prioritised and much more easily delivered by having one, central organisation that carries out co-ordinated activities across the whole rather than a piece-meal "divide and conquer" approach.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J952	Poole Town	It's an unnecessary cost	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J953	Poole Town	It's another layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J954	Poole Town	It's confusing enough for the general public. Lets keep it simple and not create another public body.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J955	Poole Town	It's a BIG NO from me!!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
J956	Poole Town	It's a gravy train.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J957	Poole Town	It's full of lies as usual, we get promised the warth but are constantly kicked in the face by the council. Not one councillor has been able yo tell residents just how much extra this will cost. What happened to openess and transparency?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J958	Poole Town	Just get existing councillors to do the job they were elected to do	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J959	Poole Town	Just leave things as they are.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J960	Poole Town	Just more layer(s) of bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J961	Poole Town	Keep things as they are and don't create a scapegoating body just because you cannot or refuse to do the job you are elected and paid to do. If I was an incompetent or ineffective local councillor I would be delighted that another body to distract from short comings was being created.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
J962	Poole Town	Keep to BCP council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
J963	Poole Town	Leave BCP as it is now and do not form a Poole Town Council for reasons set out in 15.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J964	Poole Town	Leave it alone. Improve the performance of the current BCP council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J965	Poole Town	Leave it as it is	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH14 0
J966	Poole Town	Leave it as it is	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J967	Poole Town	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J968	Poole Town	Local residents will no doubt be ignored. Why don't you hold a local referendum? Many people will be completely unaware of your intentions.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 8
J969	Poole Town	Merging BCP was and still is an absolute farce. Politicians are only interested in lining their own pockets and pushing their own agendas. They're not interested in listening to voters. This is pure greed at work.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J970	Poole Town	More clear and open information provided to every household with a full breakdown of costs.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J971	Poole Town	More jobs for the boys. Abandon the proposal and unlike the massive objections to closing Poole Park to traffic please respect Residents wishes, be honest and respectful this time and listen and don't go ahead regardless.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J972	Poole Town	Most people in these areas of BCP do not want the additional costs of another layer of unnecessary local government. A BCP Unitary Authority with low costs is the preferred option.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J973	Poole Town	My suggestion is that you do not implement the draft proposals as they currently stand.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J974	Poole Town	My suggestion, if there is to be a Poole Town Council, is to automatically elect the "Poole" BCP councillors to the town council so they have dual responsibility. This would save cost, improve efficiency and keep clear lines of accountability. Members of the public would then still have a simple, understandable point of contact for local issues as well as BCP-wide issues.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J975	Poole Town	N/A	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J976	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J977	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J978	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J979	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J980	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J981	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J982	Poole Town	no benefit to me personally	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J983	Poole Town	No need for Parish Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J984	Poole Town	No one will do it for free so forget this idea	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J985	Poole Town	No.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J986	Poole Town	None	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J987	Poole Town	None	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J988	Poole Town	Not interested. Bin it off, unless you want to dissolve BCP council completely and give us Poole Borough Council back. I'm only paying for 1 council, not 2.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J989	Poole Town	Not really saying what we are paying for and how it works	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J990	Poole Town	Parish Councillors are totally unnecessary & added expense with existing BCP councillors in place who should be more proactive in their activities in local organisation & not reactive.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J991	Poole Town	People are struggling enough as it is	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J992	Poole Town	Perhaps each councillor should have unpaid sub committee to help the councillor in question.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J993	Poole Town	Perhaps volunteer sub committee would be able to spread the load for the councillor in question	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J994	Poole Town	Please don't bother, concentrate on running the combined council that you thought was a good idea not that long ago	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J995	Poole Town	Please don't do this	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J996	Poole Town	Please get rid of the plan	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J997	Poole Town	Please listen to the residents	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J998	Poole Town	Please put our taxes to better use	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J999	Poole Town	Please put your efforts into continuing to improve the existing council and services for residents, rather than making unnecessary changes.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J1000	Poole Town	Please scrap the whole proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1001	Poole Town	Please stop this proposal ASAP. it is not a good use of Limited funds.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1002	Poole Town	Poole is a town - so should have a town council. Town councillors should represent all the wards within the borough of Poole. Broadstone should be part of the town council. There is no need for parishes within Poole. Poole needs to retain a mayor and sheriff - both require a base to receive guests, work and share heritage assets and regalia.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1003	Poole Town	Poole is already represented therefore no reason to change	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J1004	Poole Town	Poole needs its civic centre back. Whether Poole gets its own town council or BCP gets split back up and the historic Borough of Poole is re-instated, any council making decisions for Poole will need council chambers and we already have a purpose built building for that very purpose. Save the Poole civic centre.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1005	Poole Town	Poole 'Parish Council' just feels like a fudge. The area needs careful and considered management and I'd rather see focused efforts by BCP to provide strong direction, adding 40+ councillors all with their own micro agenda, may not enable progress.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
J1006	Poole Town	Poole town as, as separate town, with its own town council will work as it used to, and actually benefit the town without having to consider Bournemouth or Christchurch, and the monies obtained through a potentially reduced council tax can be better used. Also, all current councillors will need to stand for re-election, as it has been noted some of the current ones have their own agendas and are not benefitting Poole.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1007	Poole Town	Poole town council should never have been disbanded in the first place. So if it now needs to be reformed, then BCP should be abolished.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1008	Poole Town	Poole Town covers a large area and unless you live in Canford Heath you don't understand how the heathland comes with unique needs. Protection of important habitats and fires. The heathland is a magnet for inconsiderate people. How would the needs of residents in Canford Heath be heard as a minority in such a large Poole Town council? There would be no advantage in having another layer of councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J1009	Poole Town	Poole Town, including Broadstone, to separate totally from BCP Council, the merger exercise has failed it's residents in almost every respect. Borough of Poole Council wasn't perfect but was considerably better than the current Bournemouth-centric operation.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1010	Poole Town	Rather than create Poole Town, the whole of Poole should be transferred into Dorset Council. This would greatly reduce costs for residents by eliminating duplication in infrastructure and operations, increase efficiency and enable local residents to benefit from value-adding services already provided by Dorset Council, e.g. food waste collection.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1011	Poole Town	Rather than introduce this additional 'level of democracy', is there no way there could be a change to the Charters Trustees Constitution if it is the intention to give 'local community involvement' ? BCP needs more money, but there must be a better way of doing this. It is clear that there was not an overwhelming appetite for a Poole Town Council - of the 112, 662 electorate within Poole, there were only 29 responses from Poole; and of the 12 submissions in favour of a Poole Town Council, only 4 came from Poole residents, so not a ringing endorsement ! There will also need to be boundary changes to polling districts TB1,4,5,6 & WB4), which is not insignificant.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J1012	Poole Town	Rather than spending considerable time and officer resources on this proposal concentrate on the core functions of the local authority and try to get that right. Town councils are not the solution.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1013	Poole Town	Re: Poole Town itself, just two cllrs.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1014	Poole Town	Rebanding of BCP staff to reflect reduction in responsibilities. Reduction in BCP Council tax to reflect reduction in responsibilities	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1015	Poole Town	Recently I have seen cases where local and parish councillors have not been kept informed of decisions.This makes me believe that the current systems need to be overhauled before introducing new parishes and councillors. The current level of powers proposed would in my opinion make the new councillors paper tigers. I see responsibility without power.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1016	Poole Town	Scrap the idea and stop wasting time and money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J1017	Poole Town	Scrap the whole idea	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1018	Poole Town	Scrap the whole this and run it as it should be run as promised when taken over	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J1019	Poole Town	Scrap them	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1020	Poole Town	See above.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 0
J1021	Poole Town	Shape up or ship out !! Don't employ more idiots to do the job you can't	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1022	Poole Town	Simply don't do. Waste of time and money. You went to bcp to be more efficient and now going back. Keep it as is and try to save money. So much water.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J1023	Poole Town	Stop this madness. We do not need it. We do not want to pay for it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1024	Poole Town	Stop this nonsense.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1025	Poole Town	STOP WASTING OUR MONEY	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1026	Poole Town	stop wasting our monies on new local gov. Either we break away from BCP and become Poole Council again or make it work.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1027	Poole Town	Stop wasting time and money on bureaucracy such as this and get on with the jobs you are elected to do. The political nature of BCP since 2019 and it's impact on residents has been profoundly negative. My suggestion is that you retire every single legacy BCP Councillor pre 2019 and start again.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J1028	Poole Town	That they are not aligned with the policy of the Government.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J1029	Poole Town	The best option in my opinion would be to leave BCP and become part of Poole Council as before.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1030	Poole Town	The current administration is asking us to consider something for which they cannot even confirm what the cost to the resident would be. Additionally they want this 'additional tax' to be uncapped, so potentially this could increase exponentially each year. It's just another way of generating additional income as the Government limit the annual council tax increase. There is insufficient information provided to make an informed decision.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1031	Poole Town	The current BCP council has handled these responsibilities for decades, there should be no reason for this to change. In addition, 42 officers is extremely high for the responsibilities listed in the proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1032	Poole Town	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1033	Poole Town	The establishment of town councils with arbitrary boundaries would result in a lot of meetings/ negotiations between neighbouring town councils. If having one BCP council was considered more efficient and less parochial, we should stick with that.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1034	Poole Town	The extra layer of governance is not required unless it is just to shift responsibilities, in which case the monies collected in council tax should also be directed through the correct channels, so the local wards can carry out the needed repairs etc and follow through on any works as a standard practice, carrying out regular meetings with constituents gaining feedback and giving directional proposals for up and coming events and proposals.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1035	Poole Town	The fear has always been that Poole would lose its identity within BCP. Yet any reorganisation as outlined is in my opinion an unnecessary exercise.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J1036	Poole Town	The ideal is lunacy and I can't see how it would benefit the communities more than if we went back to three separate councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1037	Poole Town	The need for a mid level council is not required in BCP, simply because the incompetent BCP that is in place will push the blame out to the Parish council. We do not need yet another voice to run local area, creating more disinformation and more to the point the burden of extra costs to "rule" and provide no benefit.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1038	Poole Town	The only change to it that I would like to see, is that it doesn't happen and that Poole extracts itself from BCP and reverts to Poole Council run by the people of, and for Poole, and based in the existing building where it was previously.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1039	Poole Town	The plans are utter rubbish and should never have got further than the drawing board. Unnecessary time and resource is being put into this.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1040	Poole Town	The policies of the previous Conservative government in cutting services, plus the amalgamation of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole-it's cost, inefficiency, loss of local authority expertise and changing priorities- have all been based on cost cutting rather than providing a local public service. I see no sense in progressing to a series of Town Councils that will further weaken the decision making process and further demotivate staff, members and community organisations struggling to survive.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J1041	Poole Town	The proposal serves no significant benefits for local people who have not long had a big change when they amalgamated into bcp	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J1042	Poole Town	The proposed area is far too large and is not representative of each of the individual wards. It will be far too focused on the centre of Poole and the outer wards will be neglected.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1043	Poole Town	The proposed Poole Town Council is just too large. It would be much better to parish at ward level or a parish of several (not seventeen!) wards that have similar characteristics and populations thereby creating community cohesion. I find it very 'convenient' that Broadstone gets to be parished out of all of Poole when we just happen to have a Lib Dem ex-BCP leader and now MP there. Broadstone never wanted to part of Poole, they wanted to stay Dorset, and now their wish has been granted!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1044	Poole Town	The residents of the area cannot afford the additional cost this would bring.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1045	Poole Town	The return to a basic, separate Town Council , having a small, qualified and experienced team of Councillors, consulting with the Mayor, whilst Heads of Department, managing the various Services ie, City Engineer, Electrical Entineer, Water Engineer Safety & Security, Health and Education, Waste Management etc.,would eliminate the huge and expensive bureaucracy, provide timeous and efficient service provision and address relevant local issues. Furthermore, this provides a 'hands on' and quickly ractive response. Tripling the governance is not effective or efficient.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J1046	Poole Town	The things that a town council would do should be done by BCP. NO TO A TOWN COUNCIL	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1047	Poole Town	The time and cost wasted would be pushed on to the already stretched public.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
J1048	Poole Town	The way this is worded suggests the decision has already been made.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
J1049	Poole Town	the way this survey is written is as if the proposal is a foregone conclusion, i wonder if i am wasting my time? Your cost forecasts are always wrong, the eventual cost of this are likely to be much more.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1050	Poole Town	The whole CGR is a waste of money that BCP council do not have and would have been better spent filling pot holes or something else. This is just another cash grab by the council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1051	Poole Town	The whole idea is a waste of residents money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
J1052	Poole Town	<p>There are considerable differences in the socio economic status of the various wards within Poole. What is important to the residents of Canford Cliffs will be very different to what is important to residents of Turlin Moor. The costs that residents of these two example wards can absorb without causing undue hardship are also likely to be very different.</p> <p>BCP council has always favoured Bournemouth and Christchurch over Poole. Treating Poole and Bournemouth so completely differently highlights this very succinctly.</p> <p>The proposals for some areas of Bournemouth would give them meaningful, small parish councils where the councillors would hopefully be able to take the unique characteristics and requirements of their very specific areas to heart and make a difference to their communities.</p> <p>The proposals for Poole would pit the different wards against each other and all of the residents of all of the wards of Poole would suffer. We are already served incredibly badly by BCP council, this proposal would just add another layer of bureaucracy with 42 more councillors all striving to make things better for their individual wards but facing insurmountable challenges as the individual wards wants/needs/desires will differ so widely.</p> <p>I would like to see these plans scrapped completely and for BCP council to do the job that I pay them £2000 per year to do.</p> <p>They have an obligation to provide council services, I have an obligation to pay my council tax to enable them to do so. BCP should fulfil their obligation as I fulfil mine.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1053	Poole Town	There has been no detail given for the specific purposes of these new councils, what their areas of responsibility will be or how much they will cost. Our council tax is already high and the current council's spending priorities are often questionable, as is the efficiency with which it run. Once BCP's house is fully in order, the area will see a benefit without the need for more councillors. Nobody has been honest with the electorate about the ongoing costs of the new town council. There have been no guaranteed benefits of having a town council. These omissions alone, without considering all the subterfuge surrounding this process, are enough to cause alarm, therefore I absolutely stand against this proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1054	Poole Town	There is enough bureaucracy another council will confuse and slow up the processes	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1055	Poole Town	There is no estimate of the reduction in Council Tax that BCP would raise as a result of passing limited duties to a Parish Council. Duties of a parish council are presumably being carried out by BCP at present and should therefore be capable of being costed. It seems that all the change would achieve is another layer of local government. BCP was created to reduce costs and improve services through efficiency. It has failed at both, there is nothing in the proposal to suggest that creation of Parish councils will rectify the situation.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 2
J1056	Poole Town	There is no explanation which I can see for this: '4.3 For avoidance of doubt, it is not possible to abolish the Charter Trustees and to have BCP Council as a single-tier of local authority only'.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J1057	Poole Town	There is no logical reason for the plan, All the responsibilities should be done by BCP. If this is beyond the capability if BCP, then BCP should be disbanded.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1058	Poole Town	There is no need for any of the new parishes (just more red tape and cost). Residents of Poole lost its identity when BCP was formed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J1059	Poole Town	There is no need for this, these councillors will not bring any benefit, it will just lead to co fusion over where responsibilities lie and allow for sloping shoulders syndrome if something needs fixing	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J1060	Poole Town	There is no perceivable benefit from any of the plans outlined, BCP should be ashamed if they go ahead with this without a full referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J1061	Poole Town	There is no value in this proposal, only costs and increased administrative overhead. Best would be to dissolve BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
J1062	Poole Town	There is nothing that says what they can or will do or cost so this breaches the law e.g. Gunner principles for public consultations.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1063	Poole Town	There should be no changes apart from the present incumbent being voted out.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1064	Poole Town	These draft recommendations will add confusion as to the responsibilities of each council (be it BCP, Parish, or Town council, etc.) and I can envisage the electorate being fobbed off and passed around between councils and departments as to whose responsibility it is to deal with the issue.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
J1065	Poole Town	These plans will add confusion over which council does which job, please keep it simple. BCP should improve their own services rather than creating new Councils to do their job for them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1066	Poole Town	They are a fundamentally flawed and costly idea. The fact you intend to ignore complaints about costs to households exemplifies how out of touch you are.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1067	Poole Town	They're not 'draft' recommendations, they're 'daft' recommendations. No doubt emanating from a councillor!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
J1068	Poole Town	This appears to be yet another way councils can tax residents and is unacceptable that these costs are not transparent nor is there a cap on future increases. 100% disgraceful	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1069	Poole Town	This is a shambles of a consultation no really detail and no costings no real idea how this will work 1 Town clerk I hear for 70k a year working from home this is mad u need lots of staff just to run bcp.also poole civic center needs to be reopened its a bug part of Poole also no idea where are poole sheriff and mayor have a office poole has been sold down the river bring back BOP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1070	Poole Town	This is absolutely ridiculous, listen to the people and i am sure they will say the same, stop all this ridiculous cycle lanes and wasting money and try and run our town properly, just not out of our pockets	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1071	Poole Town	This is an unnecessary layer of bureaucracy which will not improve the lives of its residents and will only cost them more money for a not real gain	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1072	Poole Town	This is not a well developed plan; no details on what the new parishes will provide locals and at what cost. It is merely expanding the councils' ability to extract further taxes from its electorate without any commitment to change. I resoundly disagree with this proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
J1073	Poole Town	This is not required nor wanted by the majority, should be left until 2027 when voters can decide through the ballot box, not decision that should be made by Councillors.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J1074	Poole Town	This is not something we voted for or want. It will just add additional cost, process to all decision-making bringing no little value	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J1075	Poole Town	This is short sighted proposal chosen by a chosen council, a full referendum should be offered to all, it has not been fully promoted by any fully independent and impartial group local media has not made a front page [unknown]. If any fiscal cross-over of posts servers will that reflect in future tax	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1076	Poole Town	This is totally unnecessary and will be a costly waste of time. Why can't BCP do the job properly.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1077	Poole Town	THIS IS YET MORE ORGANISATION WOTHOUT THE COMMUNITYS CONSENT	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J1078	Poole Town	This level of hange is neither wanted nor needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1079	Poole Town	This needs to be stopped.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1080	Poole Town	This proposal seems to be already decided by the council and rather than a consultation which will be ignored the electorate should be granted a referendum	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1081	Poole Town	This survey and the information contained within indicatessa string bias indicating the inevitability of the formation of these new councils with little regard to the impact on residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1082	Poole Town	Thought that when we joined BCP it was to reduce costs and improve services. How does 42 new councillors save money with no new services?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1083	Poole Town	Throw this whole proposal out the door, focus on fixing our towns first, and stop lining your own pockets	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J1084	Poole Town	To go back to having the original 3 Councils, since they have merged we have been totally let down in the Poole area, major shake up of staff/ management as it is total incompetence to have allowed the council to have got into its current state.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1085	Poole Town	total rubbish! and unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1086	Poole Town	Totally oppose all areas, by adding further levels of Government	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J1087	Poole Town	Totally unnecessary. Please publish the cost so far to the rate payer for the drafting of these proposals, the running of the websites, the cost to collating all this information and where this money has been drawn from. In particular which services have had money with held/withdrawn to pay for this draft proposals. Also how many civil servants have been used to fulfill these proposals and what their role would and should normally be.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1088	Poole Town	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
J1089	Poole Town	Upcoming changes of community governance presents the largest opportunity in a generation to make BCP Council more efficient by having authority at a conurbation level and abolish the need for another layer of governance at parish level. A reconciliation of the whole BCP administrative area should be made, adjusting ward boundaries as needed. Ward Cllrs then have autonomy and delegation to operate under an overall Cabinet decision making body that does not rely on wasteful parish groups / committees.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J1090	Poole Town	Use existing Poole/ward councillors in sum committees IF local issues are brought to them by constituents. Make BCP function, not add another layer of government. Local elections are notorious for low turn outs. You representative [unknown] they me? avoid duplication.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J1091	Poole Town	Waste of Money, all work should be covered under by BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
J1092	Poole Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J1093	Poole Town	We are supposed to be a BCP community but by separating us by parish councils it will destroy the community feel	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1094	Poole Town	We do not need a town parish council when BCP was created to save money etc in recent years. A town & parish council should be a smaller area like Upton & Lytchett are. The whole of Poole is too big to be 1 whole parish council. There are too many wards within it. I do not want to pay any more council tax to pay for another town council office or elected councillors. Should this go ahead, I do not think BCP councillors should be allowed to stand within the town council as well.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
J1095	Poole Town	We have already seen an attempt by the Poole People Party to break up the Registration team at the Guildhall in order to create a Mayors Parlour in the building, just to have one 'the same size as Bournemouth's'. This is totally impractical and would ruin a highly successful service that generates income for the Council. There is no need for this to happen, the Mayor of Poole can easily use Upton House which is already ready for this type of use.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 2
J1096	Poole Town	What is the parish council actually going to do for residents of Poole?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1097	Poole Town	<p>What is the point of establishing another layer of bureaucracy?</p> <p>The proposal will introduce confusion as to who is responsible for what, making it more difficult for residents to report problems to BCP or whoever. We need consolidation, and the economies of scale that brings, not fragmentation. We need a simple, single point of contact for all Council related matters, not more opportunity to be passed from pillar to post trying to identify the responsible party. It's bad enough as it is trying to navigate the council web.</p> <p>If this proposal goes ahead will there be a single point of contact for both Council and Parish Council?</p> <p>Will there be any need for increased backroom support, i.e. HR, Payroll etc as a consequence of the proposed changes?</p> <p>Will there ever be a need for 'local' offices for each new Parish councils? What might these cost?</p> <p>We know that power corrupts and what will likely happen is that those elected to the Parish Council will look to expand their remit by picking up more and more discretionary responsibilities/activity and then demanding a bigger and bigger budget/precept to fund it.</p> <p>I would rather that BCP Council got its act together and got some work done. How long has the fence been around the damaged pillar supporting the eagle or whatever it is at the Kingland Road entrance to Poole Park? How long have the entry scanners and gates been out of order at the Dolphin Leisure Centre? The list goes on. Fix what you've already got rather than wasting time and scarce resources planning and making unnecessary administrative changes.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1098	Poole Town	When I lived in Upton, there was no benefit to us directly as residents by having a town council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J1099	Poole Town	Why bother BCP hasn't been around long enough to see the need for change and confusion for the confused residents of BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1100	Poole Town	why does this have to be done another waste of space and a costly exercise you are reverting back to as it was poole borough council the whole idea should be consigned to the bin	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
J1101	Poole Town	Why have another level of local government, with associated costs, when within the current set up all of this could & should be done already. It seems like a backdoor way to increase costs for residents as the Ctax annual rise is limited & it looks like there is no upper limit on annual town council rises - hardly seems fair or equitable.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
J1102	Poole Town	Why is Merley taken in to Poole when Broadstone has a separate parish?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
J1103	Poole Town	Will we see a reduction in our council tax we pay BCP How much is this all going to cost How many extra councillors will we be paying for. Can't believe services will be any better	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
J1104	Poole Town	without costings it is unfair to ask the residents to vote in a particular way.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1105	Poole Town	Work smarter with what you have already changed. Stop changing for change sake. Working as one larger council is the best way forward with ever merging districts.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1106	Poole Town	Yes stop wasting money. Concentrate on making the existing local authority work for us the residence. This is yet another waste of money we need to stop this now.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
J1107	Poole Town	Yes, don't do it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
J1108	Poole Town	Yes, this idea should be scrapped. We do not need this. We already have elected local councillors representing our communities.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J1109	Poole Town	Yes. If you get the result you seek, it looks like, according to paragraphs 8.6 - 8.7, that Bournemouth Town Council, having failed to enter Submissions regarding the proposals, would still be bound to Poole. Bournemouth Council is a parasite feeding off the successes of Poole and Christchurch.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1110	Poole Town	You won't listen.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
J1111	Poole Town	No	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1112	Poole Town	BCP should carry out their responsibilities. This appears to be a means to offload areas of responsibility to town or parish councils. More layers of bureaucracy totally uncoded.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1113	Poole Town	I think that the consultation closure date should be delayed pending the proposal being much more widely advertised, perhaps through local TV or mail shot so that a larger proportion of the communities that would be affected by the change have the opportunity to complete this survey	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1114	Poole Town	I'm not necessarily against the idea of a Poole Town Council, but I feel more could be gained in splitting down the ward sizes and just having more BCP Councillors. When you've got Ashley Road and Lilliput in the same ward, more councillors isn't really the solution - we need people local to the area to walk the streets and redraw a lot of this into smaller areas. A town council could be this smaller level, but the way this looks it wouldn't make the average resident feel better represented.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
J1115	Poole Town	no comments other than it appears another reason to add to the taxation bill.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
J1116	Poole Town	On first hearing that town councils / boroughs in Poole were proposed, I viewed this as a positive step. I naively thought that BCP was intending to revert to the 3 separate councils. I did not realise that the plans would involve keeping BCP, but with extra layer of bureaucracy. At a time when financial resources are already stretched to the limit, I feel this would be an unnecessary expense.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
J1117	Poole Town	See my answer to Q15.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1118	Poole Town	There is not enough information on eligibility to stand as a member of the town council. Nor is there sufficient information to say whether there is a need for a Town Council as most of its potential functions are discretionary: we don't know what this body is to do. It could simply be allotments, or it may have anything up to wide range of functions requiring staff, budget allocation, precept. We just do know. Therefore we do not know what the role of the town council, nor town councillors, would actually be. Nor have you put this matter as part of the consultation! So we are being consulted upon something which we don't know what it will do. How can that ever be effective consultation?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
J1119	Poole Town	Would the election of councillors be a first past the post or proportional representation?	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living in proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
J1120	Poole Town	Create it but half the number of councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
J1121	Poole Town	f) old town and Baiter renamed to 'Old Town' h) due to likely future growth, may be more appropriate to assign 3 councillors for Old Town	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1122	Poole Town	I think there are potentially too many councillors proposed.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1123	Poole Town	I totally disagreed with the formation of the BCP unitary Council. Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth should have stayed as individuate Councils	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1124	Poole Town	I would like to see improvements to Poole town centre	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
J1125	Poole Town	Impressed by the huge support for this in the initial stage of the consultation - including from the Poole Quays Forum - and, on a separate note, one of the benefits of a Poole Town Council is that it would permanently efface the Poole Charter Trust, which is an anachronism.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
J1126	Poole Town	Include Broadstone. As the Town of Poole it will restore some of the losses that occurred when the BCP Council was established.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J1127	Poole Town	It would be nice to have Poole's identity back.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1128	Poole Town	May need some area committees to reflect the size of the town council, and get super local.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
J1129	Poole Town	No.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1130	Poole Town	None	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1131	Poole Town	Not at this stage	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J1132	Poole Town	Nothings thanks	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1133	Poole Town	<p>Poole has a genuine opportunity to establish a forward-thinking, community-focused Town Council. However, quantity should not be mistaken for quality. A smaller, skilled, and well-supported group of councillors is far more likely to succeed than a larger, fragmented one - Weymouth Town Council has 24 Councillors.</p> <p>BCP Council should consider:</p> <p>Providing external governance support (e.g. LGA or SLCC mentoring) during the setup phase</p> <p>Ensuring committee structures are lean, clearly defined, and manageable from day one</p> <p>This new body must succeed not only in structure but in culture. That starts with setting a size and tone that encourages collaboration, not gridlock.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
J1134	Poole Town	Should never have formed a unitary council (BCP) with Christchurch and Bournemouth. The original 3-Councils worked perfectly well for the residents.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1135	Poole Town	there are too many councilllors half the number of councillor will save costs for expenses, elections and by eleciotns and be easier to fill vacancies	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
J1136	Poole Town	We need to reverse the unwanted merger with Bournemouth.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J1137	Poole Town	Broadstone is omitted from the list of its Wards. It is not clear what would happen to Broadstone if the formation of Poole Town Concil is approved. We were part of the old Poole Council area. Is our only choice between a Broadstone Council or no Town Council at all, unlike - as far as I can see - the rest of the areas covered by the old Poole Council?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1138	Poole Town	Complete waste of time & money. Local councillors/council and mp should already be addressing issues. We do not need any more people who will make no difference.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1139	Poole Town	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
J1140	Poole Town	Cut down on any further very expensive and intrusive cycle lanes. The expense cannot be warranted for the amount of one and funding could be [unknown] to other council budget eg SEND	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1141	Poole Town	Do better with the old structure	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1142	Poole Town	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
J1143	Poole Town	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
J1144	Poole Town	Give us back three independent town councils if you want to subdivide.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
J1145	Poole Town	Happy with current structure.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1146	Poole Town	I am confused as to why it has been put forward for Broadstone to become it's own separate council rather than be included with the rest of the areas in Poole? Again, no real explanation given around this other than a brief comment stating that the Broadstone area apparently demonstrates a strong community base already. Perhaps if they were part of the wider Poole Town Council proposal they could share this knowledge and enthusiasm more widely and improve things for everyone else too. Surely that is partly the point of this exercise so it seems somewhat shortsighted to isolate them?	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1147	Poole Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
J1148	Poole Town	If you do go ahead they all need to be a similar size. This is just replacing the old poole council. A total waste of time.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
J1149	Poole Town	It better to stay as a charter of trusteres. Local councilors could be setup informally under same current system under BCP. All for ward areas engagemet but this is not the best way to do this	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1150	Poole Town	It's useless trying to say anything as no one listens. I work for the NHS there is no parking - same as in most towns, and so I move my car every two hours. The town centre is a disgrace. The bus station is intimidating and violent crimes occur. Poole is not a pleasant place to be	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
J1151	Poole Town	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
J1152	Poole Town	Listen to your residents and their wishes!! The majority of residents don't want parish councils. It may be suitable for villages that are widely spread but not a city/large town	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1153	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
J1154	Poole Town	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
J1155	Poole Town	No. I am disgusted by the way Poole has been overlooked by BCP in favour of promoting Bournemouth which is also a disgrace.	Disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	Lytchett Matravers & Upton	BH16 5
J1156	Poole Town	Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
J1157	Poole Town	One Council (BCP) is enough.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
J1158	Poole Town	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1159	Poole Town	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
J1160	Poole Town	Please listen to your residents! Focus on making BCP work!!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
J1161	Poole Town	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
J1162	Poole Town	The population is too large for One Parish and could have been sub-divided. While it will be Poole Town Council - the area of the Town is still remote for some of the Wards. In fact, they have their own centres of identity. Quite suitable for Parishes of their own. But this takes work and there is a political rush to complete this makeshift arrangement.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1163	Poole Town	These proposals hack off the "nice areas" and leave the less affluent/ less beautiful areas all lumped together. It feels like I am being "partitioned"!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
J1164	Poole Town	This makes sense for Poole town centre but not for the wider conurbation.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1165	Poole Town	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1166	Poole Town	<p>Too big, too many councillors, too much to do. An expansion of jobs for the boys or girls.</p> <p>Poole should not have merged with Bournemouth and this was an undemocratic act. In times past this would have provoked an actual riot by residents of both towns.</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
J1167	Poole Town	<p>Town councils have very limited powers, therefore the return on investment in terms of actual services delivered under a Town Council would be minimal. It would be far more cost effective for BCP to improve their own services. I am totally against the above proposal.</p>	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
J1168	Poole Town	<p>We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1169	Poole Town	We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
J1170	Poole Town	We need either BCP or parish councils, not both	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
J1171	Poole Town	Who is going to foot the administrative bill for creating all these new areas?	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1172	Poole Town	<p>1. The proposal to divide TB1 and TB5 between the proposed Poole and Bournemouth parishes raises concerns. There would be electors voting for different parish areas. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>This could be resolved by altering the boundary between the polling districts to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundaries.</p> <p>2. The proposal to include part of WB4 in the proposed Poole parish council raises concerns. There would be electors voting for different parish areas and different BCP Council wards. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>Whilst this could be resolved by altering the boundary between WB4 and TB6, we would question whether that would be appropriate considering the community identity of electors in that area.</p> <p>If it is considered that the community identity of electors in that area does not align with TB6 rather than WB4, then that part of WB4 should not be included in the proposed parish.</p> <p>3. The proposal to divide PT3 between two proposed Poole parish wards (Longfleet and Sterte/Old Town and Baiter) raises concerns.</p> <p>This would mean amalgamating the northern part of PT3 into PT2. Currently PT2 and PT3 vote at the same location, the electorates are near the Electoral Commission suggested maximum for a polling station.</p> <p>Increasing the PT2 electorate would mean enough electors for a double station on its own. PT3 voters would then either need a separate station and we already have difficulty finding a location in this area or sending them to the PT5 station which is located further away and has access difficulties.</p> <p>Leaving PT2 and PT3 as they are with PT2 in the Longfleet and Sterte Ward and PT3 in the Old Town and Baiter Ward would resolve this, however the elector figures would mean significant variances from the average.</p> <p>One way to have wards with better electoral equality would be to create one parish ward of PT1 – PT5 and this be represented by 4 councillors. The figures would be within the allowed variance:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Alderney 5819 2 2910 +3.65 Bearwood 5850 2 2925 +4.16 Bourne Valley & Branksome East 8167 3 2722 -2.97 Branksome West 8278 3 2759 -1.59 Canford Cliffs 8475 3 2825 +0.77 Canford Heath East 5640 2 2820 +0.59 Canford Heath West 5192 2 2596 -7.98 Creekmoor 7661 3 2554 -9.77 Hamworthy East 5332 2 2666 -5.15 Hamworthy West & Turlin Moor 5431 2 2716 -3.23 Longfleet, Sterte, Old Town & Baiter 11402 4 2851 +1.66 </p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>Merley 5453 2 2727 -2.82 Newtown 8157 3 2719 -3.10 Oakdale 8702 3 2901 +3.36 Parkstone 9088 3 3029 +7.46 Penn Hill 9091 3 3030 +7.49 Total 117738 42</p> <p>4. We have identified an additional anomaly concerning 254 Rossmore Road in the Newtown and Heatherlands area. This property has been proposed for inclusion in a parish ward that groups it with properties located in a different ward and Parliamentary constituency.</p> <p>We recommend that 254 Rossmore Road be included in the same parish ward as other properties in NH7, with the boundary adjusted to lie on the opposite side of this property. This would ensure alignment with both the existing ward and Parliamentary constituency boundaries.</p> <p>5. We believe that if either the Bournemouth or Poole Parish proposal is approved while the other is not, the boundary along the dividing line should be reviewed. This would help ensure that no properties or areas are adversely affected or left isolated due to partial implementation.</p> <p>Furthermore, if any of the smaller parish areas proposed within the Poole Charter Trustees area are not approved, consideration should be given to including those areas within the approved parish to maintain continuity and avoid administrative gaps.</p>					
J1173	Poole Town	Get rid of BCP council and leave just three separate, independent single tier authorities for Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth.	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
J1174	Poole Town	I like that the separate identity of Poole is maintained rather than getting lost in the BCP merger.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q54 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Poole Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
J1175	Poole Town	Please have proposals to regenerate the Town Centre	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8